A targeted literature review of economic evaluations, health-related quality of life, healthcare resource use and costs associated with polymyalgia rheumatica Mohammad Salman Hussain¹, Himanshu Modi¹, Ramakrishna GS¹, McKenna SJ², Büsch K³

¹Novartis Healthcare Pvt. Ltd, Hyderabad, Telangana, India; ²Novartis Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland; ³Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland

INTRODUCTION

- Polymyalgia Rheumatica (PMR) is an inflammatory rheumatic disorder characterized by muscle pain and stiffness, especially in the neck, shoulder, and pelvic girdle.¹
- Glucocorticoids (GC) are the standard of care; however, it is reported that up to 50% of people with PMR relapse during the first year and 25% require treatment for 4-8 years.¹
- There is a need for new GC-sparing treatments to sustain remission and reduce the risk of adverse events associated with long term GC use.
- **Objective:** To identify, and summarize existing literature on economic evaluations, health-related quality of life (HRQoL), healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) and costs in adults with PMR, and subsequently identify relevant knowledge gaps to support future research activities.

METHODS

- A targeted literature review was conducted in July 2023. Search strategies were implemented in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane, HTA and NHS economic evaluation databases.
- Hand searches on HTA websites, clinical trial registries and key congresses were also performed.
- All records identified were screened against pre-defined PICO criteria (Table 1) during the initial (title/abstract) and second (full text) screening.
- All publications except case reports, case series, comments, narrative reviews, editorials, animal studies, and notes were included. Results were limited to those in the English language.
- Screening and data extractions were completed by one reviewer. Uncertainties were discussed with a second independent reviewer, who also performed quality checks of extracted data.
- Study selection, data extraction and summary of findings were conducted using current best practices.

Table 1. PICO criteria

Population Interventions/ Comparator	Adults (≥50 years age) with polymyalgia rheumatica Any interventions or comparators		
Outcomes	 Economic Evaluations Model parameters and aspects of model design Description of model and cost assumptions Summary health outcomes (e.g. QALYs, LYG) Model results including ICERs 	 HRQoL and Health utilities HRQoL data Descriptive summary of health states, and/or change in health status/QoL results Preference-based measures of utilities Direct utility estimates Mapping algorithms for utilities 	 HCRU and cos Cost drivers (hospitalizat length of stat length of stat of stat underpinning resource use Direct and in costs HCRU Methods of valuation

Abbreviations: HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ICER, incremental cost effectiveness ratio; LYG, life years gained; QALYs, quality adjusted life years

RESULTS

- A total of 2,748 publications were identified, of which 41 were included (Figure 1). **Economic Evaluations**
- No economic evaluations of treatments for PMR were identified in the literature.

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram

<u>sts</u>

tion and ndirect



HRQoL/utility publications

- Thirty publications, across 12 countries, reported HRQoL outcomes for people with PMR, of which 10 also reported utility values. Majority of the publications were from the UK (n=8).
- Visual analogue scale (VAS) (n=20) and The Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) (n=20) were the most common HRQoL tools. The EQ-5D was the most common utility instrument (n=10). All utility studies used generic preference-based measures.
- PMR is associated with a significant impact on HRQoL, both physically and mentally. Two publications reported a baseline physical component summary score of 35.^{1,2} Matteson et al 2012 also reported a baseline mental component summary score of 46 (0-100 score, higher scores indicating better QoL).²
- Treatment with sarilumab, tocilizumab or rituximab showed mixed results with regards to HRQoL/utility outcomes.^{1,3-6}
- Evidence gaps: No HRQoL nor utility data on individuals in different disease states were identified. Lack of data on the impact on HRQoL among caregivers and/or family members.

HCRU and cost publications

- Eleven publications were identified [costs & HCRU (n=5); HCRU only (n=4); costs only (n=2)], majority were from the US (n=7).
- The percentage of individuals requiring rheumatology visits, within a 1-year period, was found to be significantly higher in people with PMR compared to non-PMR (Figure 2).⁷
- Similarly, people with PMR relapse reported a significantly higher mean number of rheumatology visits versus those without relapse (Figure 3).⁸
- Among those requiring hospitalization for PMR the average length of stay ranged between 3 and 6.1 days.⁹⁻¹³
- The total direct medical cost of PMR is reported to be highest in the first year and continues to be higher in subsequent years compared to community-based controls (Figure 4).¹⁴
- Inpatient stays, medications, and paramedical procedures were the main additional cost drivers among people with concomitant PMR and Giant Cell arteritis (GCA) diagnosis compared to GCA only.¹⁵
- Muller et al 2016 reported that 80% of people with PMR counted on someone to provide practical support and 85% counted on someone to provide emotional support.¹⁶
- *Evidence gaps:* Direct cost data was limited and dated. No indirect costs or impact on work productivity and/or caregivers' impact was identified. There may be a need for additional data outside of the US.



Figure 2. HCRU in PMR vs non-PMR group⁷ Figure 3. HCRU in people with PMR with and without relapse⁸

Abbreviations: PMR, polymyalgia rheumatica; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; yr, year

Figure 4. Direct costs for people with PMR¹⁴



CONCLUSION

- People with PMR had impaired HRQoL, significantly higher number of rheumatology visits, and almost three times higher direct medical costs, within the first year of diagnosis, compared to non-PMR/control.¹⁴
- research is required to understand the extent of the economic, humanistic, and caregiver burden associated with PMR.

REFERENCES

- 1. Devauchelle et al. JAMA. 2022;328(11):1053-62 2. Matteson et al. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2012;39(4):795-803.
- 3. Marsman et al. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2021;3(11):e758-66.
- 4. Bolhuis et al. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2022;81:377-378 5. Strand et al. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2022; 74 (suppl 9)
- 6. Bonelli et al. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2022;81(6):838-44
- 7. Punekar et al. Value in Health. 2020;23:S216 8. Kremers et al . Arthritis Care & Research. 2005a;53(3):395-403.

Acknowledgments & Conflict of interest

The authors acknowledge Jessica Commane, Novartis Ireland Ltd, for supporting the development of the poster Mohammad Salman Hussain, Himanshu Modi, Ramakrishna GS, Sarah Jane McKenna and Katharina Büsch are/were employees of Novartis at time of study.

Poster presented at: ISPOR US May 5-8th 2024, Atlanta, Georgia, USA



• Though the literature is limited, the findings suggest there is a high impact on people with PMR. Further

- 9. Chrysidis et al. BMC rheumatology. 2021;5:1-8
- 10. Khan et al. World Journal of Clinical Cases. 2021:9(14):3252. 11. Raheel et al. Arthritis and Rheumatology 2018; 70 (suppl 9).
- 12. Singh et al. Clinical rheumatology. 2020;39:3427-38.
- 13. Sokhal et al. The American Journal of Cardiology. 2022;174:12-9
- 14. Kremers et al. Arthritis Care & Research. 2005b;53(4):578-584. 15. Mounie M et al. Arthritis Care Res. 2019;71(8):1127-1131
- 16. Muller et al. Arthritis research & therapy. 2016;18(1):1-9.



Scan this QR code to download a copy of the poster