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Agenda:

- History of the Missing Data Project
- Terminology on Missing Data
- Findings from Literature
- Guidance and Recommendations
- Next Steps
- Q&A
History

- Missing data needs to be critically evaluated in statistical analyses
- Mentioned as an important topic in the SIG proposal
- Formal proposal was in April 2017
- Approval by the Health Science Policy Council in November 2017
ISPOR Statistical Methods in HEOR Special Interest Group (SIG)

Mission: To provide statistical leadership for strengthening the use of appropriate statistical methodology in health economics and outcomes research and improve the analytic techniques used in real world data analysis.

Co-Chairs of SIG
- Gian Luca Di Tanna, Head of Statistics and Associate Professor, The George Institute for Global Health and UNSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- Helene Karcher, PhD, Global Head, RWE ophthalmology, Novartis, Basel, BS, Switzerland
- Rita Kristy, MS, Senior Director, Medical Affairs Statistics, Astellas Pharma Global Development, Northbrook, IL, USA

Co-Chairs of ISPOR Missing Data in HEOR Working Group
- Necdet Gunsoy, PhD, MPH, Head of HTA Analytics, Global Market Access and Pricing, AbbVie Ltd., England, United Kingdom
- Gianluca Baio, PhD, MSc, Professor of Statistics and Health Economics, University College London (UCL), England, United Kingdom
Project plan

• Two papers to be developed
  – Literature review and evaluation of current practices
  – Guidance and recommendations for handling missing data
• Detailed search criteria determined and refined (September 2018)
Literature review

- Abstracts were reviewed by working group and assessment of a full review made
  - Context
  - Type of missing data
  - How was it addressed
  - Limitations
- Literature review updated in August – November 2020
Conference presentations and article

• Presentations:
  – Forum presentation, May 2018: HANDLING MISSING VALUES IN REAL-WORLD DATA: ARE THERE CHALLENGES FOR REGULATORY DECISIONS FOR MEDICAL PRODUCTS?
  – Workshop, November 2018: ARE MISSING DATA PROPERLY ACCOUNTED FOR IN HEALTH ECONOMICS AND OUTCOMES RESEARCH?
  – Workshop, May 2019: HOW TO TACKLE THE ESTIMATION OF TREATMENT IMPACT IN THE PRESENCE OF DIFFERENTIAL WITHDRAWAL AND MISSING DATA AMONG STUDY ARMS?

• White Paper:
  – Are Missing Data Properly Accounted for in Health Economics and Outcomes Research? G Baio; N Gunsoy; N Onwudiwe; D Vanness, Value and Outcomes Spotlight, March/April 2020
Missing Data Terminology

Gian Luca Di Tanna, PhD, MPhil, MSc, The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia
Chair, ISPOR Statistical Methods in HEOR Special Interest Group
DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE ACRONYMS MAR, MCAR, MNAR STAND FOR AND THEIR MEANING?

Polling Question
Definitions of missing data mechanisms (Rubin, 1976)

**MCAR**
Missing Completely At Random

- Missingness does not depend on anything related to the substantive research question

**MAR**
Missing At Random

- Missingness might depend on its value, but this dependence is broken within the strata of fully observed variables

**MNAR**
Missing Not At Random

- Even within strata of observed variables, missingness still depends on the value itself
Definitions of missing data mechanisms (Rubin, 1976)

3 variables Y, X, Z with *Y and Z fully observed*, the probability of X being missing depends:

**MCAR**
Missing Completely At Random

- Missingness does not depend on anything related to the substantive research question

**MAR**
Missing At Random

- Missingness might depend on its value, but this dependence is broken within the strata of fully observed variables

**MNAR**
Missing Not At Random

- Even within strata of observed variables, missingness still depends on the value itself
A dichotomized definition

**MCAR**
Missing Completely At Random

**MAR**
Missing At Random

**MNAR**
Missing Not At Random

**Ignorable Missingness**
Missing values occur independent of the data collection process

**Non-Ignorable (Informative) Missingness**
There is a structural cause on the missingness mechanism which depends on the unobserved predictors and/or missing value itself
(Some…) approaches available

**MCAR**
- Missing Completely At Random
  - Complete Case Analysis
  - Available Case Analysis (Pairwise deletion)
  - Inverse Probability Weighting

**MAR**
- Missing At Random
  - Last Observation Carried Forward
  - Mean Imputation
  - Regression Imputation
  - Indicator Method
  - Nearest Neighbour
  - Random Forest
  - Boosted Regression Trees

**Weighting**
- Inverse Probability Weighting

**Single Imputation**
- Complete Case Analysis
- Available Case Analysis (Pairwise deletion)
- Inverse Probability Weighting

**Multiple Imputation**
- Last Observation Carried Forward
- Mean Imputation
- Regression Imputation
- Indicator Method
- Nearest Neighbour
- Random Forest
- Boosted Regression Trees

**Joint Models**
- Multiple Imputation
- Full Conditional Specification (FCS)
- Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations

**FCS**
- FCS – Twofold
- FCS – Moving Time Window
- FCS – Linear Mixed-Effects Model or GLM

**Random Forest**
- Bayesian MI

**Boosted Regression Trees**

**Nearest Neighbour**

**Random Forest**

**Bayesian MI**
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(Some...) approaches available

MCAR
Missing Completely At Random
- Complete Case Analysis
- Available Case Analysis (Pairwise Deletion)
- Inverse Probability Weighting

MAR
Missing At Random
- Last Observation Carried Forward
- Mean Imputation
- Regression Imputation
- Indicator Method
- Nearest Neighbour
- Random Forest
- Boosted Regression Trees

MNAR
Missing Not At Random
- Bayesian MI
- Pattern Mixture Models

- Multiple Imputation
- Joint Models
- Full Conditional Specification
- Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations
- FCS – Twofold
- FCS – Moving Time Window
- FCS – Linear Mixed-Effects Model or GLM

Weighting
- Single Imputation
- Multiple Imputation

Bayesian MI
Methodology and Results

Kumar Mukherjee, PhD, Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Georgia Campus, Suwanee, GA, USA

Co-Chair, Member Engagement of the ISPOR Statistical Methods in HEOR Special Interest Group
Objective of the study

• To provide a critical review of handling missing data in HEOR studies on the bases of a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) aimed at identifying methods used to handle missing data within the HEOR field with a specific focus on cost, utility, and Patients Reported Outcomes (PROs)
Search Strategy

Search procedure

- PubMed search till August 2020
- **Focus area:**
  - Missing data or Incomplete data
  - Cost
  - Effectiveness: HRQoL measures, Utility
- **Study exclusion criteria:**
  - Endpoint was outside the scope
  - No discussion or review of methods to handle missing data
  - Full text in English language was unavailable
- No minimum sample size was set as an inclusion criteria.

Key search terms

- Missing data, Incomplete data
- Cost-effective, Cost-utility, Budget impact
- Health economics, Outcomes research, Health outcomes, Real world, Pragmatic trials, Registry, Claims / Administrative data
- Observational, Retrospective, Prospective, Comparative effectiveness
- Analyz, Methodol, Modelling, Models, Strateg
- Develop, Guidance, Best practice, Recommend
Records identified through database searching (n=1,432)

Additional records identified through other sources (n=0)

Records after duplicates (n=2) and books removed (n=7) (n=1,423)

Records screened (n=1,423)

Records excluded (n=1,366)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n=57)

Full-text reports excluded, with reasons (n=17)
  • Non-methodological review (n=5)
  • Endpoint outside of scope (n=12)

Articles included in systematic review (n=40)

Figure 1: PRISMA Flow Diagram of Selection of Articles
Different Types of Study Design

Types of Study Design*

- Economic analyses: 15
- Guidelines: 9
- Methods: 6
- Simulations: 6
- Theoretical: 3
- Reviews: 3
- Others**: 6

*Some studies used a combination of study designs
**Others include predictive modelling, comparative effectiveness research, survey research and cohort study using database
Different Types of Datasets Used

Source of Data

- Randomized controlled trial: 21
- Cohort study: 6
- Simulations: 3
- Electronic health records: 2
- Registries: 2
- Other databases: 2

Note: Four studies did not use any data source
What Was Missing?

- Cost data
- Effectiveness data (HRQoL, QALY)
- Patient reported outcomes
- Covariates
## Methodologies Used for Handling Missing Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods*</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple imputation(^)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete case analysis</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern mixture models</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last value carry forward (LVCF)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item and aggregate imputation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse probability weighting (IPW)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiparametric model with propensity score</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian robust 2-stage causal modeling with instrumental variable</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive least square support vector machine approach</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parametric test based on distance between observed data</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some studies used multiple approach.
\(^\) Among these 14 studies used chained equation. Four studies used Predictive Mean Matching (PMM).
Level of Addressing Missing Data Handling Issues

• *Categorized in 3 levels:*
  - *Adequately addressed* (Article used multiple methods of handling missing data)
  - *Partially adequate* (Article used only one single method of handling missing data)
  - *Not adequate* (No missing data issues were addressed)

• For 9 studies, adequacy of methods could not be addressed as these were without any empirical illustration of methods or theoretical in nature.
Level of Adequacy of Addressing missing data in HEOR literature

Addressing Missing Data

- Adequate: 20
- Partially adequate: 10
- Not adequate: 1

[Bar Chart showing the distribution of adequacy levels]
Nature of Guidance / Recommendations from Literature

• Economic evaluation based on Registry – MI is preferred where data are MAR
• RCT based CEA studies – report information collection, assumptions and limitations of choice of methods, sensitivity analysis
• MI models for handling missing PROMs data – consider prevalence of missing data in the study, sample size, the number of items and numbers of levels within the individual items, analysis plan

• In PROMs data
  - in small sample, imputation at score or subscale level may outperform imputation at item level
  - in case of high proportions of item-nonresponse imputation at the item level may perform better

• Whether the Missingness Pattern Approach (MPA)'s assumptions are reasonable and deciding appropriateness of the method
Assumptions & Complete Case Analysis (CCA)

• All approaches have assumptions (including CCA)
• CCA can be valid but it is commonly not efficient
  – It is valid when the probability of each record being complete depends on the covariates only (not on the outcome)
  – It is efficient when all the missing values are in the outcome (or if records with missing covariate(s) also have a missing outcome)
Ignorable?

- MAR holds (Missing data ignorable): this does not mean we can sleep well and over-rely on CCA by default
When an approach to missing data is needed

- Unless the overall proportion of missing data records is really minimal (< $X\%$) we should use (more than) one of the proposed approaches.
- The proportion of missing data usually refers to $\%$ of data missing in outcome and covariates (exposure, confounders) but non on auxiliary variables.
- The fraction of missing information (FMI) measure can give precious information on choosing auxiliary variables to be used in imputation models.
MAR vs MNAR

- We cannot define a strategy according to MAR vs MNAR: this is untestable
- MI with specific adjustments (i.e., Pattern Mixture Models - PMM), Bayesian approaches can handle both
Multiple Imputation (MI)

- MI does not recover and replace missing values but attempts to produce valid and more precise results.
- MAR holds:
  - MI is preferred to CCA as it reduces bias and/or improve precision (esp. when using incomplete cases and auxiliary variables).
- MNAR holds:
  - even CCA can be preferred to MI (if not using appropriate auxiliary variables).
  - Modify MI approach by PMM / tipping point analyses.
On the imputation model

- Extra care to the regressions used to impute the missing values as costs are skewed and utilities bounded
- Correlation mechanisms to consider for costs & utilities
• Fundamental to **conduct sensitivity analysis** to assess the robustness of mechanisms also under plausible MNAR assumptions in trial-based CEA

• No one-size-fits-all models in MI regression equations: usage of as many predictors as possible so that MAR is reasonable

• MI is not necessarily the best option but it is for sure attractive given its flexibility: it can be confidently used under MAR and can be implemented under different MNAR scenarios

• Suggestions to **impute by randomized groups** and use of **reference-based/controlled MI**

• Increasing availability of methods to assess various situations of departures from MAR easy to implement (ie. **Bayesian approaches, PMM**)
• Many methods available, some are seldomly used
• Improve methods proposals, better conducted and more comprehensive simulations
• Make methods more accessible (ie. tutorials papers, code sharing, open access examples)
AFTER TODAY’S PRESENTATION, WHEN YOU NOTICE THAT YOUR DATASET CONTAINS MISSING DATA WHAT WILL YOU DO?

Polling Question
Next Steps
Ways to Get Involved

• Review manuscripts 1 and 2 this summer
  – If you are a SIG member, you will receive a draft of the manuscripts in your inbox with 3-4 weeks to review and provide feedback

• Our email is always open! Send us ideas for topics and methods you would like more information on statisticalmethodssig@ispor.org

• Connect with your peers and submit an abstract for ISPOR Europe 2021
Sign up as a Special Interest Group Member

1. Visit ISPOR webpage www.ispor.org
2. Select “Member Groups”
3. Select “Special Interest Groups”
4. On left side bar, click “Statistical Methods in HEOR”
5. Click button to “Join This Special Interest Group”

For more information, e-mail statisticalmethodssig@ispor.org