Economic Evaluation of Mental Healh Inerventions: A Cost-Consequence Analysis

Colimon S*, Cortés J**, Agudelo C*

*SAMEIN, Medellín, Colombia, **Subred centro-oriente y subred sur, Bogotá, Colombia

Most resources allocated to mental health services are spent Resources inefficiently on care provided in psychiatric hospitals (1) 22% of the total burden of diseases Burden 24 million people

25% of disability expenses are allocated to those caused by mental illness (2)

\$343.2 billion was the economic burden of schizophrenia in the US in 2019 (3)

Cost **\$251.9** billion in indirect costs **\$62.3** billion in direct health care (73.4%)

costs (18.2%)

\$35 billion in direct non-health care costs (10.2%)

Methods >

Disability

To determine the cost-consequence impact by therapeutic scheme of the comprehensive management of schizophrenia, related to clinical and non-clinical outcomes in 3 reference centers in Colombia between 2019 and 2023 in a sample of 450 patients with a DSM-5 diagnosis of schizophrenia.

First, a disaggregated comparison of costs and clinical and non-clinical outcomes by therapeutic scheme and population group is performed, under four time frames: 1. Preinitiation of LAIs (Oral Antipsychotics); 2. Short Term (PP1M); 3. Medium Term (4 months of PP1m Treatment); 4. Long Term (PP3M x 1 year) in the established periods. Subsequently, each of the variables is analyzed by health outcome and their respective cost to determine which therapy generates the greatest value for the health system.

The analysis of the information will be done with simple descriptive statistical analysis. The results will be presented according to the objectives of the study, for which the total sum of costs and the respective differences between the groups of patients will be calculated.

A general descriptive analysis of the data in the four groups of results will be carried out in order to find the difference in the total sum of invoicing and health results, measured as a percentage.



Outcomes N Adherence Subjective improvement of symptoms %			Long-acting injectables (LAIs)			
		*	Oral 5,6%	Short-term 88,2%	Medium-term PP1M 94,1%	Long-term PP3M 97,3%
		Relapses (re-hospitalization)		%	92,0%	47,1%
Social skills		Greater	5,6%	66,7%	88,9%	94,4%
		Equal	33,3%	27,8%	11,1%	5,6%
		Inferior	61,1%	5,6%	0,0%	0,0%
Occupational performance		Greater	5,6%	55,6%	72,2%	88,9%
		Equal	27,8%	44,4%	27,8%	11,1%
			66,7%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
		Greater	0,0%	55,6%	72,2%	88,9%
Overall su	Overall subjective perception		27,8%	44,4%	27,8%	11,1%
		Inferior	72,2%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
		Greater	0.0%	61,1%	83,3%	94,4%
Subjective per	ception of quality of life	Equal	22,2%	38,9%	16,7%	5,6%
		Inferior	77,8%	0,0%	0,0%	0,0%
	Metabolic changes	Dyslipidemia	38,9%	27,8%	11,1%	5,6%
		Uncontrolled diabetes	16,7%	11,1%	5,6%	5,6%
*		Weight gain	72,2%	33,3%	11,1%	0,0%
8	Hyperprolactinemia	%	38,9%	22,2%	11,1%	11,1%
ž	Extrapyramidal	Akathisia	27,8%	16,7%	5,6%	0,0%
Adverse events		Dystonia	38,9%	11,1%	0,0%	0,0%
		Parkinsonism	94,4%	50,0%	22,2%	5,6%
	Drowsiness	*	55,6%	16,7%	11,1%	5,6%
	Pain	%	11,1%	61,1%	61,1%	66,7%
Suicide attempt		%	61,1%	22,2%	5,6%	0,0%
Abandonmen	t due to adverse events	- 8	77,8%	15,8%	0,0%	0,0%

Desenlaces	PREINIICO DE ILDS	CORTO PLAZO	MEDIANO PLAZO PP1M	LARGO PLAZO PP3M
% Adherence	5,6%	88,2%	94,1%	97,3%
Relapses (re-hospitalization)	92,0%	47,1%	5,9%	5,9%
Direct Cost / per	event		N	450
% Adherence	\$ 7.598,6	•		
Relapses (re-hospitalization)	\$ 2.027,4	-		

Cost - consequence Analysis						
	Oral \$ 3.229.388,5	Long-acting injectables (LAIs)				
Outcomes		Short-term	Medium-term PP1M	Long-term PP3M		
% Adherence		\$ 402.276,8	\$ 201.138,4	\$ 92.322,5		
Relapses (re-hospitalization)	\$ 839.334,7	\$ 429.327,2	\$ 53.665,9	\$ 53.665,9		

	Potenc	ial savings			
	Oral	Long-acting injectables (LAIs)			
Outcomes		Short-term	Medium-term PP1M	Long-term PP3M	
Total	\$ 4.068.723,2	\$ 831.604,0	\$ 254.804,3	\$ 145.988,4	
% saving		-80%	-69%	-43%	

Aditional information





This study showed that LAIs therapy presents an important reduction in the economic burden of schizophrenia in different variables measured, where the direct costs of the disease were significantly lower and an improvement in clinical and psychosocial variables was observed, which represents a lower expenditure to the health system compared to traditional treatments with oral antipsychotics.