Cost-Effectiveness of First-Line Nivolumab Combination Therapy vs Chemotherapy Alone for Advanced or Metastatic Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Kenechukwu C. Ben-Umeh, BPharm¹, Godwin E. Okoye, BPharm², Daniel C. Malone, PhD¹, Jordan B. King, PharmD, MS³

¹ Department of Pharmacotherapy, College of Pharmacy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City ² Department of Practice, Sciences and Health Outcomes Research, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, Baltimore ³Department of Population Health Sciences, Spencer Fox Eccles School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City.

BACKGROUND

- Esophageal cancer is the sixth leading cause of cancerrelated mortality globally^{1,2}
- \succ In the U.S. in 2022, the incidence and death rates were 4.2 and 3.8 per 100,000 men and women per year, respectively³
- > An estimated 40% of patients have distant metastases at diagnosis, and the 5-year relative survival is about 20%³
- Nivolumab combinations were recently approved as firstline treatment for patients with advanced or metastatic ESCC based on CheckMate-648 trial results⁴

OBJECTIVE

To estimate the cost-effectiveness of nivolumab with chemotherapy or nivolumab with ipilimumab compared to chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment for advanced or metastatic ESCC in the overall population and population with PD-L1 expression of \geq 1% using a U.S. payer perspective

METHODS

Patient Population:

- Patients with a median age of 64 years diagnosed with advanced or metastatic ESCC
- Model Structure:
- A partitioned survival model with a 4-week cycle length and a 5-year time horizon was developed in TreeAge Pro Healthcare software
- > Interventions/Comparator:
- Nivolumab + fluorouracil + cisplatin
- Nivolumab + ipilimumab
- Fluorouracil + cisplatin
- Health States:

Progression-free survival (PFS), progressed and death

- Clinical Data:
 - Overall and progression-free survival data was extracted from CheckMate-648 trial results. In the base case analysis, a loglogistic distribution was used based on visual inspection and lowest AIC values
- Cost and Utilities:
 - Cost, utility and disutility values were obtained from UpToDate and published literature. A 3% discount rate per year was applied to costs and outcomes
- > Analyses:
- ICER per QALY was calculated for the interventions compared to chemotherapy alone. One-way and probabilistic sensitivity analysis were performed

Table 1. Input Parameters for	Base Case Analysis				
Parameters	Estimates				
Medications and Administration Costs (2022 USD)					
Nivolumab ⁵	\$16,928				
pilimumab ⁶	\$12,865				
Fluorouracil ^{7,9}	\$1,245				
Cisplatin ^{8,9}	\$1,110				
Adverse Events Management	Costs (2022 USD)				
Nivo+Chemo ¹⁰⁻¹²	\$18,259				
Nivo+lpi ¹⁰⁻¹²	\$11,011				
Chemo ¹⁰⁻¹²	\$18,075				
Progressed Disease ¹³	\$26,687				
Utility Values					
PFS state ¹⁴	0.75				
PD state ¹⁴	0.60				
Disutility Values					
Nivo+Chemo ^{15,16}	-0.24				
Nivo+lpi ^{15,16}	-0.28				
Chemo ^{15,16}	-0.29				

Figure 1. Parametric Distributions for OS and PFS in Overall Population livo+Chemo OS Overall Population









Nivolumab combination therapy showed better survival at significantly higher cost and is unlikely to be cost-effective as a first-line therapy for patients with advanced or metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in the U.S.

Base Case Results						
9 y	Cost	Incremen tal Cost	QALY	Increment al QALY	ICER	
opulation						
	\$307,484		0.84			
mo	\$438,775	\$131,291	1.06	0.22	\$597,522	
	\$514,202	\$206,718	1.15	0.31	\$666,832	
n with	n PD–L1 Exp	ression $\geq 1\%$, D			
	\$301,785		0.77			
	\$440,639	\$138,854	1.06	0.28	\$488,045	
mo	\$483,543	\$181,756	1.22	0.44	\$409,108	

QALY = Quality-Adjusted Life Year; ICER = Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio * Nivo+Ipi was extendedly dominated

Figure 2. Cost-Effectiveness Acceptability Curve in Overall Population

CE Acceptability Curve

CONCLUSION



DISCUSSION







Figure 3. Cost-Effectiveness Plane

ICE Scatterplot, Nivolumab + Chemotherapy vs. Chemotherapy

At a willingness-to-pay threshold (WTP) of \$200,000, the probability of nivolumab with chemotherapy and nivolumab with ipilimumab not being cost-effective was 86.2% and 79.2% respectively

Our results suggest that nivolumab with chemotherapy would be cost-effective at a WTP of \$550,000 per QALY or more while nivolumab with ipilimumab would be costeffective at a WTP of \$475,000 per QALY

One way sensitivity analyses showed that changes in the costs of nivolumab and ipilimumab, utility values for PFS, and cost of disease progression impact the results

> Limitations

Clinical trial population may not be truly represent the U.S. population

There was not real-world effectiveness data available, hence the analysis was based entirely on CheckMate-648 trial efficacy findings

Kenechukwu Ben-Umeh, BPharm Kenechukwu.ben-umeh@utah.edu



Presented at ISPOR 2023, Boston, May 7-10, 2023