# Optimizing Regression Models for Patient-Level Utility Scores: A Case Study on Addressing Ceiling Effects in EQ-5D Data from the MYR301 Clinical Trial

Shubhram Pandey<sup>1</sup>, Barinder Singh<sup>2</sup>, Akanksha Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Marvin Rock<sup>3</sup>, Chong Kim<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Pharmacoevidence, Mohali, India; <sup>2</sup>Pharmacoevidence, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA

# Conclusion



- Tobit around the median exhibited the highest performance, particularly in managing heavy ceiling effects, followed by the mean-centered Tobit and the log-transformed two-part model (TPM) with median
- In the presence of a ceiling effect in the EuroQol 5 Dimension (EQ-5D) index scores, Tobit analysis provides most accurate and robust results by accounting for censoring in the regression models
- From the perspective of health technology assessment submissions, a detailed assessment of regression models should be considered to select the most appropriate model that accurately describes the utility gain/loss thus allowing for a reliable and robust assessment of the impact of treatments on healthrelated quality of life

- A noticeable ceiling effect was observed in the MYR301 EQ-5D data, where close to 50% of participants attained the highest health score
- This study explored various statistical models to analyze EQ-5D data for addressing the ceiling effect challenge
- The predictive accuracy of the models was assessed using pseudo-R-squared, where higher values signify better prediction
- The study concluded that Tobit around the median was the most effective, followed by Tobit around the mean and log-transformed TPM with the median model
- The Tobit models provided most accurate and robust results, compared to other regression models (e.g., beta regression), when dealing with the ceiling effect in EQ-5D scores

References: 1. Gusi N, et al. Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures. 2010; 87-99. **2.** Huang I, et al. *Health Serv Res*. 2008; 43; 327-39.

3. Wedemeyer H, et al. N Engl J Med. 2023; 389; 22-32.

**4.** Hardin, J. W., Hilbe, J. M. (2007). Generalized linear models and extensions. USA: Taylor & Francis. Page 60, Google Books

Acknowledgments: We extend our thanks to the patients, their families, and all participating investigators. This study was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc. Editing and production assistance were provided by Pharmacoevidence

**Disclosures:** SP, BS, and AS are employees of Pharmacoevidence, and CK and MR are employees of Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Correspondence: Barinder Singh, barinder.singh@pharmacoevidence.com



Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) and /or text key codes are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors

### Introduction

- The EQ-5D index is the preferable and widely used instrument that could serve as the basis for summarizing and comparing health outcomes to assess preferencebased health status<sup>1</sup>
- EQ-5D index measures the degree to which individuals prefer certain health states on a scale from 0 to 1, with 0 representing death and 1 representing optimal health<sup>2</sup>
- Patient-level utility scores derived using regression models may exhibit suboptimal performance when confronted with the ceiling effect

#### Objective

• This study aimed to identify the most suitable model for addressing the ceiling effect in EQ-5D scores from the MYR301 clinical trial<sup>3</sup>

#### Methodology

- MYR301 was a Phase 3 trial designed to assess the long-term efficacy and safety of bulevirtide in Hepatitis D patients by comparing daily doses of 2 mg and 10 mg bulevirtide to delayed treatment
- EQ-5D health state profile at the patient level was transformed into an index score (utility) by applying the United Kingdom general population preference weights to each level in every dimension
- Various regression models were employed to analyze EQ-5D scores and generate coefficients linked to covariates. The models' predictive accuracy was evaluated using McFadden's pseudo-R-squared; where higher values signify better prediction
- McFadden's Pseudo R-Squared<sup>4</sup> is calculated as :

Pseudo  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\ln LL (Mfull)}{\ln LL (Mintercept)}$ 

Where, the numerator is the log likelihood of the model with intercept and covariates and the denominator is the log likelihood with intercept only

#### Results

- The analysis of EQ-5D index scores from MYR 301 indicated a noticeable leftward skew in the distribution, with approximately 45-50% of subjects achieving the highest score of 1
- This observation strongly suggested the presence of a ceiling effect in the data, characterized by a substantial proportion of subjects i.e. approximately 50%, attaining maximum scores (greater than 0.9 to 1) at week 48
- Figure 1 depicts the distribution of utility scores across 100 subjects and Figure **2** represents accuracy measure of regression models

#### Figure 1. Distribution of utility scores at week 48



ISPOR US 2024; May 5-8, 2024; Atlanta, GA, USA



0.25













# **Results (Contd.)**

• According to Pseudo R<sup>2</sup> values, the Tobit model around the median showed the highest accuracy followed by Tobit around the mean

Figure 2: Regression models depicting pseudo R<sup>2</sup>

The highest utility gain for composite responders was reported (Figure 3) for the TPM with median (0.207) followed by the TPM with mean (0.199)

## Figure 3: Composite Responder Utility Gain



# Limitations

- The data for missing values of EQ-5D scores were not imputed
- TPM may suffer from the problem of multicollinearity between explanatory variables and the probability correction variables