## ISPOR 2024 | CLARK – EE263 | SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

		Pre-Match			Post-Match				
Data Element	Total Sample (N=1,851)	Cases (n=917)	Controls (n=934)	p value	Total Sample (N=1,544)	Cases (n=772)	Controls (n=772)	p value	
Age at Admission, yrs.									
Mean (SD)	54.34 (12.29)	55.11 (10.76)	58.58 (13.60)	0.0076*	54.26 (12.49)	55.26 (10.70)	53.27 (13.99)	<0.0001*	
Median (IQR)	55 (46-63)	55 (48-63)	55 (44-64)	0.2340	55 (46-64)	56 (48-63)	55 (43-65)	0.1123	
Age Groups, n (%)									
18-34 yrs.	129 (6.97%)	28 (3.05%)	101 (10.81%)		113 (7.32%)	21 (2.72%)	92 (11.92%)		
35-44 yrs.	261 (14.10%)	122 (13.30%)	139 (14.88%)	<0.0001*	222 (14.38%)	103 (13.34%)	119 (15.41%)	<0.0001*	
45-54 yrs.	491 (26.52%)	287 (31.30%)	204 (21.84%)		401 (25.97%)	240 (31.09%)	161 (20.85%)		
55-64 yrs.	551 (29.77%)	293 (31.95%)	258 (27.62%)		452 (29.27%)	250 (32.38%)	202 (26.17%)		
≥65 yrs.	419 (22.64%)	187 (20.39%)	232 (24.84%)		356 (23.06%)	158 (20.47%)	198 (25.65%)		
CKD Stage, n (%)									
CKD Stage 4 (Assumed Pre-Emptive KTP)	13 (0.70%)	10 (1.09%)	3 (0.32%)	<0.0001*	12 (0.78%)	9 (1.17%)	3 (0.39%)	<0.0001*	
CKD Stage 5 (Assumed Non-Dialysis or Incident Dialysis [<4-months])	81 (4.38%)	60 (6.54%)	21 (2.25%)		72 (4.66%)	53 (6.87%)	19 (2.46%)		
End-Stage Kidney Disease (Assumed Prevalent Dialysis ≥4-months)	1,757 (94.92%)	847 (92.37%)	910 (97.43%)		1,460 (94.56%)	710 (91.97%)	750 (97.15%)		
Dialysis Status, n (%)									
Yes	1,310 (70.77%)	560 (61.07%)	750 (80.30%)	<0.0001*	1,102 (71.37%)	481 (62.31%)	621 (80.44%)	<0.0001*	
No	541 (29.22%)	357 (38.93%)	184 (19.70%)	<0.0001	442 (28.63%)	291 (37.69%)	151 (19.56%)		
Transplant Type, n (%)									
Allogeneic	1,842 (99.51%)	912 (99.45%)	930 (99.57%)		1,537 (99.55%)	769 (99.61%)	768 (99.48%)	0.1495	
Syngeneic	3 (0.16%)	3 (0.33%)	0 (0.00%)	0.1583	2 (0.13%)	2 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)		
Zooplastic	6 (0.32%)	2 (0.22%)	4 (0.43%)		5 (0.32%)	1 (0.13%)	4 (0.52%)		
Transplant Anatomical Location, n (%)									
Right Kidney	1,253 (67.69%)	632 (68.92%)	621 (66.49%)	0.2633	1,049 (67.94%)	534 (69.17%)	515 (66.71%)	0.3002	
Left Kidney	598 (32.31%)	285 (31.08%)	313 (33.51%)	0.2033	495 (32.06%)	238 (30.83%)	257 (33.29%)	0.3002	

Expected Primary Payer, n (%)											
Medicare	1,098 (59.32%)	460 (50.16%)	638 (68.31%)		896 (58.03%)	381 (49.35%)	515 (66.71%)				
Medicaid	66 (3.57%)	21 (2.29%)	46 (4.82%)		56 (3.63%)	18 (2.33%)	38 (4.92%)				
Private Insurance	652 (35.22%)	413 (45.04%)	239 (25.59%)	<0.0001*	564 (36.53%)	355 (45.98%)	209 (27.07%)	<0.0001*			
Self-Pay	5 (0.27%)	4 (0.44%)	1 (0.11%)	<0.0001	5 (0.32%)	4 (0.52%)	1 (0.13%)	<0.0001			
Other	23 (1.24%)	15 (1.65%)	8 (0.86%)		17 (1.10%)	11 (1.42%)	6 (0.78%)				
Missing/Invalid	7 (0.38%)	4 (0.44%)	3 (0.32%)		6 (0.39%)	3 (0.39%)	3 (0.39%)				
Median Household Income per Patient Zip Code (by quartile), n(%)											
First quartile: \$1 - \$45,999	403 (21.77%)	163 (17.78%)	240 (25.70%)		346 (22.41%)	135 (17.69%)	211 (27.62%)	<0.0001*			
Second quartile: \$46,000 - \$58,999	459 (24.80%)	226 (24.65%)	233 (24.95%)		378 (24.48%)	183 (23.98%)	195 (25.52%)				
Third quartile: \$59,000 - \$78,999	471 (25.45%)	230 (25.08%)	241 (25.80%)	<0.0001*	393 (25.45%)	199 (26.08%)	194 (25.39%)				
Fourth quartile: \$79,000+	496 (26.80%)	286 (31.19%)	210 (22.48%)		410 (26.55%)	246 (32.24%)	164 (21.47%)				
Missing/NA	22 (1.19%)	12 (1.31%)	0 (0.00%)		0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)				
Patient Location, n(%)	Patient Location, n(%)										
Large central metro areas (>=1 million population)	592 (31.98%)	254 (27.70%)	338 (36.19%)		482 (31.22%)	213 (27.59%)	269 (34.84%)	0.0182*			
Large fringe metro areas (>=1 million population)	530 (28.63%)	273 (29.77%)	257 (27.52%)		459 (29.73%)	238 (30.83%)	221 (28.63%)				
Medium metro areas (250,000–999,999 population)	369 (19.93%)	185 (20.17%)	104 (19.70%)	0.0011*	307 (19.88%)	154 (19.95%)	153 (19.82%)				
Small metro areas (50,000–249,999 population)	146 (7.89%)	81 (8.83%)	65 (6.96%)		120 (7.77%)	66 (8.55%)	54 (6.99%)				
Micropolitan areas	119 (6.43%)	71 (7.74%)	48 (5.14%)		97 (6.28%)	58 (7.51%)	39 (5.05%)				
Rural areas	92 (4.97%)	50 (5.45%)	42 (4.50%)		76 (4.92%)	40 (5.18%)	36 (4.66%)				
Missing/Invalid	3 (0.16%)	3 (0.33%)	0 (0.00%)		3 (0.19%)	3 (0.39%)	0 (0.00%)	1			
Resident, n (%)	•		•								
Resident	1,569 (84.76%)	773 (84.30%)	796 (85.22%)	0.5785	1,311 (84.91%)	657 (85.10%)	654 (84.72%)	<0.0001*			
Non-Resident	262 (14.15%)	144 (15.70%)	138 (14.78%)	0.5785	233 (15.09%)	115 (14.90%)	118 (15.28%)				
Weekend Admission, n (%)											
Yes (Saturday - Sunday)	368 (19.88%)	167 (18.21%)	201 (21.52%)	0.0745	295 (19.11%)	135 (17.49%)	160 (20.73%)	<0.0001*			
No (Monday - Friday)	1,483 (80.12%)	750 (81.79%)	733 (78.48%)	0.0745	1,249 (80.89%)	637 (82.51%)	612 (79.27%)	<0.0001			

Elective, n (%)								
Elective Admission	814 (43.98%)	428 (46.67%)	386 (41.83%)		675 (43.72%)	352 (45.60%)	323 (41.84%)	
Non-Elective Admission	1,035 (55.92%)	487 (53.11%)	548 (56.67%)	0.0223*	868 (56.22%)	419 (54.27%)	449 (58.16%)	0.1937
Missing	2 (0.11%)	2 (0.22%)	0 (0.00%)		1 (0.06%)	1 (0.13%)	0 (0.00%)	
Evidence of ED Services, n (%)								
None	1,824 (98.54%)	903 (98.47%)	921 (96.61%)		1,522 (98.58%)	759 (98.32%)	763 (98.83%)	
ED Revenue Code	17 (0.92%)	8 (0.87%)	9 (0.96%)		14 (0.91%)	8 (1.04%)	6 (0.78%)	
ED Charge Reported	4 (0.22%)	1 (0.11%)	3 (0.32%)		3 (0.19%)	1 (0.13%)	2 (0.26%)	
Other Indication (e.g., condition code P7, indication of ED admission, point of origin of ED, or admission source of ED)	6 (0.32%)	5 (0.55%)	1 (0.11%)	0.2901	5 (0.32%)	4 (0.52%)	1 (0.13%)	0.4882
Disposition at Discharge, n (%)								
Discharged to Home or Self-Care	1,440 (77.80%)	731 (79.72%)	709 (75.91%)		1,204 (77.98%)	611 (79.15%)	593 (76.81%)	
Transfer to Other Type of Facility (e.g., skilled nursing facility, intermediate care, any other facility type)	19 (1.03%)	5 (0.55%)	14 (1.50%)	0.0344*	13 (0.84%)	3 (0.39%)	10 (1.30%)	0.1103
Home Health Care	392 (21.18%)	181 (19.74%)	211 (22.59%)		327 (21.18%)	158 (20.47%)	169 (21.89%)	
Rehabilitation Transfer, n (%)								
Yes	11 (0.59%)	5 (0.55%)	6 (0.64%)	0.7857	10 (0.65%)	5 (0.65%)	5 (0.65%)	-0.0001*
No	1,840 (99.41%)	912 (99.45%)	928 (99.36%)	0.7857	1,534 (99.35%)	767 (99.35%)	767 (99.35%)	<0.0001*
Same-Day Events, n (%)								
Not Combined Transfer or Same-Day Stay Record	1,837 (99.24%)	911 (99.35%)	926 (99.14%)		1,532 (99.22%)	766 (99.22%)	766 (99.22%)	
Combined Transfer Record (2 discharges at different hospitals)	1 (0.05%)	1 (0.11%)	0 (0.00%)	0.2010	1 (0.06%)	1 (0.13%)	0 (0.00%)	0.2730
Combined Same-Day Stay Record (2 discharges at different hospitals)	2 (0.11%)	2 (0.22%)	0 (0.00%)	0.2016	2 (0.13%)	2 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)	
Combined Same-Day Stay Record (2 discharges at same hospital)	9 (0.49%)	3 (0.33%)	6 (0.64%)		7 (0.45%)	3 (0.39%)	4 (0.52%)	

Combined Same-Day Stay Record (3+ discharges at same or different hospitals)	2 (0.11%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (0.21%)		2 (0.13%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (0.26%)		
Significance= p<0.05*; <b>Pre-match</b> : Chi-Square test was conducted to compare the proportions of patients for binary and categorical variables (age groups, chronic kidney									
disease [CKD] stage, transplant type, transplant anatomical location, dialysis status, expected primary payer, patient location, median household income, disposition at									
discharge, elective, evidence of emergency department [ED] services, day of admission, rehabilitation transfer, resident, same-day events). Student's t-test was conducted to									
compare the means for continuous age variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous age variable; <b>Post-match</b> : McNemar's test									
was conducted to compare the proporti	ions of patients for binar	y variables (dialy	sis status, resider	nt, weekend d	dmission, rehabilit	ation transfer). (	Cochran-Mantel-H	laenszel	
test was conducted to compare the pro	portions of patients for a	ordinal categorica	al variables (age g	groups, CKD s	tage, median house	ehold income). C	hi-Square test wa	15	
conducted to compare the proportions for nominal categorical variables (transplant type, transplant anatomical location, expected primary payer, patient location, elective,									
evidence of ED services, disposition at d	lischarge, same-day ever	nts). Paired Stud	ent's t-test was co	onducted to c	ompare the means	for continuous a	ge variable. Wilc	oxon Rank	
Sum test was conducted to compare the	e medians for continuou	s age variable.							

		Pre-Mat	tch		Post-Match					
Data Element	Data Element Total Sample Cases Controls (N=1,851) (n=917) (n=934) p		p value	Total Sample (N=1,544)	Cases (n=772)	Controls (n=772)	p value			
APR-DRG Risk of Mortality, n (%)		I	I		L		1			
Minor Likelihood of Dying	359 (19.39%)	169 (18.43%)	190 (20.34%)		304 (19.69%)	122 (15.80%)	182 (23.58%)			
Moderate Likelihood of Dying	996 (53.81%)	523 (57.03%)	473 (50.64%)	0.0402*	849 (54.99%)	449 (58.16%)	400 (51.81%)	0.004.0*		
Major Likelihood of Dying	446 (24.10%)	203 (22.14%)	243 (26.02%)	0.0483*	357 (23.12%)	184 (23.83%)	173 (22.41%)	0.0018*		
Extreme Likelihood of Dying	50 (2.70%)	22 (2.40%)	28 (3.00%)		34 (2.20%)	17 (2.20%)	17 (2.20%)			
Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) Score										
Mean (SD)	3.04 (1.34)	2.60 (1.01)	3.48 (1.48)	<0.0001*	3.04 (1.33)	2.62 (1.02)	3.45 (1.47)	<0.0001*		
Median (IQR)	2 (2-4)	2 (2-3)	3 (2-5)	<0.0001*	2 (2-4)	2 (2-3)	3 (2-5)	<0.0001*		
Charlson Comorbidity Categories**, n (%	6)									
Myocardial Infarction	78 (4.21%)	34 (3.71%)	44 (4.71%)	0.2828	63 (4.08%)	29 (3.76%)	34 (4.40%)	N/A		
Congestive Heart Failure	124 (6.70%)	60 (6.54%)	64 (6.85%)	0.7902	104 (6.74%)	55 (7.12%)	49 (6.35%)	N/A		
Peripheral Vascular Disease	83 (4.48%)	38 (4.14%)	45 (4.82%)	0.4836	72 (4.66%)	35 (4.53%)	37 (4.79%)	N/A		
Cerebrovascular Disease	40 (2.16%)	21 (2.29%)	20 (2.14%)	0.8279	35 (2.27%)	19 (2.46%)	16 (2.07%)	N/A		
Dementia	1 (0.05%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.11%)	0.3216	1 (0.06%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.13%)	N/A		
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	168 (9.08%)	80 (8.72%)	88 (9.42%)	0.6013	147 (9.52%)	70 (9.07%)	77 (9.97%)	N/A		
Connective Tissue / Rheumatic Disease	56 (3.03%)	4 (0.44%)	52 (5.57%)	<0.0001*	53 (3.43%)	4 (0.52%)	49 (6.35%)	N/A		
Peptic Ulcer Disease	7 (0.38%)	2 (0.22%)	5 (0.54%)	0.2662	6 (0.39%)	2 (0.26%)	4 (0.52%)	N/A		
Mild Liver Disease	91 (4.92%)	43 (4.69%)	48 (5.14%)	0.6544	72 (4.66%)	35 (4.53%)	37 (4.79%)	N/A		
Diabetes without Complications	238 (12.86%)	48 (5.23%)	190 (20.34%)	<0.0001*	193 (12.50%)	40 (5.18%)	153 (19.82%)	N/A		
Diabetes with Complications	472 (25.50%)	88 (9.60%)	384 (41.11%)	<0.0001*	387 (25.06%)	75 (9.72%)	312 (40.41%)	N/A		
Paraplegia / Hemiplegia	3 (0.16%)	1 (0.11%)	2 (0.21%)	0.5742	3 (0.19%)	1 (0.13%)	2 (0.26%)	N/A		
Kidney Disease	1,851 (100.00%)	917 (100.00%)	934 (100.00%)	N/A	1,544 (100.00%)	772 (100.00%)	772 (100.00%)	N/A		
Cancer	20 (1.10%)	9 (0.98%)	11 (1.18%)	0.6830	14 (0.91%)	8 (1.04%)	6 (0.78%)	N/A		
Moderate or Severe Liver Disease	7 (0.38%)	6 (0.65%)	1 (0.11%)	0.0551	7 (0.45%)	6 (0.78%)	1 (0.13%)	N/A		
Metastatic Carcinoma	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	N/A	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	N/A		
AIDS / HIV	5 (0.27%)	1 (0.11%)	4 (0.43%)	0.1859	4 (0.26%)	1 (0.13%)	3 (0.39%)	N/A		

Significance= p<0.05\*; \*\*Patients may fall into more than one Charlson Comorbid Medical Condition category, so column percentages will not add up to 100%.

**Pre-match:** Chi-Square test was conducted to compare the proportions of patients for categorical variables (APR-DRG Risk of Mortality, Charlson Comorbidity Categories). Fisher's Exact test was conducted to compare the proportions for comorbities with observed/expected counts <5 (peptic ulcer disease, paraplegia/hemiplegia, moderate to severe liver disease, AIDS/HIV). Student's t-test was conducted to compare the means for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous CCI score variable. Post-match: McNemar's test was conducted to compare the proportions of patients for ordinal categorical variables (APR-DRG Risk of Mortality). Paired Student's t-test was conducted to compare the proportions of patients for ordinal categorical variables (APR-DRG Risk of Mortality). Paired Student's t-test was conducted to compare the proportions of patients for ordinal categorical variables (APR-DRG Risk of Mortality). Paired Student's t-test was conducted to compare the means for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the means for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the means for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous CCI score variable. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous CCI score variable.

		Pre-Match		Post-Match				
Data Element	Total Sample (N=1,851)	Cases (n=917)	Controls (n=934)	p value	Total Sample (N=1,544)	Cases (n=772)	Controls (n=772)	p value
Hospital Control / Ownership, n (%)								
Government, Non-Federal (Public)	397 (21.45%)	200 (21.81%)	197 (21.09%)		331 (21.44%)	168 (21.76%)	163 (21.11%)	
Private, Not-For-Profit (Voluntary)	1,405 (75.90%)	695 (75.79%)	710 (76.02%)	0.765	1,172 (75.91%)	586 (75.91%)	586 (75.91%)	0.710
Private, Investor-Owned (Proprietary)	49 (2.64%)	22 (2.40%)	27 (2.89%)		41 (2.66%)	18 (2.33%)	23 (2.98%)	
Hospital Bed Size, n (%)								
Small	61 (3.30%)	28 (3.05%)	33 (3.53%)	0.796	46 (2.98%)	19 (2.46%)	27 (3.50%)	0.483
Medium	133 (7.19%)	68 (7.42%)	65 (6.96%)		115 (7.45%)	57 (7.38%)	58 (7.51%)	
Large	1,657 (89.52%)	821 (89.53%)	836 (89.51%)		1,383 (89.57%)	696 (90.16%)	687 (88.99%)	
Hospital Teaching Status, n (%)								
Metropolitan Non-Teaching	10 (0.54%)	7 (0.76%)	3 (0.32%)	0.195	9 (0.58%)	6 (0.78%)	3 (0.39%)	0.316
Metropolitan Teaching	1,841 (99.46%)	910 (99.24%)	931 (99.68%)	0.195	1,535 (99.42%)	766 (99.22%)	769 (99.61%)	
Hospital Urban / Rural Location, n (%)								
Large metropolitan areas with ≥1 million residents	1,530 (82.66%)	748 (81.57%)	762 (83.73%)	0.221	1,279 (82.84%)	631 (81.74%)	648 (83.94%)	0.251
Small metropolitan areas with < 1 million residents	321 (17.34%)	169 (18.43%)	152 (16.27%)	0.221	265 (17.16%)	141 (18.26%)	124 (16.06%)	- 0.251
Significance= p<0.05*; <b>Pre-match:</b> Chi-S teaching status, hospital urban/rural loc variable (hospital bed size). Chi-square t hospital urban/rural location).	ation). <b>Post-match:</b> Coc	hran-Mantel-Haer	nszel test was con	ducted to c	ompare the proport	tions of patients fo	or ordinal categor	rical

		Pre-Match		Post-Match						
Data Element	. Total Sample Cases Controls		p value	Total Sample (N=1,544)	Cases (n=772)	Controls (n=772)	p value			
Length of Stay	, cumulative number o	of days						I		
Mean (SD)	5.98 (4.37)	5.66 (3.53)	6.29 (5.04)	0.0018 *	5.81 (4.06)	5.77 (3.62)	5.84 (4.46)	<0.0001 *		
Median (Q1-Q3)	5 (4-7)	5 (4-7)	5 (4-7)	0.0053 *	5 (4-7)	5 (4-7)	5 (4-6)	0.7562		
Total Hospital	Charges, \$USD									
Mean (SD)	\$264,711 (\$140,266)	\$253,512 (\$128,582)	\$275,705 (\$150,117)	0.0006 *	\$261,181 (\$136,045)	\$255,373 (\$128,950)	\$266,988 (\$142,635)	<0.0001 *		
Median (Q1-Q3)	\$227,876 (\$173,305 - \$312,139)	\$220,781 (\$167,929 - \$300,587)	\$237,776 (\$179,215 - \$323,264)	0.0007 *	\$224,381 (\$172,009 - \$308,253)	\$221,361 (\$168,376 - \$302,780)	\$229,193 (\$175,686 - \$314,812)	0.1322		
Total Hospital	Service Delivery Costs	**, \$USD								
Mean (SD)	\$64,035 (\$27,157)	\$62,671 (\$24,702)	\$65,373 (\$29,319)	0.0321 *	\$63,544 (\$25,211)	\$63,699 (\$24,617)	\$63,389 (\$25,806)	<0.0001 *		
Median (Q1-Q3)	\$60,069 (\$46,618 - \$75455)	\$59,216 (\$45,806 - \$75,181)	\$60,483 (\$47,098 - \$75,867)	0.1032	\$60,055 (\$46,776 - \$75,171)	\$60,668 (\$47,001 - \$75,789)	\$59,701 (\$46,328 - \$74,209)	0.5915		

*Significance=p<0.05\*; \*\*converted from total hospital charges with application of 2018 cost-to-charge ratios [CCRs].* 

**Pre-match:** Student's t-test was conducted to compare the means for continuous variables (length of stay [LOS], total hospital charges, and total hospital service delivery costs). Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous variables (LOS, total hospital charges, total hospital service delivery costs). **Post-match:** Paired Student's t-test was conducted to compare the means for continuous variables (LOS, total hospital charges, total hospital service delivery costs). Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the means for continuous variables (LOS, total hospital charges, total hospital service delivery costs). Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous variables (LOS, total hospital charges, total hospital service delivery costs). Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was conducted to compare the medians for continuous variables (LOS, total hospital charges, total hospital service delivery costs).