Radiofrequency Renal Denervation for Uncontrolled Hypertension: A cost-effectiveness analysis for the Belgian setting based on SPYRAL HTN-ON MED and other contemporary evidence

AM Ryschon, MA¹; KN Cao, MBBS MSc MPH¹; J Vanacker MSc²; B Drieghe, MD³; J Van der Heyden, MD⁴; T de Backer. MD³; JB Pietzsch, PhD¹ 1 Wing Tech Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA; 2 Medtronic NV/SA Brussels, Belgium; 3 University of Gent, Gent, Gent, Belgium; 4 St. Jan Hospital, Bruges, Belgium Contact: jpietzsch@wing-tech.com

Objectives

- Radiofrequency renal denervation (RF RDN) is a therapeutic option for uncontrolled, including resistant, hypertension. ^{1,2}
- This study examined the cost-effectiveness of RF RDN from the perspective of the Belgian healthcare payer.

Table 1 Cost-effectiveness results for the base case.

	Cost	ΔCost	QALYs	ΔQALYs	ICER
RF RDN	€47,366	€5,386	17.45	0.57	€9,381
SoC	€41,980		16.88		

EE17

Methods

• A decision-analytic Markov model projected clinical events, quality-adjusted survival and costs with a time horizon of ten years and lifetime. ³

Results

- RF RDN led to a significant clinical event RR (0.80 for stroke,
- Clinical events projected included stroke, myocardial infarction (MI), angina pectoris/coronary heart disease (AP/CHD), heart failure (HF), end-stage renal disease (ESRD), cardiovascular death (CVD), and all-cause death (ACD).
- The model was based on multivariate risk equations, including the Framingham equations.
- Clinical event risk reductions associated with changes in office systolic blood pressure (oSBP) were estimated based on a meta-regression of 47 hypertension treatment-related randomized controlled trials (RCTs).⁴
- Disease state costs were sourced from published literature and fee schedules; a cost of €8491 was assumed for RF RDN.
- Demographics and treatment effects (-4.9 mmHg, active vs sham oSBP,) were derived from the SPYRAL HTN-ON MED trial. ⁵
- The analysis was conducted from the perspective of the Belgian healthcare payer, including associated healthcare costs, with a 3.0% discount rate for costs and a 1.5% discount rate for health effects as per Belgian guidelines. ⁶

- 0.88 for MI, 0.89 for AP/CHD, 0.72 for HF, 0.96 for ESRD, 0.85 for CVD, and 0.93 for ACD, see Fig. 1).
- Compared to sham therapy, RF RDN led to an incremental QALY gain of 0.57 at an incremental cost of €5,386, yielding an ICER of €9,381 per QALY gained (Table 1), substantially below WTP thresholds referenced for Belgium.
- In deterministic sensitivity analysis, the cost and effect size of RF RDN were the most influential parameters, followed by stroke costs and utility. ICERs remained under €14,000 per QALY across tested scenarios.
- Results were shown to be robust in probabilistic sensitivity analysis, demonstrating a high probability of being cost-effective across a wide range of WTP (Figure 2).

Figure 2 Probabilistic sensitivity analysis: Scatter plot (top) and costeffectiveness acceptability curve (bottom).



- Ten-year clinical event relative risks (RR) and the lifetime incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) were calculated.
- The ICER was evaluated against a willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold of €40,000 per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained, and extensive deterministic and probabilistic sensitivity analyses performed.

Figure 1 Ten-Year Clinical Events and relative risks (RR), RF RDN vs. SoC.







Conclusions

ACD: all-cause death; CVD: cardiovascular death; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; HF: heart failure; MI: myocardial infarction; RF RDN: radiofrequency renal denervation; SoC: Standard of care.

Based on model-based projections, RF RDN is expected to lead to meaningful clinical event risk reductions and can provide a cost-effective treatment alternative for uncontrolled hypertension in the Belgian setting.

References:

- ¹ McEvoy et al. Eur Heart J. 2024.
 ² Mancia et al. J Hyperten. 2023.
 ³ Sharp et al. EHJ-QCCO. 2024.
 ⁴ Thomopoulos et al. J Hypertens. 2014.
 ⁵ Kandzari DE et al. JACC 2023.
- ⁶ Cleemput et al. "Belgian guidelines for economic evaluations and budget impact analyses". 2021.

Disclosures: Funding support by Medtronic Inc.