References - EPH129 (ISPOR 2024)

[1] Cappelleri, J.C., et al., *Psm2 Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Reliability of the "Smoking Effects Inventory".* Value in Health, 2005. 8(3): p. 333-334.

[2] Cappelleri, J.C., et al., *Confirmatory factor analyses and reliability of the modified cigarette evaluation questionnaire*. Addict Behav, 2007. 32(5): p. 912-23.

[3] FDA, *Tobacco products: Principles for designing and conducting tobacco product perception and intention studies.* US Department of Health and Human Services, 2022.

[4] Hatsukami, D.K., et al., *Subjective responses to oral tobacco products: scale validation.* Nicotine Tob Res, 2013. 15(7): p. 1259-64.

[5] Salzberger, T., et al. *Psychometric evaluation of the mCEQ applied to cigarettes and heat-not-burn products in the US and Japan.* in *Poster presented at the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco 2018 Annual Meeting.* 2018. Baltimore, Maryland.

[6] Sponsiello-Wang, Z., et al., Household Surveys in the General Population and Web-Based Surveys in IQOS Users Registered at the Philip Morris International IQOS User Database: Protocols on the Use of Tobacco- and Nicotine-Containing Products in Germany, Italy, and the United Kingdom (Greater London), 2018-2020. JMIR Res Protoc, 2019. 8(5): p. e12061.

[7] Afolalu, E.F.C., E.; Abetz-Webb, L.; Chrea, C. *Use of qualitative research to ensure we measure what matters to users of tobacco and nicotine products.* in *Presentation at CORESTA CROM Symposium.* 2020. A virtual event.

[8] McCaffrey, S., A. Vansickel, and R. Black. *Psychometric evaluation: Differential Person function analysis of the modified cigarette evaluation questionnaire further modified for e-vapor and nicotine products.* in *Poster presented at the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco 2020 Annual Meeting.* 2020. New Orleans, Louisiana.

[9] Liu, J., et al., *Characterization of the Abuse Potential in Adult Smokers of a Novel Oral Tobacco Product Relative to Combustible Cigarettes and Nicotine Polacrilex Gum.* Clin Pharmacol Drug Dev, 2021. 10(3): p. 241-250.

[10] Edmiston, J., et al., A Randomized, Controlled Study to Assess Biomarkers of Exposure in Adult Smokers Switching to Oral Nicotine Products. J Clin Pharmacol, 2022. 62(11): p. 1445-1458.

[11] Goldenson, N.I., et al., *Abuse liability assessment of the JUUL system in two nicotine concentrations compared to combustible cigarette, nicotine gum and comparator electronic nicotine delivery system.* Drug Alcohol Depend, 2020. 217: p. 108441.

[12] Morean, M.E. and K.W. Bold, *The Modified E-Cigarette Evaluation Questionnaire: Psychometric Evaluation of an Adapted Version of the Modified Cigarette Evaluation Questionnaire for Use With Adults Who Use Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems.* Nicotine Tob Res, 2022. 24(9): p. 1396-1404.

[13] Morean, M.E. and K.W. Bold, *The Modified Cigarette Evaluation Questionnaire: Psychometric properties of the originally-proposed five-factor structure and a novel four-factor structure for use with adults who currently smoke cigarettes.* Drug Alcohol Depend, 2022. 241: p. 109684.

[14] Gritz, E.R. and M.E. Jarvik, *A preliminary study: Forty-eight hours of abstinence from smoking.* Proceedings of the Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, 1973.

[15] Shiffman, S.M. and M.E. Jarvik, *Smoking withdrawal symptoms in two weeks of abstinence*. Psychopharmacology, 1976. 50: p. 35-39.

[16] Rose, J.E., et al., *Transdermal nicotine reduces cigarette craving and nicotine preference*. Clin Pharmacol Ther, 1985. 38(4): p. 450-6.

[17] Westman, E., E. Levin, and J. Rose, *Smoking while wearing the nicotine patch-is smoking satisfying or harmful.* Clinical Research, 1992. 40(4): p. A871-A871.

[18] Rose, J.E., F.M. Behm, and E.D. Levin, *Role of nicotine dose and sensory cues in the regulation of smoke intake.* Pharmacology biochemistry and Behavior, 1993. 44(4): p. 891-900.

[19] Westman, E.C., E.D. Levin, and J.E. Rose, *The nicotine patch in smoking cessation: a randomized trial with telephone counseling.* Archives of Internal Medicine, 1993. 153(16): p. 1917-1923.

[20] Levin, E.D., et al., *Nicotine skin patch treatment increases abstinence, decreases withdrawal symptoms, and attenuates rewarding effects of smoking.* Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology, 1994. 14(1): p. 41-49.

[21] Rose, J.E., et al., *Mecamylamine combined with nicotine skin patch facilitates smoking cessation beyond nicotine patch treatment alone.* Clin Pharmacol Ther, 1994. 56(1): p. 86-99.

[22] Rose, J.E., F.M. Behm, and E.C. Westman, *Nicotine–mecamylamine treatment for smoking cessation: The role of pre-cessation therapy.* Experimental and clinical psychopharmacology, 1998. 6(3): p. 331.

[23] Brauer, L.H., et al., *Individual differences in smoking reward from de-nicotinized cigarettes.* Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 2001. 3(2): p. 101-109.

[24] Rose, J.E., F.M. Behm, and E.C. Westman, *Acute effects of nicotine and mecamylamine on tobacco withdrawal symptoms, cigarette reward and ad lib smoking*. Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior, 2001. 68(2): p. 187-197.

[25] Rose, J.E., et al., *Psychopharmacological interactions between nicotine and ethanol.* Nicotine Tob Res, 2004. 6(1): p. 133-44.

[26] Jorenby, D., et al., *Efficacy of varenicline, an 42 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor partial agonist, vs placebo or sustained-release bupropion for smoking cessation.* JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association, 2006. 296(1): p. 56-63.

[27] Wei, L. Consumer-reported outcome measure (CROM) guidelines with respect to descriptive-CROM for research on tobacco and nicotine-containing products. in Presentation at the 75th Tobacco Science Research Conference. 2022. New Orleans, LA, USA.

[28] Salzberger, T., et al. *Psychometric evaluation of the mCEQ applied to cigarettes and heat-not-burn products in the US and Japan.* in *Poster presented at the CORESTA Smoke Science and Product Technology Study Groups Meeting.* 2017. Kitzbèhel, Austria.

[29] Higgins, S.T., et al., *Response to reduced nicotine content cigarettes among smokers differing in tobacco dependence severity.* Prev Med, 2018. 117: p. 15-23.

[30] Streck, J.M., et al., *Potential Moderating Effects of Sex/Gender on the Acute Relative Reinforcing and Subjective Effects of Reduced Nicotine Content Cigarettes in Vulnerable Populations.* Nicotine Tob Res, 2020. 22(6): p. 878-884.

[31] Arger, C.A., et al., *Preliminary validity of the modified Cigarette Evaluation Questionnaire in predicting the reinforcing effects of cigarettes that vary in nicotine content.* Exp Clin Psychopharmacol, 2017. 25(6): p. 473-478.

[32] Smith, T.T., et al., *The Impact of Gradual and Immediate Nicotine Reduction on Subjective Cigarette Ratings*. Nicotine Tob Res, 2019. 21(Suppl 1): p. S73-S80.

[33] Zandonai, T., et al., A Preliminary Investigation on Smokeless Tobacco Use and Its Cognitive Effects Among Athletes. Front Pharmacol, 2018. 9: p. 216.

[34] Steinberg, M.B., et al., *E-cigarette versus nicotine inhaler: comparing the perceptions and experiences of inhaled nicotine devices.* J Gen Intern Med, 2014. 29(11): p. 1444-50.

[35] Harrell, P.T., et al., *Dopaminergic genetic variation moderates the effect of nicotine on cigarette reward.* Psychopharmacology (Berl), 2016. 233(2): p. 351-60.

[36] DiPiazza, J., et al., *Sensory experiences and cues among E-cigarette users.* Harm Reduction Journal, 2020. 17(1): p. 75.

[37] Tucker, M.R., et al., *Predicting Short-Term Uptake of Electronic Cigarettes: Effects of Nicotine, Subjective Effects, and Simulated Demand.* Nicotine Tob Res, 2018. 20(10): p. 1265-1271.

[38] Pulvers, K., et al., Switching people who smoke to unfiltered cigarettes: perceptions, addiction and behavioural effects in a cross-over randomised controlled trial. Tob Control, 2023. 32(4): p. 520-523.

[39] Schneller, L.M., et al., *Strong preference for mint snus flavor among research participants*. Addict Behav Rep, 2017. 6: p. 51-55.

[40] Gades, M.S., et al., *The role of subjective responses in electronic cigarette uptake and substitution in adult smokers.* Drug Alcohol Depend, 2020. 212: p. 107999.

[41] Morean ME. Psychometric Evaluation of the Modified E-cigarette Evaluation Questionnaire for use with High School Adolescents and Young Adults. Nicotine Tob Res. 2024