

Data Sampling Methods for Imbalanced Classification: A Random Forest Study for Predicting Treatment Switching in Multiple Sclerosis

Jieni Li, MPH¹, Yinan Huang, MS¹, and Rajender R. Aparasu, PhD, FAPhA¹

¹University of Houston, College of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Health Outcomes and Policy, Houston, TX

Contact Information: Name: **Jieni Li**

University of Houston

Phone: (713) 743-1239
Email: jli87@central.uh.edu

BACKGROUND

- Compared to traditional regression models, the critical advantage of the random forest (RF) algorithm is that it is a very flexible algorithm that can evaluate more predictor variables that are not limited by model assumptions.
- Imbalanced data remains a challenge for utilizing RF algorithms in healthcare research. The imbalanced data might lead to biased prediction using machine learning algorithms.

OBJECTIVE

• This study evaluated different sampling methods in RF models for predicting disease-modifying agents (DMAs) switching among patients with Multiple Sclerosis (MS). Specifically, this study evaluated up-sampling, down-sampling, and synthetic minority over-sampling techniques (SMOTE)

itness smote dealing response smote the language bagging prediction selection highly moment probabilistic sequential kneams improved bagging prediction sequential kneams improved bagging prediction sequential kneams improved study event feature sampling balanced clustering adaboost financial sample text propagation and propagation in a cancer oversampling analysis detection in a cancer oversampling analysis detection propagation in a cancer oversampling analysis detection in a cancer oversampling analysis detection propagation in a cancer oversampling analysis detection in cancer oversampling analysis detection in a cancer oversamplin

METHODS

Study design and data source

- This study was a retrospective cohort study using the 2009-2019 TriNetx data
- TriNetx is a federated electronic medical record (EMR) data for over 38 million patients from 24 different healthcare organizations in the US.

Sample selection and Outcomes

- Patients were required to have ≥1 outpatient visit and
 ≥1 prescription in 12 months pre- and 24 months
 post-index.
- The earliest DMA date was assigned as the index date, and patients receiving DMA other than their index DMA prescription during follow-up were considered as switched.

RF model and sampling methods

- RF models involving 72 baseline variables were trained using 70% of the randomly split data.
- RF classifiers and parameter tuning were implemented among resampled data to train RF models.
- The model performance of different sampling methods, namely up-sampling, down-sampling, and SMOTE, was examined using several composite classification metrics Area Under the Curves (AUC), accuracy, recall, F-1 score, and G-measure.

Table 1. Model Performance of Different Sampling Methods

	AUC	Accuracy	Specificity	Precision	Recall	F-1	G-Measure	p-value
Up-sampling	0.6513	0.6065	0.6264	0.8893	0.6025	0.7184	0.7320	Reference
Down-sampling	0.6310	0.6221	0.5656	0.8783	0.6336	0.7361	0.7460	0.0252
SMOTE	0.5991	0.8007	0.1236	0.8419	0.9366	0.8867	0.8880	< 0.0001

RESULTS

KEY FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- The analytical sample consisted of 6,097 (84.0%) unswitched and 1,161(16.0%) switched MS patients.
- All sampling methods alleviated the data imbalance problem of DMA switching in the study sample of MS patients.
- Among the three methods, the over-sampling method provided the best AUC for predicting treatment switching in MS.
- However, the SMOTE performed well based on the F-1 score and G measure compared to the other two sampling methods.
- Due to imbalanced data, different composite classification metrics provide a different picture. Therefore, multiple sampling methods should be evaluated based on the extent of imbalance and the need for increasing the performance of composite classification metrics of RF models.

REFERENCES

- Breiman L. Random forests. Machine Learning. 2001;45(1):5-32. doi:10.1023/A:1010933404324
- Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by random Forest. R news. 2002;2(3):18-22.
- Chawla N v, Bowyer KW, Hall LO, Kegelmeyer WP. SMOTE: synthetic minority over-sampling technique. Journal of artificial intelligence research. 2002;16:321-357.
- Chen C, Liaw A, Breiman L. Using random forest to learn imbalanced data. University of California, Berkeley. 2004;110(1-12):24.
- Sokolova M, Japkowicz N, Szpakowicz S. Beyond Accuracy, F-Score and ROC: A Family of Discriminant Measures for Performance Evaluation. In: ; 2006:1015-1021. doi:10.1007/11941439_114