Evaluation of the Healthcare System Burden Associated with Intravenous Treatment for Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria

KEY FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS

The study revealed **complexities associated** with IV treatment with ravulizumab for PNH from a healthcare system perspective.

Given the resource and time-intensive nature of IV treatment for patients and providers, alongside infusion centers operating at full capacity, the integration of an oral treatment like iptacopan could increase infusion center capacity and enhance scheduling flexibility for managing other concurrent conditions.

As physicians may not have complete visibility into certain treatment preparation and administration procedures, further studies are warranted to understand the burden of IV **treatment** from the perspective of additional healthcare personnel (nurses, pharmacists) and the patient perspective. A second phase of this study is planned, involving interviews with nurses and pharmacists.

This study was sponsored by Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation.

Medical writing assistance provided by medical writers at Analysis Group, Inc. Poster presented at ISPOR 2024, Atlanta, Georgia, May 5-8, 2024

BACKGROUND

- · Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) is a rare and potentially life-threatening disorder with ongoing hemolysis that may cause thrombosis and bone marrow failure [1]
- Treatments for PNH in the US include complement inhibitors (Ci) such as C5 inhibitors, intravenous (IV) eculizumab (FDA-approved 2007)
- and IV ravulizumab (FDA-approved: 2018), a subcutaneous (SQ) C3 inhibitor pegcetacoplan (FDA-approved: 2021), with most recent options iptacopan, an oral complement factor B inhibitor (FDAapproved: 2023), and danicopan as an add-on to C5 inhibitors (FDAapproved: 2024)
- · Following the introduction of ravulizumab, patients with PNH treated with an IV C5 inhibitor tend to be initiated on ravulizumab or switched from eculizumab to ravulizumab [2]; Data on the use of more recent PNH treatments are limited
- For IV ravulizumab, the recommended dosing regimen consists of a loading dose, followed by maintenance doses once every 8-week,

RESULTS

Physician Characteristics

- Of the 5 participating physicians, the majority worked in an academic center (80%) with 10-14 years of experience • On average, physicians saw 10 patients with PNH in the past 12 months, of which they prescribed treatment to 8 patients

Main Results

- The process map delineated multiple steps from treatment decision to administration, inclusively (Figure 1) - The total time required from treatment decision to administration was ~2-4 weeks, which included the time required for insurance approval (from 2 days to 2-3 weeks) and the time spent by patients at each infusion center visit
- (3-4 hours) - If patients have manual or physical jobs, then they may need to take a full day off of work during day of treatment administration
- and administration at infusion centers



- representative of all US practices • The workflow cannot be generalized to inpatient, emergency department, or home health service

settings, which would also require personnel time and materials

Steps that would be alleviated by an oral treatment, such as iptacopan, include treatment scheduling

- starting 2 weeks after the loading dose administration, with IV administration by a healthcare provider [3]
- Physicians may modify the dosing schedule with more frequent infusions, particularly in case of breakthrough hemolysis to rereach disease control [3]
- For oral iptacopan, the recommended dosage is 200 mg orally twice daily with or without food (capsules of 200 mg) [4]
- IV infusion, such as ravulizumab, can have significant implications for treatment administration resources, including time and costs. From a healthcare system perspective, it can be both human and materials resource-intensive [5]
- In contrast, oral therapies, such as iptacopan, could offer the advantage of patients taking their medications without requiring the same level
- of institutional resources (including personnel time and materials required for infusion)

Physician Perspective on Treatments

Main challenges related to IV treatmen

VACCINATIONS

- Vaccinations are generally handled by specialty pharmacies availability can be limited in community-based settings
- Ravulizumab administration may be delayed if vaccines are r date; such delays sometimes occur because physicians may have visibility on the status of vaccination boosters (requirem REMS) in the EMR system

INSURANCE APPROVAL

- There are delays in treatment initiation due to issues with insu approvals, necessitating the drafting of appeal letters to insura for approval
- There are delays in obtaining off-label doses, for example, for hemolysis (BTH), due to added complexity of obtaining insura
- Inpatient treatment of patients with urgent needs relies on the stocked at the hospital pharmacy (e.g., eculizumab)

- 4. Novartis (FDA approval: 2023) Fabhalta (Iptacopan)
- 5. De Cock E, et al. *PLOS ONE*. 2016;11(6): e0157957

Brian P. Mulherin¹, Daniel Winokur², Abdulraheem Yacoub³, Soyon Lee⁴, Ver Bilano⁵, Glorian P. Yen⁴, Anumaxine Geevarghese⁴, Jincy Paulose⁴, Annie Guerin⁶, Dominick Latremouille-Viau⁶, Gayatri Marathe⁶, Anem Waheed⁷

¹ Hematology Oncology of Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ² UMass Memorial Health Care, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA; ³ KU Medical Center, The University of Kansas, Kansas City, Kansas, USA; ⁴ Novartis Pharmaceutical Corp, East Hanover, New Jersey, USA; ⁵ Novartis Pharmaceuticals UK Ltd, London, United Kingdom; ⁶ Analysis Group, Inc., Montréal, Quebec, Canada; ⁷ Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

OBJECTIVE

With availability of the novel oral therapy iptacopan for paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) since December 2023, to delineate steps in the IV treatment workflow with ravulizumab from a healthcare system perspective and identify steps potentially simplified with the adoption of this oral therapy

METHODS

- This qualitative cross-sectional study consisted of individual in-depth semi-structured interviews with five US hematologists between October and December 2023 (prior to iptacopan FDA-approval)
 - Eligible physicians were interviewed remotely using a semi-structured interview guide via Microsoft Teams An initial 60-minute interview and a 30-minute follow-up
- interview were conducted with each physician • Participating physicians were from large medical centers (academic and community-based) experienced in treating PNH patients with IV ravulizumab
 - Had completed medical subspecialty training in hematology
 - Had treated or were currently treating patients with PNH and were responsible for treatment decisions for patients with PNH
 - Had prescribed IV ravulizumab to patients with PNH

nt with ravuliz	zumab:		Introduction of the oral therap	y, iptacopan:
and • not up to not always ent of •	TREATMENT SCHEDULING Scheduling flexibility is limited as infusion centers operate at full capacity, exace staffing constraints within the center. Securing available chairs for patients can be challenging, potentially resulting in treatment delays, which is not recommended. There have been instances of patients with PNH experiencing dose delays with centers prioritizing cancer patients, suggesting a need for enhanced education a centers regarding PNH treatment PATIENT TIME COMMITMENT	erbated by be some at infusion	 Eliminates the need for treatment scheduling, thereby easing the burden on infusion centers and healthcare providers Reduces the patient's travel burden, as they no longer need to visit an infusion center every 8 weeks, minimizing loss of workdays Alleviates the inconvenience of lab tests by allowing them to be conducted at a facility closer to the patient's home, although the frequency of testing remains unchanged Requires healthcare providers to be involved in additional patient education, adverse event monitoring, and treatment compliance monitoring; adherence to the oral treatment will be crucial May only be available in select specialty pharmacies and may have to be shipped to the patient's home Maintains a similar frequency of physician visits for disease control and 	
 urance rance providers r breakthrough ance approvals e medications 	 ack of flexibility in selecting infusion centers, coupled with the complexity of tching or finding an alternative center, poses significant challenges ients typically continue receiving treatment at the initial infusion center, with some veling long distances, even requiring flights, every 8 weeks for their appointments ients often structure their lives around their treatment administration appointments. me may prefer to schedule their treatments for an entire year, which can be redensome for the center due to reduced flexibility 		treatment efficacy monitoring. Clinic visit frequency may vary based on physician preference, with more frequent visits typically occurring during the first 6 months of treatment, gradually decreasing as the patient stabilizes and gains experience	
	Legend High-level steps related to diagnosis and monitoring of PNH;		atory activities	
	 outside the scope of the study Treatment decision, treatment planning, and treatment orders Activities related to physical interactions with the patient and include vaccinations, antibiotics, access port, and drawing blood for laboratory tests. It does not include any of the IV treatment steps Administrative-type steps related to preparations for treatment and include insurance pre-authorization, financial, scheduling, and patient coordination 	Physic	hysician exam or clinic on the day of treatment Steps that alleviated with	
		Patien Treatm	 Patient movement before and after treatment Treatment administration steps within the infusion area Treatment administration steps within the infusion area 	
		Pharm	acy activities	



 Detailed information was collected on the workflow for IV treatment with ravulizumab including patient reception. treatment preparation, treatment administration, postadministration monitoring, and additional steps for treatment reimbursement

- The interview data were analyzed to identify steps in the IV treatment workflow, leading to the development of a process map, covering treatment decision/planning to outpatient IV administration
- · Physicians' perspectives on process complexities related to IV treatment and steps that could be simplified through the adoption of an oral therapy such as iptacopan were collected
- An IRB exemption was received for the conduct of this study