Impact of Definitions of Vaso-occlusion in Clinical Studies of Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) on Efficacy Outcome Assessment

Haydar Frangoul¹; Suzan Imren²; Fengjuan Xuan²; Nanxin Li²; Jaime Rubin Cahill²; Bill Hobbs²; Franco Locatelli³

¹Sarah Cannon Research Institute at The Children's Hospital at TriStar Centennial, Nashville, TN, USA; ²Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated, Boston, MA, USA; ³IRCCS, Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù Rome, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

INTRODUCTION

- Frequent episodes of vaso-occlusion are a clinical hallmark of sickle cell disease (SCD). Vaso-occlusion results from blood flow blockages that deprive tissues and organs of adequate oxygen and nutrients, resulting in severe pain and contributing to progressive organ dysfunction and failure.¹
- A variety of therapeutic options are aimed at reducing or eliminating these episodes; however, the definition of vaso-occlusion is not consistent across different clinical trials.
- As the SCD treatment landscape evolves and includes the promise of potentially curative therapies, it is important to understand the impact of differing definitions for vaso-occlusion episodes on efficacy outcome data.
- This information may be of particular interest to payers and health technology assessment (HTA) agencies as they evaluate potentially curative therapies to ensure a balanced assessment across available products.

OBJECTIVE

 To compare vaso-occlusion endpoint definitions from clinical trials for various therapies, including genetic therapies, and use clinical trial data to demonstrate the potential for outcomes differences based on differing definitions of vaso-occlusive episodes.

METHODS

- We reviewed completed and ongoing clinical trials and published articles using endpoints assessing the reduction or elimination of vaso-occlusive crisis/events, including trials of
- exagamglogene autotemcel (exa-cel, CRISPR/Cas-9 based genome editing treatment)²
- lovotibeglogene autotemcel (lovo-cel, gene addition therapy)³
- hydroxyurea (HU)⁴
- crizanlizumab⁵
- I-glutamine⁶
- voxelotor⁷
- Outcomes related to vaso-occlusion were compared across variables including care setting, care duration, treatments, and complications.
- Data from the CLIMB SCD-121 trial of exa-cel in patients aged 12 to 35 years with severe SCD (data cut as of 14 Jun 2023) were evaluated using different published definitions of vaso-occlusion.

RESULTS

Comparison of vaso-occlusion endpoint definitions

- All vaso-occlusion endpoints evaluated involved a health care facility visit. The most substantial differences in vaso-occlusion endpoint definitions were associated with frequency and duration of visits to health care facilities for acute pain and inclusion of specific SCD specific complications.
- Definitions of vaso-occlusions for acute pain related events differed across studies (**Table 1**)
- The definitions of severe vaso-occlusive crises for exa-cel (severe VOC; exa-cel), sickle cell pain-related crises (SCPC; crizanlizumab and L-glutamine), and VOC (VOC; voxelotor) include events with medical facility visits of any duration (severe VOC and SCPC) or medical records of a patient being seen or contacting a physician within 1 business day of an event (VOC).
- Definition of severe vaso-occlusive events (severe VOE; lovo-cel) requires a \geq 24-hour hospital or emergency room (ER) observation unit visit or ≥ 2 visits to a day unit or ER over 72 hours.
- Vaso-occlusion definition for HU (painful crisis) required a facility visit of \geq 4 hours duration.
- All endpoints include acute chest syndrome (**Table 1**).
- All but one vaso-occlusion endpoint (VOC; voxelotor) include priapism, for these endpoints that include priapism, the frequency of visits to health care facility varies (**Table 1**).
- The definition of severe VOE (lovo-cel) requires ≥ 4 visits to a medical facility for priapism to meet criterion.
- The definition of several VOC (exa-cel), painful crisis (HU), and SCPC (crizanlizumab and L-glutamine) require only a single visit.
- The exa-cel phase 3 trial in SCD employs a broad and inclusive definition for severe VOC which counts each individual medical facility visit, regardless of frequency or duration of hospitalization (Table 2).

	Exa-cel	Lovo-cel		Hydroxyurea	Crizanlizumab	L-glutamine	Voxelotor
Endpoint	Severe Vaso-occlusive Crisis "severe VOC"	Severe Vaso-occlusive Event "severe VOE"	Vaso-occlusive Event "VOE"	Painful Crisis	Sickle Cell Related Pain Crisis "SCPC"	Sickle Cell Related Pain Crisis "SCPC"	Vaso-occlusive Crisis "VOC"
Medical facility visit required?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Any duration of healthcare facility visit included?	Yes	No; if admission <24 hours, at least 2 visits to a day unit or ER over 72-hour period required	Yes	No; requires at least 4-hour duration	Yes	Yes	No; requires a patient being seen or contacting a physician within 1 business day of an even
Oral or IV narcotics or IV NSAIDs included?	Yes	No; requires IV treatment	Yes	No; requires IV treatment	Yes	Yes	Yes
Acute chest syndrome included? ^a	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single priapism event included?	Yes	No; requires 4 episodes to a medical facility	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Splenic sequestration included? ^b	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Hepatic sequestration included? ^c	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Solenic sequestration definition is generally similar across the endpoints.

epatic sequestration definition is generally similar across the endpoints bbreviations: ER: emergency room; IV: intravenous; NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SCPC: sickle cell pain-related crises; VOC: vaso-occlusive crises; VOE: vaso-occlusive even

n Per Exa-cel CLIMB-121 Trial
Severe VOC Requirements per F
 Acute pain event requiring a visit IV opioids or IV NSAIDs) or RBC
 Acute chest syndrome, as indicated associated with pneumonia-like stated
 Priapism lasting >2 hours and red
 Splenic sequestration and an act
Includes events that involve:
 A short hospital stay (i.e., less that
 Administration of oral narcotics
 Single emergency room or outpat
 Individual events of priapism (>2 I
 Events require either a medical fa
 Events are not restricted to hospi

Analysis of CLIMB-121 study based on different vaso-occlusion definitions

• Based on the protocol definition for severe VOC in the CLIMB-121, 29 out of 30 patients (96.7%) met the primary endpoint of freedom from severe VOCs for at least 12 consecutive months (VF12; 95% CI: 82.8%, 99.9%) (Figure 1). - When the primary endpoint was analyzed using the severe VOE definition (see lovo-cel severe VOE definition in Table 1), all patients (30/30; 100.0%) were free from severe VOEs for at least 12 consecutive months (95% CI: 88.4%, 100.0%).



sed on study 121 primary efficacy set based on data cut as of 14 Jun 20 ations: VF12: freedom from severe VOCs for at least 12 consecutive months: VOC: vaso-occlusive crises; VOE: vaso-occlusive events

IV: intravenous; NSAIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; RBC: red blood cell; VOC: vaso-occlusive crises

Protocol

Protocol Definition

t to a medical facility and administration of pain medications (oral or transfusions

ated by the presence of a new pulmonary infiltrate on imaging symptoms, pain or fever

equiring a visit to a medical facility

cute decrease in hemoglobin concentrations of $\geq 2 \text{ g/dL}$

nan 24 hours)

atient clinic visits of any duration

hours)

facility visit or an evaluation by a healthcare professional

pital admission

- employed.

REFERENCES

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

HF is a consultant to Editas Medicine, Rocket Pharmaceuticals, and Vertex Pharmaceuticals (steering committee); FL is consultant to Amgen, bellicum Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Novimmune, Sobi, Inc; speaker bureau for Bluebird Bio and Gilead Sciences; steering committee Vertex Pharmaceuticals. SI, FX, NL, JRC, and BH are employees of Vertex Pharmaceuticals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to study participants and their families, as well as sites, investigators, nurses, and the entire exa-cel team. Medical writing, graphic support, and editorial coordination were provided by Nathan Blow, PhD, and Concetta G. Marfella, PhD, who are employees of Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and may hold stock and/or stock options at the company. CLIMB SCD-121 is sponsored by Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated and **CRISPR** Therapeutics.

CONCLUSIONS

• Requirements for health care facility visits in the definitions of severe VOC (exa-cel), VOC (voxelotor), and SCPC (crizanlizumab and Lglutamine) were more broadly inclusive and include events that would not be counted in the definitions of severe VOE (lovo-cel) or painful crisis (HU).

 Clinically, support for this observation comes from our analysis of exa-cel SCD pivotal clinical trial data using these different definitions, which showed the number of patients free from vaso-occlusive episodes changed depending on the definition of vaso-occlusion

• The definition of severe VOC in the exa-cel program is one of the most inclusive compared to other approved gene therapies and disease modifying therapies.

• These results show differences in vaso-occlusion definitions have the potential to impact assessments of treatment efficacy, which should be considered by broad stakeholders including payers and HTA agencies in their evaluation of different SCD therapies.

1. Kato GJ, et al. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4:18010. 2. Frangoul H et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(3):252-60. 3. Kantar J, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(7):617-28. 4. Charache S, et al. *N Eng J Med*. 1995;332(20):1317-22. 5. Ataga KI, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(5):429-39. 6. Niihara Y, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(3);226-35. 7. Vichinsky E, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(6);509-19.