

## Comparative Genitourinary Safety of In-Class Sodium Glucose Co-Transporter-2 Inhibitors among Patients with Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction



Munaza Riaz, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Steven M. Smith MPH<sup>1,2</sup>, David E. Winchester, MD,<sup>2</sup> Jingchuan Guo, PhD,<sup>1</sup>, Eric A. Dietrich, PharmD<sup>3</sup>Haesuk Park, PhD<sup>1</sup>

**CO30** 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Outcomes and Policy, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA <sup>2</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacotherapy and Translational Research, College of Pharmacy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

## BACKGROUND

Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) have received an expanded indication for heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) by the Food and Drug Administration, but little is known about their real-world in-class comparative safety in patients with HFpEF.

We aimed to compare in-class safety of SGLT2i for the risk of genitourinary infections, a composite of urinary tract infections (UTI) or genital infections, among patients with HFpEF.

## Methods

- Study Design: Retrospective New-user Active Comparator Cohort Study
- Data Source: IBM® MarketScan® claims databases
- Study Period: 01-01-2012 to 12-31-2020
- Study Population: Patients aged ≥18 years diagnosed with HFpEF who initiated an SGLT2i (canagliflozin, dapagliflozin or empagliflozin) were included.
- Index Date: The first prescription fill date of SGLT2i
- Study Exposures: Three pairwise comparisons of patients including cohort 1 (dapagliflozin vs. canagliflozin), cohort 2 (empagliflozin vs. canagliflozin), and cohort 3 (dapagliflozin vs. empagliflozin).
- Study Outcomes: A composite of urinary tract infections (UTI) or genital infections; Individual components outcomes
- Statistical Analysis: After stabilized inverse probability treatment weighting, Cox proportional hazards regression was used to compare the composite outcome and each individual component outcome in each cohort. Several subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed to check the robustness of the findings.

## Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with HFpEF after stabilized inverse probability treatment weighting

Cohort 1			Cohort 2			Cohort 3		
Dapagliflozin n=422	Canagliflozin n=522	SMD	Empagliflozin n=849	Canagliflozin n=511	SMD	Dapagliflozin n=413	Empagliflozin n=849	SMD
62.2 (9.8)	62.1 (10.5)	0.01	62.6 (10.9)	62.4 (10.4)	0.02	61.0 (10.2)	61.2 (10.6)	0.01
		0.07			0.01			<0.01
208 (50.1)	266 (51.9)		445 (52.5)	266 (51.9)		208 (50.1)	445 (52.5)	
207 (49.9)	247 (48.2)		403 (47.5)	247 (48.1)		207 (49.9)	403 (47.5)	
		0.06			0.09			<0.01
259 (62.9)	254 (49.8)		487 (57.4)	256 (49.9)		259 (62.4)	487 (57.4)	
		0.10			0.08			<0.01
220 (53.0)	227 (44.3)		391 (46.1)	227 (44.3)		220 (53.0)	391 (46.1)	
132 (31.8)	200 (39.0)	0.05	377 (44.5)	200 (39.0)	0.04	132 (31.8)	377 (44.5)	0.02
390 (94.0)	501 (97.7)	0.01	819 (96.6)	501 (97.7)	0.03	390 (94.0)	819 (96.6)	0.01
281 (67.7)	347 (67.6)	0.02	605 (71.3)	347 (67.6)	0.02	281 (67.7)	605 (71.3)	0.01
327 (78.8)	410 (79.9)	0.03	685 (80.8)	410 (80.0)	0.01	327 (78.8)	685 (80.8)	0.02
36 (8.7)	55 (10.7)	0.01	85 (10.0)	55 (10.7)	0.05	36 (8.7)	85 (10.0)	0.02
331 (80.3)	427 (83.7)	0.02	699 (82.4)	430 (83.8)	0.07	331 (79.8)	699 (82.4)	0.01
154 (37.1)	209 (40.7)	<0.01	209 (38.2)	324 (40.7)	<0.01	154 (37.1)	324 (38.2)	0.01
46 (11.1)	65 (12.7)	0.04	114 (13.4)	65 (12.7)	0.04	46 (11.1)	114 (13.4)	<0.01
	n=422 62.2 (9.8) 208 (50.1) 207 (49.9) 259 (62.9) 220 (53.0) 132 (31.8) 390 (94.0) 281 (67.7) 327 (78.8) 36 (8.7) 331 (80.3) 154 (37.1) 46 (11.1)	n=422       n=522         62.2 (9.8)       62.1 (10.5)         208 (50.1)       266 (51.9)         207 (49.9)       247 (48.2)         259 (62.9)       254 (49.8)         220 (53.0)       227 (44.3)         132 (31.8)       200 (39.0)         390 (94.0)       501 (97.7)         281 (67.7)       347 (67.6)         327 (78.8)       410 (79.9)         36 (8.7)       55 (10.7)         331 (80.3)       427 (83.7)         154 (37.1)       209 (40.7)         46 (11.1)       65 (12.7)	n=422       n=522         62.2 (9.8)       62.1 (10.5)       0.01         0.07       208 (50.1)       266 (51.9)         207 (49.9)       247 (48.2)         0.06       259 (62.9)       254 (49.8)         0.10       220 (53.0)       227 (44.3)         132 (31.8)       200 (39.0)       0.05         390 (94.0)       501 (97.7)       0.01         281 (67.7)       347 (67.6)       0.02         327 (78.8)       410 (79.9)       0.03         36 (8.7)       55 (10.7)       0.01         331 (80.3)       427 (83.7)       0.02         154 (37.1)       209 (40.7)       <0.01	n=422       n=522       SMD       n=849         62.2 (9.8)       62.1 (10.5)       0.01       62.6 (10.9)         0.07       208 (50.1)       266 (51.9)       445 (52.5)         207 (49.9)       247 (48.2)       403 (47.5)         0.06       259 (62.9)       254 (49.8)       487 (57.4)         0.10       220 (53.0)       227 (44.3)       391 (46.1)         132 (31.8)       200 (39.0)       0.05       377 (44.5)         390 (94.0)       501 (97.7)       0.01       819 (96.6)         281 (67.7)       347 (67.6)       0.02       605 (71.3)         327 (78.8)       410 (79.9)       0.03       685 (80.8)         36 (8.7)       55 (10.7)       0.01       85 (10.0)         331 (80.3)       427 (83.7)       0.02       699 (82.4)         154 (37.1)       209 (40.7)       <0.01	Dapagliflozin n=422         Canagliflozin n=522         SMD n=849         Canagliflozin n=811           62.2 (9.8)         62.1 (10.5)         0.01         62.6 (10.9)         62.4 (10.4)           208 (50.1)         266 (51.9)         445 (52.5)         266 (51.9)           207 (49.9)         247 (48.2)         403 (47.5)         247 (48.1)           259 (62.9)         254 (49.8)         487 (57.4)         256 (49.9)           220 (53.0)         227 (44.3)         391 (46.1)         227 (44.3)           132 (31.8)         200 (39.0)         0.05         377 (44.5)         200 (39.0)           390 (94.0)         501 (97.7)         0.01         819 (96.6)         501 (97.7)           281 (67.7)         347 (67.6)         0.02         605 (71.3)         347 (67.6)           327 (78.8)         410 (79.9)         0.03         685 (80.8)         410 (80.0)           36 (8.7)         55 (10.7)         0.01         85 (10.0)         55 (10.7)           331 (80.3)         427 (83.7)         0.02         699 (82.4)         430 (83.8)           154 (37.1)         209 (40.7)         <0.01	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dapagliflozin   Canagliflozin   n=422   n=522   SMD   n=849   n=511   SMD   n=413   n=849   n=849   n=511   SMD   n=413   n=849   n=849   n=849   n=849   n=413   n=849   n=

Table 2. Risk of UTI or genital infection as composite (primary) or separate outcomes in inverse probability treatment weighting—adjusted analyses

	Cohort 1			rt 2	Cohort 3							
Analysis	Dapagliflozin	Canagliflozin	Empagliflozin	Canagliflozin	Dapagliflozin	Empagliflozin						
	n=422	n=522	n=849	n=511	n=413	n=849						
Composite (UTI or genital infection) outcome												
No. of patients with event	24	27	66	27	24	66						
Person-months	4,774	3,397	8,628	3,337	4,583	8,735						
Incidence rate per 100 person-months	0.50	0.79	0.76	0.81	0.52	0.76						
HR (95% CI)	0.64 (0.36 – 1.14)	Ref	1.25 (0.77 – 2.05)	Ref	0.76 (0.48 - 1.21)	Ref						
UTI												
No. of patients with event	20	21	48	21	20	48						
Person-months	4,837	3,437	8,818	3,364	4,647	8,935						
Incidence rate per 100 person-months	0.41	0.61	0.54	0.62	0.43	0.54						
HR (95% CI)	0.64 (0.33 – 1.24)	Ref	1.24 (0.70 – 2.21)	Ref	0.86 (0.50 - 1.49)	Ref						
Genital Infection												
No. of patients with event	6	7	21	7	6	21						
Person-months	4,959	3,523	9,103	3,439	4,780	9,204						
Incidence rate per 100 person-months	0.12	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.13	0.23						
HR (95% CI)	0.73 (0.26 – 2.09)	Ref	1.35 (0.55 – 3.28)	Ref	0.68 (0.30 - 1.55)	Ref						

Follow on X:

@RiazMunaza
@UFCoDES

Contact Email:

Contact Email: m.riaz@ufl.edu





Abbreviations: HFpEF = heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; PPO = preferre provider organization; SD = standard deviation; SMD = standardized mean difference; US = United States; CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; n = number of patients; Ref = reference; UTI, urinary tract infection

- Our study using large administrative claims databases found that empagliflozin, dapagliflozin, and canagliflozin do not differ significantly in the risk of genitourinary infections for patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction.
- Our study provides evidence for the genitourinary safety of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors and recommends their use based on effectiveness and tolerability for patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction.