Introduction

The effectiveness of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) in patients with diabetes and coronary artery disease (CAD) in whom revascularization is clinically indicated and both procedures are feasible remains poorly understood. Choice of treatment in diabetic patients is much more controversial than in non-diabetics because CAD is often more complex and diffuse, left ventricular function is depressed, and concomitant multiple risk factors are present. The optimal revascularization strategy using either PCI or CABG remains debatable. The objective of this study was to compare the clinical outcomes of PCI to CABG in diabetic patients with multi-vascular coronary artery disease.

Methods

A PUBMED search of the English-language literature published between 1992 and 2009 and the bibliographies of relevant articles using keywords angioplasty, coronary, stent, PCI, coronary artery bypass surgery was conducted. Study selection for inclusion was based on a pre-specified protocol with the following inclusion criteria:

- Study design: RCT; head to head comparisons of PCI with CABG
- Disease: Multi-vascular coronary artery disease and diabetes or with subgroup for diabetic patients
- Intervention: Percutaneous intervention (stent) or coronary artery bypass graft
- Outcomes: Mortality, myocardial Infarction (MI), stroke and the use of additional revascularization procedures

All decisions regarding the inclusion/exclusion of studies involved two independent reviewers, with discrepancies resolved by a third reviewer. Following decision on the inclusion/exclusion of studies, a two-stage data extraction process was used to capture necessary information with discrepancies resolved by a third reviewer. Descriptive statistics were used when appropriate. Meta-analyses are presented as risk ratios, 95% confidence interval (CI) using a fixed-effect model. Heterogeneity between trials was assessed.

Results

Of the 416 studies retrieved, five studies met the inclusion criteria. In total, 409 diabetic patients with MVD were randomized to PCI, and 404 to CABG. A total of 813 patients were included in the analysis (208 in Arterial Revascularization Therapies Study (ARTS), 78 in Second Argentine Randomized Trial of Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery in Multivessel Disease (EARCI-II), 115 in Second Medicine, Angioplasty, or Surgery Study (MASS-II), 353 in Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI) and 59 in Emory Angioplasty versus Surgery Trial (EAST)).

Survival was significantly better after CABG than after PCI with a risk ratio of 1.29 (95% CI: 1.07 to 1.56); p = 0.009 for the five-year mortality rate (figure 1). Relative risk for revascularization rate at five year follow was higher with PCI than after CABG; RR 4.11 (95% CI: 2.20 to 7.68). Risk for myocardial infarction (MI) were non-significant with a risk ratio of 1.10 (95% CI: 0.73 to 1.65); p = 0.644 (figure 2). Summary risk ratio for major outcomes are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Risk Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1.29 (1.07 – 1.56)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>1.10 (0.73 – 1.65)</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

In the present analysis, using pooled patient-level five-year follow-up data from 5 randomized controlled trials, we found CABG was associated with lower incidence of mortality and repeat revascularization compared to PCI. Rate of MI was similar for both the procedures. Analysis from this review suggests that CABG greatly improves survival and re-intervention rate when compared to PCI, in diabetic patients with multi-vessel coronary artery. Currently ongoing prospective, randomized trials like FREEDOM and CARDia trials will further help address this question.
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