Clinical trials: Fit for purpose?

What is the purpose?

- Timely, sustainable and equitable access to medicines that change the lives of people, and their families, living with disease.
- Evidence to support discussions with multiple stakeholders: regulators, payors, clinicians, patients
- Safe, effective and cost-effective therapies for the right patients at the right time and at the right price
- Rational investment to sustain the ability to invest in future R&D – to support expanded access for existing therapies and for therapies of the future
All things to all people?
Is it possible to meet the needs of all stakeholders?

☐ Answer the question, with greatest certainty, of the safety, effectiveness and value for money of a medicine:
  ☐ In accordance with latest clinical practice
  ☐ Applicable to local patient care
  ☐ Applicable to local treatment practice
  ☐ Relative to local Standard of Care
  ☐ With a measure of benefit that is meaningful
  ☐ Whilst doing the least harm, and
  ☐ To bring benefit to patients and payors as early as possible

Answering the question
By molecule, by class, by therapeutic area, by company?

All things to all people?
*Is it possible to meet the needs of all stakeholders?*

- International jurisdictions for regulatory requirements
  - Regional harmonisation exists
    - Legal obligations of application by members

- International requirements for funding
  - National
  - Regional
  - Fund level

*includes social, ethical, and legal aspects of health technology use*

Payer archetypes

Ref: InVivo, The Business and Medical Report, Pharma Survival in a Transforming Global Payer Environment, September 2015
Evolution of evidence generation

How do we continue to evolve the debate?

- Regulatory reform
  - International recognition
  - Remove proof of efficacy requirement
- Development of value frameworks and Harmonisation of HTA
  - Scientific societies (ASCO, ICER, Sloan Kettering Cancer Institute, National Comprehensive Cancer Network)
  - EUnetHTA Core Model
  - Green Park Group
- Maximise value of registries
  - Commonly used for Rare Diseases
- Utility of Real World Evidence
  - Vaccines utilise RWE with notification of disease fulfilling the requirement of evidence of effectiveness
- Greater utilisation of post marketing experience

PricewaterhouseCoopers, Pharma2020, Virtual R&D. June 2008
Panel recommendation

- Registry based trials
- Evidence generation - Fit for purpose
  - Outcomes based trials +/- RWE
- Practice based evidence
- Managed entry schemes and coverage with evidence development
  - For registration and funding

Working toward a common purpose

*Can we shape evidence generation to meet the needs of all?*