Economic Burden of Diagnosed Congenital Cytomegalovirus in the United States and Japan

Philip Buck,¹* Gail J. Demmler-Harrison,² Annika Anderson,³ Jessica R. Marden,³ Sandeep Basnet,¹ Noam Kirson,³ Urvi Desai,³ John Diaz-Decaro¹

¹Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA; ²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, Baylor College of Medicine, and Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA; ³Analysis Group, Inc., Boston, MA, USA *Presenting author.

- Congenital cytomegalovirus (cCMV) is the leading infectious cause of birth defects and neurological disabilities in high-income countries¹⁻³
- Approximately 20-25% of infants born with cCMV develop symptoms or long-term complications such as hearing loss, developmental issues, and microcephaly^{1,4-7}
- Despite the risk of serious health complications, cCMV is difficult to diagnose because only 10-15% of infants with cCMV display symptoms at birth^{1,5,8-9}
- There are few recent studies on the economic burden of cCMV in the USA or Japan¹⁰⁻¹⁴

• To assess healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) and cost burden among populations of infants diagnosed with cCMV in the USA and Japan using insurance claims data

METHODS

Data Sources and Cohort Selection

- This retrospective study utilized de-identified Merative MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounters and Multi-State Medicaid data (2010-2019) and Japan Medical Data Center (JMDC) data (2011-2020)
- US patients were included in either the commercially- or Medicaid-insured population
- The JMDC database consisted of medical and pharmacy claims for beneficiaries and their dependents of the Kenpo health insurance system who were employed by middle- to large-size companies in Japan
- Separately by payer population, patients were included in the cCMV cohort ("cases") if they had ≥1 diagnosis code for cCMV (ICD-9: 771.1; ICD-10: P35.1) or CMV (ICD-9: 078.5; ICD-10: B25.x) within 1 month of birth (USA) or on a claim during the birth month or the first calendar month following the birth month (Japan)
- Patients were included in the control cohort ("controls") if they had no diagnosis of cCMV or CMV at any point during follow-up
- All patients were required to have continuous enrollment for ≥1 year following the index date The index date was defined as the first diagnosis of cCMV/CMV for cases; for controls, the index date was selected at random from all medical claims within 1 month of birth (USA) or from all medical claims during the birth month or
- following month (Japan) • cCMV cases were matched 1:1 to controls on demographic characteristics, health insurance type (US only), birth year, and index year
- Patients were followed for 1 year post-index date (the "study period")

Study Outcomes

- All-cause HCRU and costs were assessed during the study period
- HCRU categories assessed in the USA included all medical visits, inpatient (IP) admissions, emergency department (ED) visits, outpatient (OP) visits, lab/imaging visits (as a subset of OP), and pharmacy costs
- » For the USA, birth admissions were defined as the IP admission during which the patient was born and were described separately
- HCRU categories assessed in Japan included: all medical visits, IP/Diagnosis Procedure Combination (DPC) admissions, OP visits, lab/imaging visits (as a subset of OP), and pharmacy costs
- Costs were reported in 2021 USD (\$) and 2020 JPY (¥)
- For each HCRU category, values were reported as the average total number of visits and average total costs per patient among patients with ≥ 1 visit of that type during the study period
- Comparisons between the matched case and control cohorts were conducted using Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables

Patient Characteristics

• This analysis included 195 commercially-insured and 549 Medicaid-insured matched pairs from the USA and 152 matched pairs from Japan

Patient characteristics for each population are shown in Table 1

Table 1. Patient Characteristics at the Index Date Among Matched cCMV and Control Cohorts by Population

| Characteristic | cCMV cohort / control cohort | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| | US commercially-insured population (N = 195 matched pairs) | US Medicaid-insured population (N = 549 matched pairs) | Japar popula (N = 152 mat |
| Age (months), mean ± SD | 0.3 ± 0.3 / 0.3 ± 0.3 | 0.2 ± 0.3 / 0.2 ± 0.3 | 0.3 ± 0.4 / |
| Male, n (%) | 96 (49.2) / 96 (49.2) | 304 (55.4) / 304 (55.4) | 77 (50.7) / |
| Insurance type (US only), n (%) | | | |
| НМО | 29 (14.9) / 29 (14.9) | 386 (70.3) / 386 (70.3) | - |
| POS | 23 (11.8) / 23 (11.8) | 1 (0.2) / 1 (0.2) | - |
| Non-HMO/POS | 143 (73.3) / 143 (73.3) | 162 (29.5) / 162 (29.5) | - |
| Facility setting (Japan only), n (%) | | | |
| Clinic | - | - | 43 (28.3) / |
| University hospital | - | - | 45 (29.6) |
| Public hospital | - | - | 26 (17.1) / |
| Other hospital | - | - | 27 (17.8) / |
| Multiple settings | - | - | 11 (7.2) / 1 |
| First cCMV/CMV diagnosis, n (%) | | | ^ |
| cCMV | 130 (66.7) / 0 (0.0) | 414 (75.4) / 0 (0.0) | 108 (71.1) |
| CMV | 60 (30.8) / 0 (0.0) | 123 (22.4) / 0 (0.0) | 44 (28.9) |
| Both cCMV and CMV | 5 (2.6) / 0 (0.0) | 12 (2.2) / 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) / |
| 5 | | | |

cCMV, congenital cytomegalovirus; CMV, cytomegalovirus; HMO, health maintenance organization; POS, point of service; SD, standard deviation. Note: Cases were matched 1:1 without replacement to controls based on demographic characteristics, health insurance type (US only), birth year, and index year.

RESULTS (continued)

All-Cause HCRU (USA)

- cCMV cases were significantly more likely than controls to have an IP admission and the mean number of total medical visits was significantly higher among commercially-insured cCMV cases vs controls (Figure 1), as well as among Medicaid-insured cCMV cases vs controls (Figure 2)
 - 84.1% of commercially-insured cases and 81.5% of controls had a birth admission captured in their medical claims, with the mean birth admission length of stay significantly longer for cases vs controls (23.7 ± 50.3 days vs 5.1 ± 10.0 days; P<0.0001)
 - 95.6% of Medicaid-insured cases and 94.4% of controls had a birth admission captured in their medical claims, with the mean birth admission length of stay significantly longer for cases vs controls (24.0 ± 37.5 days vs 5.2 ± 9.4 days; P<0.0001)

Figure 1. Number and Proportion of US Commercially-Insured cCMV Cases and Controls With HCRU During the 1-Year Study Period (A) and the Mean Number of Medical Visits Among Infants With Each Visit Type (B)





ED, emergency department; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; IP, inpatient; OP, outpatient *denotes a P-value of <0.05; number of medical visits were summarized only among infants with at least 1 visit of that type during the study period.

Figure 2. Number and Proportion of US Medicaid-Insured cCMV Cases and Controls With HCRU During the 1-Year Study Period (A) and the Mean Number of Medical Visits Among Infants With Each Visit Type (B)



ED, emergency department; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; IP, inpatient; OP, outpatient *denotes a *P*-value of <0.05; numbers of medical visits were summarized only among infants with at least 1 visit of that type during the study period.

All-Cause HCRU (Japan)

- cCMV cases were significantly more likely than controls to have an IP/DPC admission, and the mean number of total medical visits was significantly higher among cCMV cases vs controls (**Figure 3**)
- The mean number of IP/DPC admissions was highest in the first 3 months after the index date for infants both with and without cCMV

Figure 3. Number and Proportion of Japanese cCMV Cases and Controls With HCRU During the 1-Year Study Period (A) and the Mean Number of Medical Visits Among Infants With Each Visit Type (B)



Cases Controls



DPC, Diagnosis Procedure Combination; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; IP, inpatient; OP, outpatient. *denotes a P-value of <0.05; number of medical visits were summarized only among infants with at least 1 visit of that type during the study period.

All-Cause Costs (USA)

• Mean all-cause healthcare costs were significantly higher among commercially-insured cCMV cases vs controls (Table 2), as well as among Medicaid-insured cCMV cases vs controls (**Table 3**) - These differences were seen for birth admission costs, total post-birth medical costs, and pharmacy costs



Cases Controls

Table 2. All-Cause Healthcare Costs Among US Commercially-Insured Infants During the 1-Year Study Period

| Category | cCMV cohort (N = 195) | Control cohort (N = 195) | P-va |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Birth admission costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$149,192 ± 612,278 | \$17,996 ± 86,130 | <0.00 |
| Median [IQR] | \$19,002 [2408-89,044] | \$2669 [1642-4091] | |
| Post-birth medical costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$38,742 ± 161,537 | \$5519 ± 6813 | <0.00 |
| Median [IQR] | \$9075 [3902-22,172] | \$3310 [2554-5334] | |
| IP | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$121,981 ± 351,560 | \$12,921 ± 10,357 | 0.09 |
| Median [IQR] | \$21,036 [9595-60,106] | \$9858 [7679-17,263] | |
| ED | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$1944 ± 3039 | \$1708 ± 3749 | 0.07 |
| Median [IQR] | \$1081 [319-2449] | \$564 [142-1435] | |
| OP | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$15,451 ± 31,052 | \$4358 ± 4875 | <0.00 |
| Median [IQR] | \$6615 [3318-13,255] | \$3094 [2488-4371] | |
| Lab/imaging | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$1662 ± 2927 | \$302 ± 883 | <0.00 |
| Median [IQR] | \$548 [92-2356] | \$32 [11-203] | |
| Pharmacy costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$3019 ± 7862 | \$330 ± 2494 | <0.00 |
| Median [IQR] | \$283 [30-3053] | \$23 [0-107] | |

OP, outpatient; SD, standard deviation Note: Costs were summarized only among infants with that type of HCRU during the study period and adjusted to 2021 USD (\$).

Table 3. All-Cause Healthcare Costs Among US Medicaid-Insured Infants **During the 1-Year Study Period**

| Category | cCMV cohort (N = 549) | Control cohort (N = 549) | P-va |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| Birth admission costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$49,885 ± 138,887 | \$5052 ± 31,465 | <0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$9874 [1484-31,331] | \$1540 [908-2324] | |
| Post-birth medical costs | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | |
| Mean ± SD | \$13,212 ± 45,789 | \$3464 ± 21,677 | <0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$2800 [1007-7525] | \$1215 [572-2056] | |
| IP | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$32,440 ± 75,974 | \$20,350 ± 68,465 | 0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$8797 [1973-24,902] | \$4202 [1981-9189] | |
| ED | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$864 ± 1714 | \$541 ± 699 | 0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$369 [121-985] | \$276 [108-697] | |
| OP | | · | |
| Mean ± SD | \$4765 ± 11,944 | \$1259 ± 2336 | <0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$1842 [775-4453] | \$930 [367-1459] | |
| Lab/imaging | | · | |
| Mean ± SD | \$698 ± 3566 | \$144 ± 309 | <0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$172 [21-517] | \$30 [3-165] | |
| Pharmacy costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | \$2353 ± 4809 | \$360 ± 2147 | <0.0 |
| Median [IQR] | \$262 [51-2312] | \$54 [15-146] | |

Note: Costs were summarized only among infants with that type of HCRU during the study period and were adjusted to 2021 USD (\$).

All-Cause Costs (Japan)

• Mean all-cause medical costs were significantly higher among cCMV cases vs controls (**Table 4**) - The greatest contributor was costs associated with IP/DPC admissions; mean pharmacy costs did not differ between infants with and without cCMV

Table 4. All-Cause Healthcare Costs Among Japanese Infants During the **1-Year Study Period**

| Category | cCMV cohort (N = 152) | Control cohort (N = 152) | P-value |
|--|---|--|---------------|
| Medical costs | | | |
| Mean ± SD | ¥1,652,324 ± 3,406,084 | ¥274,652 ± 710,444 | <0.0001 |
| Median [IQR] | ¥275,256 [135,346-1,548,964] | ¥98,906 [53,868-211,061] | |
| IP/DPC | | | ^ |
| Mean ± SD | ¥1,617,178 ± 3,390,720 | ¥386,972 ± 882,965 | 0.019 |
| Median [IQR] | ¥247,584 [53,473-1,455,737] | ¥134,492 [40,479-315,456] | |
| OP | · | | ^ |
| Mean ± SD | ¥279,850 ± 422,999 | ¥109,893 ± 189,398 | <0.0001 |
| Median [IQR] | ¥129,218 [63,126-254,746] | ¥74,588 [41,550-114,387] | |
| Lab/imaging | · | | |
| Mean ± SD | ¥42,348 ± 40,421 | ¥11,347 ± 16,006 | <0.0001 |
| Median [IQR] | ¥36,537 [9942-59,055] | ¥5613 [2418-13,905] | |
| Pharmacy costs | | | ^ |
| Mean ± SD | ¥27,991 ± 37,041 | ¥25,477 ± 27,576 | 0.744 |
| Median [IQR] | ¥17,407 [7549-30,483] | ¥18,359 [7110-37,310] | |
| cCMV, congenital cytomegaloviru interquartile range; OP, outpatient | s; DPC, Diagnosis Procedure Combination; H ; SD, standard deviation. | CRU, healthcare resource utilization; IP, in | oatient; IQR, |

Note: Costs were summarized only among infants with that type of HCRU during the study period and adjusted to 2020 JPY (¥).

EE389

- 0001
- 0001
- 099
- 070
- 0001
- 0001
- .0001
- uartile range;

- .0001
- .0001
- .009
- .038
- .0001
- .0001
- .0001
- quartile range;

CONCLUSIONS

- In both the USA and Japan, infants diagnosed with cCMV had substantial resource burden during their first year post-diagnosis, with 6-8 times greater incremental medical costs versus non-CMV controls
 - Inpatient care (including birth admissions) contributed substantially to the overall cost burden
- There are challenges with fully assessing the HCRU and economic burden of cCMV since universal screening for cCMV is not routinely conducted in the USA or Japan; thus, the burden of undiagnosed cCMV is not captured in this insurance claims-based analysis
 - Some of these undiagnosed cCMV cases are likely to be misclassified as non-cCMV controls; this misclassification bias may result in a conservative estimate of the cCMV burden relative to controls
- Future studies should evaluate the longer-term economic burden of diagnosed cCMV, as well as in additional countries where data are available

ADDITIONAL NFORMATION

Please scan the QR code for a PDF copy of the poster. Copies of the poster obtained through the QR code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors. For additional information, please contact Philip Buck

(Philip.Buck@modernatx.com).



References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Congenital CMV Infection: CMV and
- Hearing Loss. www.cdc.gov/cmv/hearing-loss.html
- 2. Ludwig A, Hengel H. *Euro Surveill*. 2009;14(9):26-32. 3. Manicklal S, et al. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2013;26(1):86-102.
- 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Congenital CMV Infection: CMV Fact Sheet for Pregnant Women and Parents. www.cdc.gov/cmv/factsheets/parents-pregnant-women.html
- 5. Gantt S, et al. Paediatr Child Health. 2017;22(2):72-74.
- 6. Fletcher KT, et al. Otol Neurotol. 2018;39(7):854-864.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Congenital CMV Infection: Babies Born with CMV. www.cdc.gov/cmv/congenital-infection.html
- B. Dollard SC, et al. *Rev Med Virol*. 2007;17(5):355-363. 9. Boppana SB, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57(Suppl 4):S178-S181.
- 10. Inagaki K, et al. *J Pediatr*. 2018;199:118-123.e111.
- 11. Lopez AS, et al. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(11):1119-1123.
- 12. Meyers J, et al. Clin Ther. 2019;41(6):1040-1056.e1043.
- 13. Candrilli SD, Trantham L. Value Health. 2017;20(9):A784-A785.
- 14. Aoki H, et al. J Infect Chemother. 2021;27(2):161-164.

Acknowledgments

Certain data used in this study were supplied by Merative as part of one or more MarketScan Research Databases. Any analysis, interpretation, or conclusion based on these data is solely that of the authors and not Merative.

Disclosures

PB, SB, and JD-D are employees of Moderna, Inc., and hold stock/stock options in the company. AA, JRM, DG, KS, NK, and UD are full-time employees of Analysis Group, Inc., which received support from Moderna, Inc., for participation in this research.

This analysis was funded by Moderna, Inc.