Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Contemporary Advanced Prostate Cancer Management: *A Markov Model for the Canadian Context* **EE505**





V. LITVIN¹, A. APRIKIAN², and A. DRAGOMIR¹, ¹Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada ²McGill University, Montréal, Canada

Introduction

- Recent treatments for advanced PCa: second-gen antiandrogens (SGAA)
- Four SGAAs: abiraterone (abi), apalutamide (apa), darolutamide (daro), & enzalutamide (enza)
- SGAAs are effective but lead to resistance:
 - lack of evidence supporting rechallenge
 necessary to choose timing for SGAAs
- Existing CEAs compare single states:
 - Saad et al. (2022), Yoo et al. (2023),
 Sathianathen et al. (2024) compare
 SGAAs in mCSPC
 - no comparison of <u>treatment sequences</u>

Objective

Find the most effective and cost-effective advanced PCa treatment sequences in the Canadian health-economic context, focusing on timing of second-gen antiandrogen use.

We focus on Canadian health costs, clinical guidelines, and cost-effectiveness thresholds.

Methods

- 3 starting health states:
- <u>nmCSPC</u>: onto nmCRPC, mCRPC, progressed mCRPC, death
- low-risk/volume mCSPC: onto mCRPC, prog. mCRPC, death
- <u>high-risk/volume mCSPC:</u> onto mCRPC, prog. mCRPC, death
- Combine patient outcome data from many trials:
- AFFIRM, ARAMIS, ARANOTE, ARASENS, COU-AA-301, COU-AA-302, EMBARK, ENZAMET, FIRSTANA, GETUG-AFU-15, PEACE-1, PRESIDE, PREVAIL, PROSELICA, SPARTAN, STAMPEDE, TITAN, & TROPIC
- Statistical challenge: we develop <u>new parameter estimation algorithm</u> to model transitions probabilities for counterfactual treatment sequences
- Using Canadian guidelines: 62 allowable treatment sequences
- e.g. (abiraterone+ADT in mCSPC, docetaxel+ADT in mCRPC)
- define early SGAA use = before mCRPC, late use = in mCRPC
- Markov model: 200,000 simulated patients

Results

- SGAA timing:
 - Most effective: early SGAA use
 - Most cost-effective: <u>early SGAA use</u>
- Individual drugs:
 - Most effective:
 - <u>enzalutamide</u> for nmCSPC, low-risk mCSPC
 - <u>apalutamide</u> for high-risk mCSPC
 - Most cost-effective: abiraterone

Explanation

- SGAAs effective, but expensive:
 - early use: high benefit & cost
 - For thresholds of C\$ 50K+ per QALY (or LY), early SGAA use is worth the cost
- Abiraterone cost-effectiveness: comparable outcomes with generic price (~C\$920 vs C\$3400 /mo)

nmCSPC low-risk mCSPC high-risk mCSPC Legend: Mean Benefit & Cost of Sequences (nmCSPC start) Mean Benefit & Cost of Sequences (low-risk mCSPC start) ean Benefit & Cost of Sequences (high-risk mCSPC start) early SGAA ■ late SGAA ▲ no SGAA Highlights: 1) Early SGAA use is high benefit & cost 2) Enza & apa 100,000 200,000 300,000 400,000 500,000 600,000 most effective 200.000 300.000 400,000 500,000 600,000 300,000 400,000 500,000 600,000 Cost (C\$) Cost (C\$) Cost (C\$) 3) Abi least nmCRPC abi mCSPC enza expensive mCRPC abi mCSPC abi mCSPC enza mCRPC abi mCSPC abi mCSPC apa mCSPC apa SGAA nmCRPC daro mCSPC daro mCSPC daro No SGAA Acceptability Curves (nmCSPC start) Acceptability Curves (low-risk mCSPC start) Acceptability Curves (high-risk mCSPC start) C\$100K C\$100K C\$50K C\$100K Highlights: 1) As funding rises (CET aka WTP ↑), early 9.0 No SGAA No SGAA SGAA use mCSPC ab becomes more mCSPC abi cost-effective nmCRPC abi o 0.4 o.4 0.4 mCRPC abi 2) Early abi mCRPC abi mCRPC abi most costeffective nmCSPC enza All Others All Others 60,000 100,000 120,000 60,000 120,000 20,000 40,000 80,000 20,000 60,000 80,000 120,000 Cost-effectiveness threshold (C\$ per QALY) Cost-effectiveness threshold (C\$ per QALY) Cost-effectiveness threshold (C\$ per QALY) Best mean outcomes for high-risk mCSPC **Best mean outcomes for nmCSPC** Best mean outcomes for low-risk mCSPC NHB* Treatment Sequence** **Treatment Sequence** Outcome** NHB* Treatment Sequence** **Outcome Outcome Benefit Cost** Benefit Cost (QALY) (mCSPC, mCRPC) (QALY) (nmCSPC, nmCRPC, (QALY) (C\$) (QALY) (mCSPC, mCRPC) (QALY) (QALY) (C\$) mCRPC) **Benefit Benefit** 6.61 341,307 7.55 380,237 (enza, doce) (apa, doce) 495,406 N/A **Benefit** 10.55 (enza, doce, doce) NHB* @ WTP of NHB* @ WTP of 7.32 141,717 **4.49** (abi, doce) 5.60 **100,448 3.59** (abi, doce) NHB* @ WTP of 8.26 (ADT, abi, doce) C\$50K per QALY C\$50K per QALY C\$50K per QALY NHB* @ WTP of 7.32 **141,717 5.90** (abi, doce) NHB* @ WTP of 5.60 **100,448 4.60** (abi, doce) (ADT, abi, doce) NHB* @ WTP of 94,438 C\$100K per QALY 7.31 C\$100K per QALY C\$100K per QALY *NHB= Net Health Benefit **all treatments include ADT; doce = docetaxel *NHB= Net Health Benefit **all treatments include ADT; doce = docetaxel

Conclusion

- Implications for clinicians and payers:
 - SGAA use in nmCSPC most effective
 - Early SGAA use is most cost-effective
 - Most <u>early SGAA use cost-effective</u> (net benefit > 0)
 - Abiraterone most cost-effective if generic
- Sensitivity analysis: if all SGAA cost ~C\$920 /mo
 - <u>apalutamide, darolutamide, & enzalutamide</u> become most cost-effective

Contribution

*NHB= Net Health Benefit **all treatments include ADT; doce = docetaxel

We find the most effective and cost-effective contemporary <u>treatment sequences</u> for advanced PCa in the Canadian context.

We develop and demonstrate a novel parameter estimation algorithm to adapt trial data to treatment sequence analysis.

We provide predictions for future costeffectiveness after FINITE trial (nmCSPC abi) and enzalutamide patent expiry.

References:



Contact Info

valentyn.litvin@umontreal.ca
armen.aprikian.med@ssss.gouv.qc.ca
alice.dragomir@umontreal.ca