# RTI $(h)(s)^{*}$ Health Solutions

# Budget-Impact Analysis of Ibalizumab in the Treatment of US Medicare Beneficiaries With Multidrug-Resistant HIV-1 Infection

La EM,<sup>1</sup> Talbird SE,<sup>1</sup> Brogan AJ,<sup>2</sup> Davis AE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Manchester, UK

### BACKGROUND

- Limited antiretroviral (ARV) treatment options are available for heavily treatment-experienced individuals with multidrugresistant (MDR) HIV-1 infection, including older United States (US) Medicare beneficiaries who have aged while on treatment.
- In March 2018, ibalizumab-uiyk (ibalizumab) was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for use in adults with MDR HIV-1 infection who are failing their current regimen.<sup>1</sup>
  - Ibalizumab is a first-in-class, long-acting, humanized monoclonal antibody that is a CD4-directed postattachment HIV-1 inhibitor.
  - The treatment is administered by intravenous infusion every 2 weeks and is used in combination with optimized background therapy (OBT).
- Analyses are needed to understand the budget impact of adding ibalizumab to a Medicare health plan.

# OBJECTIVE

 This study evaluates the budget impact of adding ibalizumab to a US Medicare health plan for the treatment of MDR HIV-1 infection.

# **METHODS**

#### **Model Structure**

- A budget-impact model with an underlying Markov structure was developed to estimate the economic impact of including ibalizumab on a hypothetical Medicare plan with 1 million members (Figure 1).
- The model compared ARV drug costs and HIV management costs over a 3-year period for two scenarios: with and without ibalizumab included on the formulary as an add-on to OBT.

#### Figure 1. Underlying Markov Model With CD4 Cell Count Health States



Note: As an example, this figure depicts all possible transitions from the 201-350 CD4 cell count health state, although transitions are allowed from any health state.

#### Figure 2. Progression From Initial OBT to Failing OBT as Nonsuppressive Therapy



IBA = ibalizumab; MDR = multidrug-resistant; OBT = optimized background therapy.

Notes: Resistance testing was conducted to determine OBT when patients entered the model and when patients experienced two consecutive visits with HIV RNA ≥ 200 copies/mL. Responders were defined as HIV RNA < 50 copies/mL at 25 weeks.

#### **Table 3. Treatment Efficacy Inputs**

| Parameter  | Ibalizumab + OBT | OBT Alone   | Sources and Assumptions   |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| Percentage of individuals achieving response (HIV RNA < 50 copies/mL at week 25)             |                  |             |   |  |  |  |
| Responders   | 42.5%            | 0.0%        | Ibalizumab + OBT: Emu et al., 2018 <sup>8</sup>                                   |  |  |  |
| Partial responders/nonresponders   | 57.5%            | 100.0%      | OBT alone: TaiMed data on file <sup>13</sup>                                      |  |  |  |
| 3-month probability of treatment failure (2 consecutive visits with HIV RNA ≥ 200 copies/mL) |                  |             |   |  |  |  |
| Responders (year 1)  | 0.000            | 0.000       | Ibalizumab + OBT: TaiMed data on file <sup>9</sup><br>OBT alone: Assumed equal to |  |  |  |
| Responders (years 2+)  | 0.253            | 0.253       |   |  |  |  |
| Partial responders/nonresponders   | 0.507            | 0.507       | ibalizumab + OBT  |  |  |  |
| Mean (SD) change in CD4 cell count from baseline (day 7) to:                                 |                  |             |   |  |  |  |
| Week 13  | 36.1 (102.8)     | 8.8 (25.0)  | Ibalizumab + OBT: TaiMed data on file <sup>9</sup>                                |  |  |  |
| Week 25  | 62.4 (105.8)     | 40.1 (67.9) | OBT alone: TaiMed data on file <sup>13</sup>                                      |  |  |  |
| Week 37  | 64.8 (105.8)     | 42.5 (67.9) | Estimated based on week 25 values and Li et al., 2011 <sup>14</sup>               |  |  |  |
| Week 49  | 67.2 (105.8)     | 44.9 (67.9) |   |  |  |  |
| Annual change in CD4 cell count in years 2+ of initial therapy                               | 9.6              | 9.6         | Li et al., 2011 <sup>14</sup>   |  |  |  |
| Annual change in CD4 cell count for nonsuppressive therapy                                   | -22.0            | -22.0       | Ledergerber et al., 2004 <sup>15</sup>  |  |  |  |

- Upon entering the model, Medicare beneficiaries with MDR HIV-1 infection received resistance testing to determine OBT; patients then initiated treatment with either ibalizumab + OBT or OBT alone and were classified as responders or partial/nonresponders at week 25 (based on clinical trial data) (Figure 2).
- Over the 3-year time horizon, individuals were able to transition between CD4-based health states and experience treatment failure or death from HIV-related or non–HIV-related causes.
- Upon treatment failure, individuals discontinued ibalizumab and remained on their failing OBT as nonsuppressive therapy.

#### **Model Inputs**

- Model inputs related to the size of the eligible population, drug costs, and treatment efficacy are presented in Table 1 through Table 3.
- Other direct medical costs by CD4 health state (including annual medical costs and non-ARV medication costs) and annual HIVrelated mortality were obtained from the published literature.<sup>2,3</sup>
- For the scenario with ibalizumab on the Medicare formulary, estimates of ibalizumab uptake among those with MDR HIV-1 infection were 5% in year 1, 10% in year 2, and 15% in year 3 (based on clinical expert opinion).

#### **Model Outcomes and Analyses**

- The model estimated the following outcomes:
  - Number of Medicare beneficiaries with MDR HIV-1 infection eligible for treatment
  - Total annual and per-member per-month (PMPM) costs for each scenario
  - Incremental budget impact
- Key input parameters were tested in scenario analyses.

#### Table 1. Population Inputs<sup>a</sup>

| Parameter   | Value     | Sources and<br>Assumptions  |  |  |
|---|-----------|---|--|--|
| Total size of Medicare<br>health plan   | 1,000,000 | Assumption  |  |  |
| Prevalent population (year 1)   |           |   |  |  |
| Percentage aged<br>≥ 18 years   | 100.0%    | Assumption  |  |  |
| Percentage of adults aged<br>≥ 18 years with an existing<br>HIV diagnosis                   | 0.35%     | Centers for Medicare<br>and Medicaid<br>Services, 2017 <sup>4</sup> |  |  |
| Percentage of diagnosed<br>adults who are retained in<br>HIV care                           | 45.0%     | Hall et al., 2013⁵  |  |  |
| Percentage of patients<br>retained in care who are<br>currently treated with<br>ARV therapy | 89.0%     | Hall et al., 2013⁵  |  |  |
| Percentage of treated<br>adults with MDR HIV-1<br>infection                                 | 4.4%      | Scherrer et al., 2016 <sup>6</sup>                                  |  |  |
| Total prevalent population  | 62        | Calculated value  |  |  |
| Incident population (years 1-5)   |           |   |  |  |
| Percentage of treated<br>adults who develop MDR<br>HIV-1 infection each year                | 0.04%     | Scherrer et al., 2016 <sup>6</sup>                                  |  |  |
| Total incident population per year  | 0.5       | Calculated value  |  |  |

SD = standard deviation.

### **RESULTS**

- For a hypothetical Medicare plan with 1 million members, approximately 62.6 individuals with MDR HIV-1 are expected to be treated with ARV therapy in year 1.
- Over the 3-year period, total costs of ARV therapy and other direct medical costs are estimated at approximately \$13.5 million for the scenario without ibalizumab on the formulary and \$14.8 million for the scenario with ibalizumab.
- Adding ibalizumab to the formulary is expected to result in an annual budget impact of \$252,561 (\$0.021 PMPM) in year 1, \$447,839 (\$0.037 PMPM) in year 2, and \$651,349 (\$0.054 PMPM) in year 3 (Figure 3).
- Scenario analyses indicated that results are most sensitive to ibalizumab uptake projections, although results are also affected by alternate assumptions related to treatment failure and ibalizumab discontinuation rates (Table 4).

#### Figure 3. Total Annual Budget Impact of Introducing Ibalizumab to Medicare Plan With 1 Million Members



# Table 4. Budget-Impact Scenario Analyses for Medicare PlanWith 1 Million Members

|   | Incremental Annual Budget Impact |           |             |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Scenario  | Year 1                           | Year 2    | Year 3      |
| Base case   | \$252,561                        | \$447,839 | \$651,349   |
| Lower percentage<br>discontinuing ibalizumab in<br>nonsuppressive therapy<br>(75% discontinuation)  | \$286,242                        | \$488,452 | \$667,657   |
| Treatment failure alternatively<br>defined based on the time<br>to protocol-defined virologic<br>failure <sup>a</sup>                                 | \$328,912                        | \$535,592 | \$720,085   |
| Lower annual nonsuppressive<br>therapy drug costs (\$52,850,<br>assumes nonsuppressive<br>therapy cost equals cost of<br>OBT for the initial regimen) | \$259,466                        | \$481,028 | \$691,816   |
| Lower ibalizumab uptake<br>(–50%)   | \$126,280                        | \$222,450 | \$321,075   |
| Higher ibalizumab uptake<br>(+50%)  | \$505,121                        | \$898,968 | \$1,313,811 |
| Lower drug costs borne<br>by payer (assumes 10%<br>coinsurance for ibalizumab,<br>\$25 copayment for all other<br>ARV drugs)                          | \$227,338                        | \$406,008 | \$594,030   |
| Lower drug costs borne<br>by payer (assumes \$25<br>copayment for all ARV drugs,<br>including ibalizumab)   | \$251,496                        | \$446,361 | \$648,561   |

<sup>a</sup> Scenario analysis defined virologic failure as 2 consecutive viral load measurements with <  $0.5 \log_{10}$  decline from baseline. The 3-month probabilities of treatment failure were 0.000 for responders in year 1, 0.109 for responders in years 2+, and 0.210 for partial responders/nonresponders.

<sup>a</sup> Characteristics of the modeled population were based on the baseline characteristics of participants in the phase 3 clinical trial and are reported elsewhere.<sup>7-9</sup>

#### **Table 2. Drug Acquisition and Administration Inputs**

| Parameter  | Value     | Sources and Assumptions   |  |
|--|-----------|---|--|
| Ibalizumab drug acquisition costs                    |           | Red Book Online <sup>10</sup> (year 1 cost  |  |
| Year 1   | \$125,255 | accounts for loading dose when  |  |
| Years 2+   | \$118,445 | starting treatment)   |  |
| Cost per ibalizumab<br>administration                | \$259.14  | Average cost assuming<br>patients receive treatment in<br>an outpatient clinic, outpatient<br>infusion center, or in-home<br>setting <sup>11</sup>                      |  |
| Annual cost of<br>initial OBT                        | \$52,850  | Based on analysis of OBT<br>regimens used by participants<br>in ibalizumab's phase 3 clinical<br>trial <sup>12</sup>  |  |
| Annual cost of<br>nonsuppressive \$65,962<br>therapy |           | Red Book Online <sup>10</sup> (assuming<br>individuals have an<br>additional protease inhibitor<br>or nonnucleoside reverse<br>transcriptase inhibitor added to<br>OBT) |  |

## LIMITATIONS

• Treatment efficacy data were obtained from ibalizumab's small, single-arm, 24-week phase 3 clinical trial.

- The budget-impact model used projected efficacy for ibalizumab + OBT beyond the trial period and estimated efficacy for OBT alone using results from ibalizumab's phase 2a clinical trial.
- The analysis did not explicitly model ibalizumab-specific adverse events; costs related to general treatment-related adverse events were captured in the model as part of other direct medical costs by CD4 cell count.
- Several of the model inputs (e.g., nonsuppressive therapy efficacy, mortality) were based on somewhat dated estimates from the published literature, although these were the most recent estimates identified.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Trogarzo<sup>™</sup> [ibalizumab-uiyk] US prescribing information. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/761065lbl.pdf.
- 2. Gebo KA, et al. AIDS. 2010 Nov 13;24(17):2705-15.
- 3. Mocroft A, et al. Lancet. 2003 Jul 5;362(9377):22-9.
- 4. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/Chronic-Conditions/ CC\_Main.html.
- 5. Hall HI, et al. JAMA Intern Med. 2013 Jul 22;173(14):1337-44.
- 6. Scherrer AU, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2016 May 15;62(10):1310-17.
- 7. Lewis S, et al. http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/long-acting-ibalizumabpatients-multi-drug-resistant-hiv-1-24-week-study.
- 8. Emu B, et al. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(7):645-54.
- 9. TaiMed data on file. TMB-301 Clinical Study Report.

10. Red Book Online®. http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.

- 11. The Essential RBRVS. A comprehensive listing of RBRVS values for CPT and HCPCS codes. 2019. Utah: Optum360, LLC.
- 12. Talbird S, et al. Characteristics and costs of optimized background therapy for treatment of heavily treatment-experienced adults with multidrug-resistant HIV-1 in the US: a clinical trial analysis. 22nd Annual International AIDS Conference; 2018.
- 13. TaiMed data on file. TNX-355.03 Clinical Study Report.
- 14. Li X, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011 Aug 15;57(5):421-8.

15. Ledergerber B, et al. Lancet. 2004 Jul 3-9;364(9428):51-62.

# DISCUSSION

- Including ibalizumab on a US Medicare health plan is expected to result in a relatively low budget impact that remains low over a 3-year time period and across a range of scenario analyses.
- These results do not include the public health or economic benefits of avoided secondary infections resulting from improved virologic suppression or any indirect benefits that may be associated with the use of ibalizumab (as is customary with budget-impact analyses).
- Medicare beneficiaries with MDR HIV-1 infection have limited remaining treatment options. Ibalizumab may serve as an important treatment option for this population by helping to improve health outcomes with limited budget impact.

# DISCLOSURES

• Funding for this study was provided by Theratechnologies, Inc. Authors maintained independent scientific control of the study design and analyses.

# **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Elizabeth M. La, PhD

Associate Director, Health Economics

RTI Health Solutions 3040 East Cornwallis Road Post Office Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194

Phone: +1.919.541.6620 E-mail: lizla@rti.org