## Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in B-Cell Lymphoma and Risk of Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Mohammed Zuber, PharmD, Samruddhi Nandkumar Borate, MPharmD, N M Mahmudul Alam Bhuiya, MPharm, Smita Rawal, PhD, PharmD, MS, Henry N. Young, PhD, FAPhA, Lorenzo A Villa Zapata, PhD, PharmD

Clinical and Administrative Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

# Background

## Immunodeficiencies in B-Cell Lymphoma:

B-cell lymphoma patients face increased infection risks du compromised immunity, characterized by:

- Cell-Mediated Immunity Defects
- Hypogammaglobulinemia: Mainly affects IgG3 and IgG
- Neutrophil and Monocyte Dysfunction
- Complement Deficiencies (1-2)

## Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase (BTK) Inhibitors in Treatmer

Treatment strategies vary by malignancy type, patier disease stage, with BTK inhibitors like ibrutinib, a zanubrutinib, and pirtobrutinib playing a crucial role. Approved by the FDA, these drugs enhance progressi

overall survival but increase severe infection risks, vigilant monitoring and prophylactic strategies (3-5).

# Objective

This study aimed to estimate the incidence and risk of sev III) infections among patients with B-cell lymphoma underg monotherapy with BTK inhibitors.

# Methods

Search Databases: MEDLINE/PubMed, Embase, and W from their inception to October 4, 2023

Additional Sources: We also reviewed clinicaltrials. bibliographies, and conference abstracts to identify further **Inclusion Criteria:** We included randomized controlled that reported on infections in patients with any type of B-o treated with BTK inhibitor monotherapy.

Variables Extracted: Included study characteristic specifics, patient demographics, and outcomes concerni infections

**Risk of Bias:** Assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Meta-Analysis Eligibility: RCTs comparing BTKi against any systemic therapy as the comparator were quantitatively.

**Analytical Method:** Employed a random-effects model to calculate the risk ratio (RR) using the Mantel-Haenszel method.

**Software Used:** Analysis conducted using R Statistical Software, version 4.3.2

	Table 1. Rick of Grade > III Infections in R. Coll Lympheres Deficitor DTK Inhibitary of Comparators							
to	Author, year, trial	Treatment arm	N N	Lymphoma Grade	Patients Pneumor	a Infections	Vs. Comparators Upper respiratory	s Urinary tra
	Longerheine et el. 2022		450	Crede III	7 (4 40/		tract infection	infection
	Langerbeins et al., 2022	Placebo	158	Grade III Grade III	6 (3.9%		1 (0.6%)	-
	Rule et al., 2017	Ibrutinib	139	Grade ≥ III			4 (2.2%)	-
	NCT01646021	Temsirolimus	139	Grade ≥ III	-	-	1 (0.7%)	-
	Hillman et al., 2022	Zanubrutinib	204	Grade ≥ III	8 (3.9%	b) 26 (13%)		
	NCT03734016	Ibrutinib	207	Grade ≥ III	10 (4.8%	<b>6)</b> 37 (18%)	-	-
	Burger et al., 2015	Ibrutinib	135	Grade III	5 (4%)	-	3 (2%)	-
	NCT01722487	Chlorambucil	132	Grade III	2 (2%)		2 (2%)	-
de and	Byrd et al., 2021	Acalabrutinib	266	Grade III			5 (1.9%)	3 (1.1%)
brutinih	NC1024/7696	IDrutinib	263			<b>o)</b> –	1 (0.4%)	ָס (2.3%) ד (געל)
adrutind,	NCT01578707	Ofatumumah	195	Grade III-IV	9 (5%)	<b>)</b> -	3 (2%)	<u> </u>
	Burger et al., 2019	Ibrutinib	104	Grade III-IV		6 (5.8%)	3 (2.9%)	2 (1.9%)
free and	NCT02007044	Ibrutinib +	104	Grade III-IV	-	3 (2.9%)	1 (1%)	0
essitating		rituximab						
Ŭ	Dimopoulos et al., 2023	Ibrutinib	98	Grade ≥ III	10 (10%	<b>b) 27 (28%)</b>	1 (1%)	-
	NCT03053440	Zanubrutinib	101	Grade ≥ III	1 (1%)	22 (22%)	0	-
	Sharman et al., 2020	Acalabrutinib	179	Grade ≥ III	4 (2.2%)	<b>)</b> -	3 (1.7%)	0
	NCT02475681	Acalabrutinib +	178	Grade ≥ III	10 (5.6%	<b>()</b> -	4 (2.2%)	1 (0.6%)
		Obinutuzumab						
		Obinutuzumab + Chlorambucil	169	Grade ≥ III	3 (1.8%	<b>)</b> -	1 (0.6%)	0
	Woyach et al., 2018	Ibrutinib	180	Grade III	-	29 (16%)	-	-
	NCT01886872			Grade IV	-	<u> </u>	-	-
		Ibrutinib .	101	Grade V Grade III	-	2 (1%)	-	
(Crada >		rituvimah	101	Grade III	-	20 (15%)		
(Graue 2		παλιπάρ		Grade IV	-	7 (4%)	-	-
ng			470	Grade V	-		-	-
		Bendamustine +	1/6	Grade III Grade IV	-	<u> </u>	-	-
		ntuximap		Grade V	-	3 (2%)	-	
	Huang et al., 2017	Ibrutinib	104	Grade ≥ III	-		7 (6.7%)	
	NCT01973387	Rituximab	52	Grade ≥ III	-		1 (1.9%)	
of Science	Figure 1. Meta-Analysis Treated with BTK Inhibi	s of the Risk of tor Monotherapy Experin Events	Sever	e (Grade Contro Events Tota	≥ III) Infe I I Weight I	ctions in Patien Risk Ratio MH, Random, 95% (	ts with B-cell Ly Risk R CI MH, Randon	ymphoma atio 1, 95% CI
_	Grado > III Doomonio				0.0%			
, relevant	Langerbeins et al. 2022	7	7 158	6 155	5 18.8%	1.14 [0.39: 3.33]		
udies	Byrd et al., 2014	13	3 195	9 191	31.4%	1.41 [0.62; 3.23]		-
ls (RCTs)	Burger et al., 2015	5	5 135	2 132	2 8.2%	2.44 [0.48; 12.38]		
vmnhoma	Grade > III Upper respiratory to	act infection	+ 1/9	3 169	9.8%	1.26 [0.29; 5.54]		
	Langerbeins et al., 2022	1	158	0 155	5 2.1%	2.94 [0.12: 71.70]		•
	Rule et al., 2017	4	139	1 139	4.5%	4.00 [0.45; 35.34]		-
		1	195	3 191	4.2%	0.33 [0.03; 3.11]		
treatment	Byrd et al., 2014						I	
treatment Grade ≥III	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017	3	3 135	2 132	2 6.8%	1.47 [0.25; 8.64]		
treatment Grade ≥III	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017 Sharman et al., 2020	3	3 135 7 104 3 179	2 132 1 52 1 169	2 6.8% 2 5.0% 3 4.2%	1.47 [0.25; 8.64] 3.50 [0.44; 27.70] 2.83 [0.30; 26.96]		
treatment Grade ≥III (ROR 2)	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017 Sharman et al., 2020 Grade ≥ III Urinary tract infectio	3 7 3 0n	3 135 7 104 3 179	2 132 1 52 1 169	2 6.8% 2 5.0% 9 4.2% . 0.0%	1.47 [0.25; 8.64] 3.50 [0.44; 27.70] 2.83 [0.30; 26.96]		-
treatment Grade ≥III (ROB 2)	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017 Sharman et al., 2020 Grade ≥ III Urinary tract infection Byrd et al., 2014	3 7 3 0n . 7	3 135 7 104 3 179	2 132 1 52 1 169 1 191	2 6.8% 2 5.0% 9 4.2% . 0.0% 4.9%	1.47 [0.25; 8.64] 3.50 [0.44; 27.70] 2.83 [0.30; 26.96] 6.86 [0.85; 55.20]		
treatment Grade ≥III (ROB 2) notherapy	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017 Sharman et al., 2020 Grade ≥ III Urinary tract infection Byrd et al., 2014	3 7 3 0n 7	3 135 7 104 3 179 7 195	2 132 1 52 1 169	2 6.8% 2 5.0% 9 4.2% 0.0% 4.9%	1.47 [0.25; 8.64] 3.50 [0.44; 27.70] 2.83 [0.30; 26.96] 6.86 [0.85; 55.20]		
treatment Grade ≥III (ROB 2) notherapy nthesized	Byrd et al., 2014 Burger et al., 2015 Huang et al., 2017 Sharman et al., 2020 Grade $\geq$ III Urinary tract infection Byrd et al., 2014 <b>Total (95% CI)</b>	3 $7$ $3$ $7$ $3$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $7$	135 7 104 3 179 7 195 <b>1772</b>	2 132 1 52 1 169 1 191 <b>1676</b>	2 6.8% 2 5.0% 9 4.2% 0.0% 4.9% 5 <b>100.0%</b>	1.47 [0.25; 8.64] 3.50 [0.44; 27.70] 2.83 [0.30; 26.96] 6.86 [0.85; 55.20] <b>1.64 [1.03; 2.61]</b>		

1. Gonzalez-Rodriguez AP, Contesti J, Huergo-Zapico L, et al. Prognostic significance of CD8 and CD4 T cells in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Leukemia & Lymphoma. 2010/10/01 2010;51(10):1829-1836. doi:10.3109/10428194.2010.503820 2. Itälä M, Vainio O, Remes K. Functional abnormalities in granulocytes predict susceptibility to bacterial infections in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. European Journal of Haematology. 1996/07/01 1996;57(1):46-53. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0609.1996.tb00489.x

3. Shankland KR, Armitage JO, Hancock BW. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Lancet. Sep 1 2012;380(9844):848-57. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(12)60605-9 4. Ahn IE, Brown JR. Targeting Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase in CLL. Review. 2021-June-23 2021;12doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.687458 5. Telaraja D, Kasamon YL, Collazo JS, et al. FDA Approval Summary: Pirtobrutinib for Relapsed or Refractory Mantle Cell Lymphoma. Clin Cancer Res. Aug 25 2023;doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-23-1272

## References



**CO29** 

## **Study Retrieval and Selection**

- Initial search retrieved 3,289 studies from databases
- 11 studies met inclusion criteria; 6 were analyzed in the meta-analysis

## **Participant Overview**

- Median age varied from 64 to 73 years
- Follow-up between 9.4 and 44.4 months

### Analysis Group Details

- Intervention Group: Included 1,772 patients on BTK inhibitors (BTKi)
- Comparator Group: Consisted of 1,676 patients receiving other treatments

### **Key Findings on Infection Risks**

- Infection Severity: Higher occurrence of Grade  $\geq$  III infections (such as pneumonia, upper respiratory, and urinary tract infections) in the BTKi group
- Risk Ratio (RR): 1.64 patients on BTKi had a 64% higher risk of developing severe infections
- Confidence Interval (CI): 95% CI from 1.03 to 2.61, indicating a statistically significant result

# Conclusion

Patients with B-cell lymphoma who are treated with BTK inhibitor monotherapy have an increased risk of developing severe infections.

Clinicians prescribing BTK inhibitor monotherapy should be vigilant about the potential for infectious complications.

It is critical to monitor these patients closely for any signs of infection and to implement effective prophylactic strategies to mitigate this risk.