

SFDA Experience in Developing a Value Framework to Determine New Drugs Price Premium Using Multi-criteria Decision Analysis Approach

Mona Almaghrabi, Fatimah Alhussain, Mohammed Al-Owairdhi



New drug with added value is eligible for a price premium over comparators in proportion to its additional value. Pharmaceuticals Pricing Rules published by Saudi Food and Drug Authority (SFDA) states that the committee has the right to give a product with added value (i.e. therapeutic, safety, manufacturing advantages) a 20% price premium with no specifications.

The aim of this study is to analyze SFDA price premium decisions and develop a multidimensional value framework for price premium of a drug over comparators using Multi-criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA).

Methods

An analysis of historical price premium decisions was made to determine criteria and magnitude of price premium given for a drug. Then, a literature search was conducted to determine value elements to incorporate in the price premium framework. MCDA approach was used to build the framework. Then, experts were consulted to determine magnitude of price premium, weight, and scoring system for each value element.

Findings

The analysis showed price premium decisions were made for drugs with therapeutic advantages (n=106); drugs with superior efficacy and\or superior dosage form, manufacturing advantages (n=97); drugs offering new packaging or dosage form, combined drugs, and\or addition of new substance, and\or marketing advantages (n=1); a brand product.

Before MCDA, value elements and premium magnitude was not specified; some products received 130% premium. Then, value elements considered for the price premium framework were therapeutic, safety, manufacturing, and novel value elements.

Using MCDA methodology, multi-dimensional sub-criteria and sub-sub-criteria were incorporated into the framework under each value element. Experts advised each value element should not exceed 20% as a price premium, and therapeutic advantages should hold the largest magnitude of price premium and the magnitude of each value element determined case-by-case (Tables).

Regulatory Implication

1. Efficacy/Effectiveness		
•Expected clinical benefit or actual clinical benefit compared with	Clinical benefit	superior benefit similar benefit
alternatives in the framework of clinical trials or real word settings.		curative or significant
		increase in survival
• A treatment intent may be curative and has a significant impact		stabilization of the
on survival. It could be to stabilize the diseases and prevent	Treatment	disease or
further deterioration or disabilities. It could improve quality of life. Or it may be intended only to be used in palliative or symptomatic care. •Real world evidence is complementary to clinical trials.		improvement in
		quality of life
		palliative or
		symptomatic
2. Health-related quality of life		Symptomatic
 Improvement in patient's HRQoL (physical, psychological, or socia 	l aspects)	Improved
due to the new treatment compared to no or minimal changes with alternatives		No or minimal
		improvement
3. Quality of evidence		Improvement
Credibility and robustness of evidence using assessment tools suc	eh ac	High
GRADE. •Other appropriate tools (such as CONSORT, STROBE, etc.) should be used		
		Moderate
		Weak
with specific study designs or considerations.		
1. Extended population or Age of targeted population		<u> </u>
•The approved indication is extended over the current SoC; vulnerable		Yes
population: pediatric or pregnant, liver impairment, renal impairme		
•Or the treatment target disease at the beginning of the disease.		No
		<i>L0</i> /
5. Serious AEs		6%
5. Jenous Als		No serious event
•Serious AEs caused by the new treatment that results in death, is life- threatening, requires hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity, or is a birth defect.		Low/Moderate
		frequency of serious
		event (>0-30%)
		,
		High frequency of
6. Severity		serious events (>30%)
O. Severity		Mild / Moderate
•Severity of AE is the degree of AE symptoms significance and to what extent it prevents normal daily activities.		
		Sever
		Life-threating/ Death
7. Discontinuation		
•The rates of any discontinuation due to drug-related adverse effects.		Low frequency (>0-
		20%)
		Moderate frequency
		(>20%-50%)
		High frequency (>50%)
Manufacturing Advantages		4%
8. Innovation (First in class)		
•First-in-class drugs use a unique mechanism of action and can be the first		Yes
drug receiving indication approval in cetin diseases.		No
9 Superior Decade form / Convenience		
9. Superior Dosage form / Convenience	inty of	\/
•Superior dosage forms in terms of acceptability, convenience, safety of administration and sometimes expected drug bioavailability etc.		Yes
		No
10. Quality Attributes		
•The quality attributes of a drug product may include identity, assay, content uniformity, degradation products, residual solvents, drug release or dissolution, moisture content, microbial limits, and physical attributes such as color, shape, size, odor, score configuration, and friability.		Yes
		No
		INO
11. Superior Formulation and Novel delivery system		
<u> </u>	1 a	Voo
•Superior formulations, technologies, and systems for transporting a pharmaceutical compound in the body as needed to safely achieve its		Yes
·		No
desired therapeutic effects.		

Name of the criterion and definition

Therapeutic advantages

1. Efficacy/Effectiveness

Levels

%10

Transparency on how the added value of product is converted into a premium price is important. Advancing the evaluation process and using different approaches such as MCDA enables inclusive value assessment. Price Premium enables the pharma investor empowerment for sustainability and allows companies to invest, grow and brining innovative products to Saudi Arabia market.

300000 Saudi_FDA | www.sfda.gov.sa