Objectives /

Despite a lack of consensus on what constitutes an ideal healthcare system, stakeholders have recently been expressing concern about clinical outcomes and quality of care. In the context of health-related quality of life (HRQoL), there is a push to develop tools that can capture the patient's perspective in a way that is harmonized with PRO use in other settings. PRO-based performance measures, which currently lead to clinical and reporting challenges, are assessing domains of healthcare delivery that other quality metrics cannot, such as health-related quality of life.

Methods /

We conducted a structured literature review to identify influencers in the translation of PROs, issues that impact PRO implementation, and relationships between key variables. Avalere research included a systematic literature review, web-based search of key PRO databases, and a review of policy considerations. A technical literature search was conducted to identify influencers in the translation of PROs, issues that impact PRO implementation, and relationships between key variables. Avalere's research included a systematic literature review, web-based search of key PRO databases, and a review of policy considerations.

Results /

Inclusion criteria for this review were identified through discussion and a search of existing literature. We included PRO measures that directly use the results of PROs to calculate performance. The PRO measures were assessed for scientific, research, and clinical practicality. The PRO measures were assessed for scientific, research, and clinical practicality. The PRO measures were assessed for scientific, research, and clinical practicality. The PRO measures were assessed for scientific, research, and clinical practicality. The PRO measures were assessed for scientific, research, and clinical practicality.

Conclusions /

Within the PRO measures that are validated for use in clinical practice, some have been transformed into quality and performance measures. Any gaps among validated unidimensional PROs and PRO-based performance measures, which currently lead to clinical and reporting challenges regarding reliability, validity, and acceptability. Despite the uptake of a tool’s ability to assess patient-centered performance, the use of PRO measures is still in its early stages. The linkages between PROs and clinical outcomes are still evolving. Limitations regarding reliability and interoperability.

References /


