## Increasing Use of Biologics In Treatment of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Patients in US Clinical Practice: Real-World Observations from Trio Health and the American Rheumatology Network

# PTrio Health

### 1. BACKGROUND

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) treatments include steroids, antimalarials, immunosuppressants and/or biologics, though the use of biologics has been reported as minimal in claim-based studies examining real-world treatment patterns between 2006 to 2016. Here, we examine choice of treatments since 2017 within community rheumatology practices to understand current use of biologics. In addition, we examine persistence to belimumab, the most used biologic for SLE, and association with different treatment and patient characteristics.

### 2. METHODS

The ARN-TRIO Rheumatology registry contains EMR (fielded and open text), laboratory, procedure, infusion, medical claims, and specialty pharmacy data generated in care of >100,000 patients by ARN, a network of independent practices. Inclusion criteria: Adult (18+) patients with SLE who initiated or switched to a new treatment (starts) from Jan 2017 to May 2020 were included in this study. Statistical analysis used t-test (continuous variables) and chisquare/Fischer's exact tests (categorical variables). Time to events analyses were conducted by Kaplan-Meier and subsequent log-rank test.

Patient Demographics no (%) unless indicated	All Patients (n=5797)	(1) Not On Biologics					
		All Not On Biologics (n=5272)	On antimalarials (n=3884)	On steroids (n=2098)	On immunosuppressants (n=1287)	(2) On Biologics (n=525)	p-value (1) vs (2)
Age – mean (SD)	51.3 (15.3)	51.4 (15.4)	50.6 (15.4)	51.7 (15.7)	50.1 (15.3)	50.8 (14.4)	0.344
Female	5210 (90%)	4729 (90%)	3474 (89%)	1870 (89%)	1136 (88%)	481 (92%)	0.138
Race							
White	2497 (43%)	2242 (43%)	1623 (42%)	905 (43%)	557 (43%)	255 (49%)	0.838
Black	779 (13%)	702 (13%)	496 (13%)	351 (17%)	202 (16%)	77 (15%)	
Asian	42 ( <1%)	40 (<1%)	27 (1%)	18 (1%)	18 (1%)	2 (<1 %)	
Other	25 (<1%)	19 ( <1%)	12 (<1%)	10 (<1%)	9 (1%)	6 (1%)	
Unknown	2454 (42%)	2269 (43%)	1726 (44%)	814 (39%)	501 (39%)	185 (35%)	
Payer							
Commercial	3652 (63%)	3301 (63%)	2530 (65%)	1228 (59%)	772 (60%)	351 (67%)	0.002
Medicare	1450 (25%)	1336 (25%)	904 (23%)	606 (29%)	347 (27%)	114 (22%)	
Medicaid	488 (8%)	452 (9%)	320 (8%)	184 (9%)	120 (9%)	36 (7%)	
Other	115 (2%)	95 (2%)	59 (2%)	46 (2%)	27 (2%)	20 (4%)	
Unknown	92 (2%)	88 (2%)	71 (2%)	34 (2%)	21 (2%)	4 (1%)	
Concurrent drug class							
+steroids	2331 (40%)	2098 (40%)	1124 (29%)		543 (42%)	233 (44%)	0.041
+antimalarials	4091 (71%)	3884 (74%)		1124 (54%)	614 (48%)	207 (39%)	<0.001
+immunosuppressants	1440 (25%)	1287 (24%)	614 (16%)	543 (26%)		153 (29%)	0.017
+biologics	525 (9%)						

### TABLE 1: STUDY POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

One or more authors provided services to and/or received compensation from: Abbvie, Amarin, Amgen, BMS, Clearview Healthcare Partners, Clinical Care Options, Fidia, Focus Forward, Gilead, GSK, Horizon, Janssen, Lilly, Medisys, Medscape, Navigant, Novartis, OMERACT, Pfizer, Practice Point, Putnam Associates, Sandoz, Spherix, American College of Rheumatology, National Institutes of Health, UBM LLC, UCB, Viking Therapeutics, WebMD.

Simon Helfgott<sup>1</sup>, Deepali Rane<sup>2</sup>, Kent Kwas Huston<sup>3</sup>, Jeremy Broestl<sup>2</sup>, Jasvinder Singh<sup>4</sup>, Nehad Soloman<sup>5</sup>, Colin Edgerton<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, <sup>2</sup>Trio Health Analytics, Louisville, CO, <sup>3</sup>Kansas City Physician Partners, Kansas City, MO, <sup>4</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, <sup>5</sup>Arizona Arthritis and Rheumatology Associates, P.C., Phoenix, AZ <sup>6</sup>Articularis Healthcare, Charleston, SC

### 3. RESULTS

A total of 5,797 SLE patients started 10,913 distinct treatments in the observation window. Comparing starts by drug class between 2017 to 2019 indicated annualized growth rates of 20% for biologics, 7% for immunosuppressants, 2% for steroids, and -2% for biologics, immunosuppressants, steroids, and antimalarials respectively, with significant differences (p<0.050) for all drug classes except steroids [Figure 1]. To compare populations receiving different drug classes, patients were classified into biologic or non-biologic groups based on initial treatment (index). [TABLE 1] At index, 91% (5,272) received non-biologic therapy; 67% (3,884) received antimalarials, 36% (2,098) steroids, and 22% (1,287) immunosuppressants. Patients treated with biologic therapies at index mostly received belimumab (66%, 347/525); rituximab was used for 11% (60/525) of patients. Compared to the non-biologic group, the biologics group differed in use of other drug classes and payer, but not in age, gender, or race. For patients receiving belimumab, the mean time to discontinuation was 838 days (25<sup>th</sup> percentile = 620 days, median not reached). [FIGURE 2-4] Time to belimumab discontinuation differed by concomitant use of steroids, antimalarials, or immunosuppressants and these individual associations persisted regardless of the presence of other drug classes. Time to belimumab discontinuation was not associated with age, gender, race, or payer.



### -Biologics Immunosuppressants Antimalarials 46% 21% 2018 2019 (n=4215) (n=3044) FIGURE 3: DAYS TO BELIMUMAB DISCONTINUATION By CONCURRENT STEROIDS (N=667)







### 4. SUMMARY

Although limited to a fifth of treatment starts, use of biologics has increased considerably since 2017. For patients that received belimumab, persistence on therapy was substantial, increased in populations receiving concomitant steroids, antimalarials, or immunosuppressants.

### FIGURE 2: DAYS TO BELIMUMAB DISCONTINUATION BY CONCURRENT ANTIMALARIALS (N=667)



### FIGURE 4: DAYS TO BELIMUMAB DISCONTINUATION BY CONCURRENT IMMUNOSUPPRESSANTS (N=667)