

RESEARCH ABSTRACT EXAMPLE

Title (in title case)	Comparing The Value Of A pCODR Full Approval Versus An Approval Conditional On Cost-Effectiveness Being Improved To An Adequate Level
Authors (author's last name,	Macaulay R, Surtel A
followed by first initial)	PAREXEL, London, United Kingdom
institution(s), city, state,	
country	
Abstract (do not indent; must	OBJECTIVES: The pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review (pCODR)
include OBJECTIVES,	makes recommendations at a national level for oncology drugs.
METHODS, RESULTS,	Drugs can only move to provincial consideration if they receive a
CONCLUSION unless a	pCODR "recommendation" or "recommendation conditional on
Conceptual Papers	cost-effectiveness being improved to an acceptable level". This
submission)	research aims to explore if pCODR deeming an oncologic to have
	acceptable cost-effectiveness can affect the speed of attaining
Abstract has a 300 maximum	provincial access. METHODS: All publically available pCODR
word count	appraisal reports and provincial funding summaries up to 31
	September 2014 were identified from which the appraisal
	outcomes, incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) and dates
	were extracted. If more than 1 ICER was stated, the mean value
	was used. Statistical comparisons were performed using Student's
	t-tests. RESULTS:pCODR submissions encompassing 34 indications
	were extracted. ICERs were only stated in 13/34 of these
	submissions. 2/13 were pCODR-recommended, 11/13
	recommended conditional on cost-effectiveness being improved
	to an acceptable level, and 0/13 rejected. There was no significant
	difference between average delay in provincial access for the
	submissions that received a full recommendation versus those
	that received a conditional recommendation (9.3 vs 9.3 months,
	p=0.49). However, the 7 drugs with an ICER above CAD200,000 per
	Quality-Adjusted Life Year (QALY) experienced significantly longer
	delays to provincial access than the 6 drugs whose ICERs fell below
	this level (12.3 vs. 8.4 months, p=0.02). CONCLUSIONS:Oncology
	drugs that are deemed to have acceptable cost-effectiveness by
	pCODR did not seem to attain faster provincial access, although
	this analysis was limited by the small number of positive pCODR-
	recommendations with publically available ICERs. Nevertheless,
	oncologics with higher ICERs experienced significantly greater
	delays to provincial access. This suggests that by making greater
	efforts to demonstrate cost-effectiveness at the level of pCODR,
	faster provincial and patent access can be obtained.