Putnam Inizio Advisory

Background and Objective

- Epilepsy is a neurological disorder characterized by recurrent seizures. World Health Organization (WHO), epilepsy is one of the most common disorders globally, affecting approximately 50 million people worldwide approximately 3.4 million people in the United States.²
- In addition to physical and psychological burden, epilepsy also has sign and socioeconomic implications. Healthcare burden associated with ep expenses related to doctor visits, diagnostic tests (e.g., EEG, MRI), antiep medications, and surgical interventions such as epilepsy surgery or vag stimulation.³ As per Begley et al, healthcare costs per person ranged fro \$47,862 annually.⁴
- The aim of the study was to assess quality of life and healthcare resource (HCRU) among epilepsy patients in the US, compared to matched cont

Methods

- Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) database for the period from used to assess population-based estimates of quality of life and HCRU
- Epilepsy patients were included if they met the following criteria a) Had a related ICD-10 diagnosis code (G40) and
- b) Were taking >1 epilepsy-related medication and/or had >1 visit to a i • Epilepsy patients were matched 1:5 with case controls on age, gender a non-epilepsy respondents (those not diagnosed with epilepsy) using Gre algorithm.
- Differences between epilepsy and control cohorts in categorical variabl using the Chi-square test, while continuous variables were examined u multivariate gamma model with log link function was applied to evalua costs. Occurrence/ utilization rate of inpatient and outpatient visits and investigated using zero inflated Poisson (ZIP) and Poisson models, resp calculate annual average of health resources.
- All costs have been adjusted to 2021 using the medical component of Price Index.

Results

Selection of analytical cohorts

- A total of 1,12,267 adult respondents were included in MEPS during the 2021). Of these, 441 were diagnosed with epilepsy (ICD-10-CM: G40), whi had either an epilepsy-related medication and/or neurologist visit withi (Refer to Figure 1).
- These 415 cases were matched 1:5 with 2,075 non-epilepsy respondents based on gender, age, geographic region and survey year. Matching wa the Greedy matching algorithm. (Refer to Table 1)

Figure 1: Inclusion/Exclusion criteria to identify analytical cohorts



CONTACT

Debasish Mazumder Debasish.Mazumder@putassoc.com

Quality of Life and Burden of Epilepsy Patients in United States: A Comparative Study of Matched Case-Control Based on Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), 2017-2021

Debasish Mazumder, PhD¹, Rohit Tyagi, MPH¹, Rachana Patel, PhD¹, Ravi Potluri, MBA² ¹Putnam, Inizio Advisory, Gurugram India; ²Putnam, Inizio Advisory, NY

	Cohort characteristics The cohorts were well matched on all characteri			
According to the n neurological e.¹ Epilepsy affects	 process. Substantial differences showed characteristics, reflecting the role of epiler Epilepsy respondents were nearly twice cohort (43.7% vs. 22.2%; p-value; <0.001). 	between osy: e as likely 1		
nificant healthcare oilepsy include pileptic gus nerve om \$10,192 to	 Conort (43.7% vs. 22.2%; p-value: <0.001). Epilepsy respondents had lower education le vs 40.6%; p-value: 0.0025). 61.9% epilepsy respondents were unemployed 32.6% in the control group (p-value: <0.001). A higher proportion of epilepsy group below compared to the control group (36.9% vs. 24). 			
ces utilization trols.	unable to pay medical bills in the last 12 in 10 in the control group (p-value: <0.00	2 months a 001). (Refer		
	Table 2: Baseline demographics and soc	io-econom		
ר 2017 to 2021 was		Epile patie		
burden.	Health insurance coverage indicator			
	Public only	43.'		
neurologist.	Private only	52.2		
and region with		75 (
reedy matching	Black	13.0		
les were examined	Others	2.5		
ising t-test. A	Multiple races reported	7.7		
ate healthcare	Education level completed			
bectively, to	≤Grade 12	53.9		
57	1–2 years college	20.0		
the US Consumer	3–4 years college	19.5		
	5+ years college	5.7		
	Employment status	C_{1}		
	Deverty status	61.5		
e study period (2017-	Low to poor income	36 9		
ile 415 additionally	Middle income	28.0		
In the calendar year	High income	35.0		
s as case controls,	Problem paying medical bills			
as carried out using	Yes	21.0		
	Quality of life			
eristics before	 The epilepsy group reported poorer of control group (34.5% poor/fair vs 13.2%, p Psychological health indicators were reported poor to relatively fair mental <0.0001); 34% epilepsy responders reported or nearly every day in last 2 weeks) com Notable differences were also seen in straines value: <0.0001) and cognitive incapability 	ality of I -value: <0.0 also worse health com rted depres pared to 16 social limita ty (35.8% vs		
Sy Non- epilepsy P-value	Table 3: Quality of life indicators			
1,11,826 -		Epile		
	Perceived health status	partie		
3) 47.9 (0.2) 0.0351	Poor/Fair	34.		
	Perceived mental health status			
<u> </u>	Poor/Fair	25.9		
	Felt depressed in the last 2 weeks			
11	Several days to nearly everyday	34.0		

Several days to nearly everyday Social/recreational limitations

Yes With cognitive limitations Yes

Burden of healthcare cost

38.0%

2,075

52.1%

33.9%

0.0970

0.6602

0.3940

• Healthcare cost associated with treatment, such as antiepileptic drugs, hospitalizations, and outpatient visits are 3.5 times greater for the epilepsy group than the controls (\$28,240 vs \$8,218; p-value: <0.0001). (Refer to Figure 2 and 3)

• The incremental cost of \$20,022 incurred by epilepsy respondent was contributed by antiepileptic drugs (\$7,509, p-value<0.0001), inpatient/ER (\$5,423, p-value<0.0001) and outpatient visits (\$7,090, p-value: <0.0001). (Refer to Figure 4)

Presented at the International Society of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes research (ISPOR) Annual Conference 2024, Atlanta, GA, USA

istics that were used in the matching the cohorts in terms of various other

to be on public insurance as the control

compared to controls ((<=12 grade; 53.9%)

the time of the survey compared to only

o the lower income strata (low to poor) p-value: 0.0011). Also, 1 in 5 persons were among the epilepsy group compared to 1 to Table 2)

nic characteristics

epsy ents	Matched controls	P-value
7% 2%	22.2% 69.6%	<0.0010
9% 9% 5% 7%	76.5% 12.9% 7.6% 3.0%	0.0023
9% 0% 5% 7%	40.6% 21.3% 25.0% 12.4%	0.0025
9%	32.6%	<0.0010
9% 0% 0%	24.1% 32.1% 43.8%	0.0011
0%	8.7%	<0.0001

life and health status compared to the .0001).

e off among the epilepsy group. 25.9% npared to 9.1% in control group (p-value: ession (several days or more than half day 5.5% in the control group.

ations (23.5% epilepsy vs 4.9% control, p-4.6%, p-value: <0.0001). (Refer to Table 3)

pilepsy atients	Matched controls	P-value
34.5%	13.2%	<0.0001
25.9%	9.1%	<0.0001
34.0%	16.5%	<0.0001
23.5%	4.9%	<0.0001
35.8%	4.6%	<0.0001



*ER costs accounted for 2% and 4% of total healthcare expenses respectively for patients with epilepsy and matched-control respondents



compared with control respondents.

Table 4: Health resource utilization

	Epilepsy patients	Matched controls	P-value
Office-based provider visits			
% patients	85.1%	59.9%	
Mean annual visit	8.2	5.3	<.0001
Outpatient department visits			
% patients	26.2%	13.5%	
Mean annual visit	2.9	2.4	<.0001
Emergency department visits			
% patients	29.1%	14.6%	
Mean annual visit	1.2	1.0	<.0001
Hospitalization			
% patients	16.1%	6.6%	
Mean length of stay (days)	9.6	6.6	<.0001
Medications including fills/refills			
% patients	100%	62.4%	
Mean annual fills/refills	35.9	13.7	<.0001
Conclusion			
 This study underscores the signification terms of all key metrics – education functioning, cognitive ability, health 	ant burden faced b al levels, income/u care resource use a	y those diagnosed nemployment, me Ind costs.	d with epilepsy, in Intal health, social
References			
 Epilepsy factsheet: World health or Epilepsy data and statistics: Center 	ganization (WHO) for Disease Contro	l and Prevention (0	CDC)

- 4. Begley CE et al, Epilepsia. 2015 Sep; 56(9):1376-87



l st	Incremental inpatient/ER cost	Incr outpa	remental atient cost	Tot E	al healthca pilepsy pat	re cos ients	st
	\$5,423						
		\$	7,090		\$28,24	0	

• Epilepsy respondents had a significantly higher number of annual office-based provider visits (8.2 vs 5.3, p-value: <0.0001) and hospitalizations (9.6 vs 6.6, p-value: <0.0001)

• Annual medications including fills and refills were about 2.5 times higher in epilepsy patients than the control group 35.9% vs 13.7%, p-value: <0.0001). (Refer to Table 4)

3. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke: Epilepsy and Seizures