

HTA Processes for Medical Technologies Across the World: Are All Hurdles the Same?

Margaret Mordin,¹ Liesl Gildea,² Sheryl Warttig,² Jessica Costello,² Vijay D'Souza,²
Maria Kinderås,³ Caroline Ling,² Jose Marcano Belisario²

¹ RTI Health Solutions, Ann Arbor, MI, United States; ² RTI Health Solutions, Manchester, United Kingdom; ³ RTI Health Solutions, Ljungskile, Sweden

REFERENCES

1. Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH). Guidelines for the economic evaluation of health technologies: Canada. 2017.
https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/pdf/guidelines_for_the_economic_evaluation_of_health_technologies_canada_4th_ed.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
2. Pharma Boardroom. The pharma legal handbook Uruguay. 2018.
https://pharmaboardroom.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/PLH_URUGUAY_Final-new-design-pdf.io_.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
3. Ministério da Saúde. Diretrizes Metodologicas Diretriz de Avaliacao Economica. 2014.
https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/diretrizes_metodologicas_diretriz_avaliacao_economica.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
4. Chamova J. Mapping of HTA national organisations, programmes and processes in EU and Norway. 2017. https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2018-02/2018_mapping_npc_annexes_en_0.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
5. Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS). Pathway of medical devices in France. Practical guide. 2017. https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/application/pdf/2010-03/guide_dm_gb_050310_2010-03-12_12-45-33_878.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
6. Blüher M, Saunders SJ, Mittard V, Torrejon Torres R, Davis JA, Saunders R. Critical review of European health-economic guidelines for the health technology assessment of medical devices. *Front Med*. 2019;6:278.

7. Tandvårds- och Läkemedelsförmånsverket (TLV). Handbok för hälsoekonomiska bedömningar av medicintekniska produkter. 2022.
https://www.tlv.se/download/18.6da469321804dd237b1ba11d/1651652844561/handbok_for_halsoekonomiska_bedomningar_av_medicintekniska_produkter_1335-2022.pdf. Accessed 1 June 2022.
8. Sistema Nacional de Avaliação de Tecnologias de Saúde para Portugal (SiNATS). Criar o futuro. 2014. <https://www.infarmed.pt/documents/15786/1963929/SiNATS/4f9df178-482b-4f37-a15a-02041b4d3c48>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
9. Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA). Guidelines for evaluating the clinical effectiveness of health technologies in Ireland. 2018.
<https://www.hiqa.ie/sites/default/files/2019-01/Clinical-Effectiveness-Guidelines.pdf>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
10. Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA). Guidelines for the economic evaluation of health technologies in Ireland. 2020. <https://www.hiqa.ie/sites/default/files/2020-09/HTA-Economic-Guidelines-2020.pdf>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
11. Tarricone R, Amatucci F, Armeni P, Banks H, Borsoi L, Callea G, et al. Establishing a national HTA program for medical devices in Italy: Overhauling a fragmented system to ensure value and equal access to new medical technologies. *Health Policy*. 2021;125(5):602-8.
12. Ciani O, Tarricone R, Torbica A. Diffusion and use of health technology assessment in policy making: what lessons for decentralised healthcare systems? *Health Policy*. 2012;108(2-3):194-202.
13. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Medical technologies evaluation programme process guid3. 2017. <https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg34/resources/medical-technologies-evaluation-programme-process-guide-pdf-72286775885509>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
14. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Guide to the processes of technology appraisal. 2014. <https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/NICE-guidance/NICE-technology-appraisals/technology-appraisal-processes-guide-sept-2014.pdf>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
15. Austrian Institute for Health Technology Assessment GmbH (AIHTA). Grundlagen und Prinzipien von Health Technology Assessment. 2020.
<https://aihta.at/uploads/tableTool/UllCMSPage/gallery/hta-skriptum-v-2020-11-24-aihta-version-fin.pdf>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.

16. Malaysian Health Technology Assessment Section (MaHTAS). Health technology assessment manual 2015. https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/HTA_MANUAL_MAHTAS.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
17. Roza S, Junainah S, Izzuna MMG, Ku Nurhasni KAR, Yusof MAM, Noormah MD, et al. Health technology assessment in Malaysia: past, present, and Future. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2019;35(6):446-51. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0266462319000023>.
18. Liu G, Wu EQ, Ahn J, Kamae I, Xie J, Yang H. The development of health technology assessment in Asia: current status and future trends. *Value Health Reg Issues*. 2020;21:39-44.
19. Tamura M, Nakano S, Sugahara T. Reimbursement pricing for new medical devices in Japan: Is the evaluation of innovation appropriate? *Int J Health Plann Manage*. 2019;34(2):583-93.
20. Medical Economics Division HIB, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW). Full scale introduction of cost-effectiveness evaluations in Japan. 2019. https://c2h.niph.go.jp/tools/system/overview_en.pdf. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
21. Shiroyiwa T. Cost-effectiveness evaluation for pricing medicines and devices: a new value-based price adjustment system in Japan. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2020;36(3):270-6.
22. Lee SS, Salole E. Medical device reimbursement coverage and pricing rules in Korea: current practice and issues with access to innovation. *Value Health*. 2014;17(4):476-81.
23. National Evidence-based Healthcare Collaborating Agency (NECA). Step forward to make a healthier world. Healthcare research for the brighter future by NECA. 2021. <https://www.neca.re.kr/download.do;jsessionid=4F9E2EA3F83BA1585890B03E6C443343?uuid=43cde984-9a0a-4188-9783-e49f177f637d.pdf>. Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
24. Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC). Guidelines for preparing assessments for the Medical Services Advisory Committee. 2021. [http://www.msac.gov.au/internet/msac/publishing.nsf/Content/E0D4E4EDDE91EAC8CA2586E0007AFC75/\\$File/MSAC%20Guidelines-complete-16-FINAL\(18May21\).pdf](http://www.msac.gov.au/internet/msac/publishing.nsf/Content/E0D4E4EDDE91EAC8CA2586E0007AFC75/$File/MSAC%20Guidelines-complete-16-FINAL(18May21).pdf). Accessed 30 Dec 2022.
25. Campbell B. NICE medical technologies guidance: aims for clinical practice. *Perioper Med*. 2013;2:15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-0525-2-15>

26. The Medical Technology Product Council. Janusinfo: Medicintekniska produktrådet. 2022. <https://janusinfo.se/medicinteknik>. Accessed 29 Mar 2022.
27. Medical Device Coordination Group (MDCG). MDCG 2021-5 Guidance on standardisation for medical devices. 2021. https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2021-04/md_mdcg_2021_5_en_0.pdf. Accessed 29 Mar 2022.
28. Olberg B, Fuchs S, Panteli D, Perleth M, Busse R. Scientific evidence in health technology assessment reports: an in-depth analysis of European assessments on high-risk medical devices. *Value Health*. 2017 Dec;20(10):1420-1426. doi: 10.1016/j.jval.2017.05.011.
29. George E. How real-world data compensate for scarce evidence in HTA. *Z Evid Fortbild Qual Gesundheitswes*. 2016;112 Suppl 1:S23-6. doi: 10.1016/j.zefq.2016.04.012.
30. Fernandez MM, Khan S, Mordin M, Copley-Merriman C, McBride D. Comparing literature review requirements for reimbursement submissions across the globe. *Value in Health*. 2018;21:S108.
31. Millar L, Stevenson A, Carter K. PMU116 NICE medical technologies guidance: impact beyond the UK. *Value Health*. 2019;22:S728.