# Objective Fit-4-Purpose Assessment of Real-World Data for Evidence Generation in Type 2 **Diabetes Mellitus: A Trial Tokenization Approach**

## Aaron Kamauu<sup>1</sup>, Amanda Shields<sup>2</sup>, Craig G. Parker<sup>3</sup>, Ryan Moog<sup>4</sup>, Kathleen M. Gavin<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Navidence LLC, Bountiful, UT, USA; <sup>2</sup>Navidence LLC, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Navidence LLC, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; <sup>4</sup>Datavant, Lake Lotawana, MO, USA; <sup>5</sup>Datavant, Denver, CO, USA

### Introduction

- The integration of real-world data (RWD) strategies together with clinical trials has many advantages to accelerate real-word evidence (RWE) generation.
- Tracking trial participants through RWD to generate RWE after a clinical trial is a growing strategy for understanding the long-term effectiveness and safety of medical intervention.
- One effective approach for this is "trial tokenization," using privacy preserving record linkage (PPRL) to connect clinical trial data with de-identified RWD at the patient level (Figure 1).
- One of the many use cases of this methodology is post-trial health outcomes assessment.
- Ensuring the underlying real-world data (RWD) is relevant and reliable is a critical step in the project planning process.
- **Objective**: Develop an objective process to evaluate RWD sources for a Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) example use case (e.g., tracking weight and cardiovascular outcomes over time), which is critical to ensure selection of RWD qualified as relevant and reliable to the specific research questions.

## Methods

- Computable operational definitions (CODefs) were modeled for key eligibility specific assessment plan was developed.
- Candidate RWD sources were identified and assessed on sample size and der reliability of outcomes, specifically weight and cardiovascular outcomes.
- An abbreviated overview of this process is presented in Figure 2.

### **Figure 2.** Overview of CODef modeling and use case specific assessment plan.

#### Navidence Sherpa

Element	<b>Conceptual Definition</b>	<b>Operational Definition</b>	Justifications, Algorithms, Lim
Inclusion 3: Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, type 2 (T2DM) <b>Source Wording</b> Diagnosis of T2DM	Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, type 2 (T2DM) <b>AND</b> Treatment with antihyperglycemic therapy	<ul> <li>≥ 1 Diagnosis Record from Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2, (CCI) Diagnoses (any time prior to the index date AND any encounter type AND any diagnosis position)</li> <li>AND</li> <li>≥ 1 Medication Record from Antihyperglycemic Therapies any time prior to the index date</li> </ul>	Limitations Does not take into account dura This broad definition may have to false positives
Exclusion 1: Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, type 1 (T1DM)	Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, type 1 (T1DM)	<ul> <li>≥ 1 Diagnosis Record from</li> <li>Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1,</li> <li>(CCI) Diagnoses (any time</li> <li>prior to the index date AND</li> <li>any encounter type AND</li> <li>any diagnosis position)</li> </ul>	<b>Limitations</b> This broad definition may have t false positives
Endpoint: Outcome: BMI	Body mass index (BMI)	Body Mass Index any value kg/m2 within the 36 months (3 years) after the index date	
Endpoint: Outcome: Safety: Ischemic Stroke	Diagnosis of ischemic stroke	<ul> <li>≥ 1 Diagnosis Record from</li> <li>Ischemic Stroke Diagnoses</li> <li>(within the 36 months (3)</li> <li>years) after the index date</li> <li>AND any encounter type</li> <li>AND any diagnosis</li> <li>position)</li> </ul>	<b>Limitations</b> This broad definition may have t false positives



y criteria and outcomes and a use case-		Adults	Adults Of the total patients from cell D7, # of patients who meet the following operational definition: Age $\geq$ 18 years old on the query date					
mographics, as v	vell as availability ar	nd	◆ Obesity OR Overweight	Of the to (Body M documer OR ≥ 1 Diagr 12 mont most pro	otal patients from cell D10, # of patients w ass Index ≥ 30 kg/m2 within the 12 month nted value to the index date nosis Record from Obesity Diagnoses ( <i>refe</i> ths prior to the index date AND any encour oximal documented value to the index date	ho meet the follo is prior to the inde <i>r to Obesity_Dx (I</i> nter type AND any e)	wing operation ex date AND mo <i>CD-10-CM) tab</i> v diagnosis posi	nal definition: ost proximal ) within the tion AND
=				Body Ma proxima Of the to	ass Index = 27.0-29.9 kg/m2 within the 12 I documented value to the index date otal patients from cell D11, # of patients w	months prior to t ho meet the follo	ne index date A wing operation	ND most
itations, & Notes			Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, type 2 (T2DM)	≥ 1 Diag (ICD-10- position	nosis Record from Diabetes Mellitus, Type <i>CM) tab</i> ) any time prior to the index date	2, (CCI) Diagnoses AND any encount	s ( <i>refer to T2DI</i> er type AND ar	M_CCI_Dx ny diagnosis
ation of therapy.			DA	ATA VARIABLES (	all require associated dates)	Is this data available?	Structured vs. Unstructured	What Coding Schemes Are Used?
				Socioeconomi	c Status			
the potential for				Employment/0	Occupation			
				Height *				
			Dhusia la cias l Data	Weight *				
		Navidence Sherpa	Physiological Data	Body Mass Index (BMI) *				
	Navidence Sherpa		▶	Waist Circumfe	erence			
				Gylcemic cont	rol (HbA1c, FPG) *			
				Liver function (LDL, HDL, triglycerides, cholesterol) *				
Label		Code	Lab Tests'	Renal function Haematology (e.g. eosinophils, neutrophils, basophils, monocytes, lymphocytes)				
	Age	age		Biochemistry				
	Body Mass Index	body_mass_index		Other (e.g., hs-	-CRP, IL-6, Fibrinogen)			
	Diagnosis Record	diagnosis_record	1					
the potential for	Height	height					# of Unique Patients	% of Total
•	Medication Record	medication_record						100%
	Weight	weight	Physiological Data					
			Height Data		Provide a histogram by # of data points in the r period for the cohort	nost recent 1-year		
			Weight Data		Provide a histogram by # of data points in the r period for the cohort	nost recent 1-year		
			► BMI Data		Provide a histogram by # of data points in the r period for the cohort	nost recent 1-year		
			Lab Data					
			Hemoglobin A1c/Hemoglo Blood % (HbA1c; LOINC co	obin.total in de: 4548-4)	Provide a histogram by # of data points in the r period for the cohort	nost recent 1-year		
the potential for			Glucose [Mass/volume] ir Plasma (LOINC code: 2	n Serum or 2345-7)	Provide a histogram by # of data points in the r period for the cohort	nost recent 1-year		
the potential for			Safety Outcomes					
			Diagnosis Record from Car Diagnoses ( <i>refer to CVD_Dx</i> <i>tab</i> )	rdiovascular (ICD-10-CM)	Provide a histogram by # of data points in the p for the cohort, any encounter type AND any dia	prior 1-year period agnosis position		

## Results

- 15 standards-based CODefs were developed:
  - (Cohort 2), and weight-related illnesses (Subcohorts 2 and 3)
- than 1 value set.

Table 1. Number of value sets and values used for CODefs.

	Medication (NDC)	Diagnosis (ICD-10-CM)	Medication (name)	Labs (LOINC)	Physiological measure	Demographic measure
# values	2813	385	63	4	3	1
# value sets	1	8	1	4	3	1

- treatments, and labs)
- settings, EHR, claims, medications, procedures, and labs.
  - across data sources
  - populations (Figure 3).
  - that warrants further evaluation.

Figure 3. Patterns of available weight measurements over time

Source 1:			
Source 2: Cohort 1			
Source 2: Cohort 2			
Source 3: Subcohort 1			
Source 3: Subcohort 2			
Source 4: Subcohort 1			
Source 4: Subcohort 2			
-3 ye Lookt	ars back	Avera Size p	ge oro

to the query date.

## Conclusion

Objective assessment using standards-based CODefs ensures the reliability of data to support post-trial RWE generation. Outpatient and inpatient data are both important to assess for T2DM patient populations. Linking strategies may be useful to provide a more complete view.

**Future Directions**: This provides stakeholders like regulatory agencies the confidence to consider such data as evidence and supports the use of trial tokenization for future research.

Abbreviations: CODefs: Computable operational definitions; EHR: electronic health record; MACCE: major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular event; PPRL: privacy preserving record linkage; RWD: real-world data, RWE: real-world evidence; SDoH: social determinants of health, T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus **Contact Information**: Aaron Kamauu - aaron@navidence.com

Presented at ISPOR 2024, Atlanta, GA

re associated dates)	Is this data available?	Structured vs. Unstructured	What Coding Schemes Are Used?
on			
*			
1c, FPG) *			
L, triglycerides, cholesterol) *			
nophils, neutrophils, basophils, monocytes,			
5, Fibrinogen)			

## **RWD157**

• 5 determined cohort and subcohort eligibility, including age, T2DM (Cohort 1), overweight or obesity

• 10 described key outcomes, including, height, weight, BMI, Hb1AC, glucose, and MACCE safety events. • The 15 CODefs encompassed 18 value sets including 3,269 values (Table 1). CODefs can include more

• 39 study-relevant data variables were assessed for availability (e.g. demographics, vitals, medical history,

• 6 data sources completed partial or full assessment; representing RWD including inpatient and outpatient

369,000–2.5 million patients meeting the T2DM cohort criteria were found

Weight was measured at frequent intervals, averaging ~2.0 - 4.5 times a year (w/ avg of ~90-140 days between measurements), across data sources and patient

• Data origin varied across sources—patterns of weight measurement reflect this variance, with larger, less frequent clusters of measurements documented in inpatient EHR data. Average number of days between measurements exceeds the average number of documented measures, suggesting unobserved clustering



# weight measures (total in time period) (label). Average # days between measures oportional to # measures/# of intervals to describe

• MACCE outcomes were documented in all assessed sources, though results varied substantially. Depending on the data source utilized, for a given subcohort, 0.08%-10.2% of patients had evidence of an acute MACCE outcome within 3 years prior