Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Upadacitinib in Patients with Active Non-Radiographic **Axial Spondyloarthritis in Greece**

Charalampos Tzanetakos¹, Ilias Kotsis², George Gourzoulidis¹

¹Health Through Evidence G.P., Athens, Greece; ²AbbVie Pharmaceuticals S.A., Athens, Greece

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the cost-effectiveness of upadacitinib in patients with active non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (nr-axSpA), who have responded inadequately to conventional treatment (NSAIDs), in Greece

CONCLUSIONS

METHODS

• A cost-effectiveness model was locally

Long-term treatment withdrawal and

• Drug acquisition, administration,

a network meta-analysis¹³

adapted from a public payer perspective¹²

Response to treatment was obtained from

changes in BASFI as well as utility values

monitoring, disease management, and

adverse events costs were considered^{19,20}

Upadacitinib, the first oral advanced therapy for the treatment of nr-axSpA, was estimated to be a cost-effective therapy in its new indication in Greece

Upadacitinib seems to have successfully expanded the therapeutic armamentarium for the management of active nr-axSpA offering decision makers, patients and clinicians a therapeutic option that is not only clinically effective but also economically efficient

This study was funded by AbbVie Pharmaceuticals S.A.. AbbVie participated in the conceptualization, review, and approval of the publication. AbbVie had no interference in the study design, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, the writing of this study or the decision to submit it for publication. All authors critically reviewed this publication for important intellectual content and gave their approval for this version to be published. Authors would like to thank AbbVie Pharmaceuticals S.A. for funding this study.

References: 1. Magrey, M., et al. Medicine (Baltimore), 2022. 101(15): p. e29063. 2. Deodhar A. Am J Manag Care. 2019;25:S319-S330. 3. Strand J.A. Singh. J Clin Rheumatol, 2017. 23(7): p. 383-391. 4. Mease, P.J., et al. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken), 2018. 70(11): p. 1661-1670.. 5. Tsifetaki, N., et al. J Rheumatol, 2015. 42(6): p. 963-7. 6. Deodhar, A., et al. BMC Rheumatol, 2020. 4: p. 19. 7. Navarro-Compán, V., et al. RMD Open, 2017. 3(2): p. e000524. 8. Spadaro, A., et al. Rheumatology (Oxford), 2013. 52(10): p. 1914-9. 9. Hunter, T., et al. Rheumatol Ther, 2019. 6(2): p. 207-215. 10. European Medicines Agency (EMA). EPAR-Product information 2023.; Available from: 11. Deodhar. A., et al. Lancet, 2022. 400(10349): p. 369-379. 12. NICE. Technology appraisal guidance [TA861]. 2023. 13. AbbVie Network Meta-Analysis. Data on File. 2022. 14. NICE. Technology appraisal guidance [TA407]. 2016. 15. Ramiro, S., et al. Ann Rheum Dis, 2015. 74(1):52-9. 16. Landewe, R., et al. Ann Rheum Dis, 2009. 68: p. 863-867. 17. Haroon, N., et al. Arthritis Rheum, 2013. 65: p. 2645-2654. 18. Baraliakos, X., et al. RMD Open, 2019. 5(2): p. e001005. 19. Greek Ministry of Health. Drug Price Bulletin & DRG cost list. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.gr. Accessed June 2023. 20. National Organisation for Healthcare Services Provision (EOPYY) official tariffs. 21. International Monetary Fund (IMF). World Economic Outlook Database. April 2023.

Ilias Kotsis is an employee of AbbVie Pharmaceuticals S.A.. All authors declare no other competing interests.

INTRODUCTION

- Axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) is a painful chronic inflammatory disease that primarily affects the spine and the joints linking the pelvis and lower spine (sacroiliac joints)¹. Nr-axSpA is defined clinically by the absence of definitive x-ray evidence of structural damage to the sacroiliac joints¹
- Significant clinical, humanistic and economic burden is associated with nr-axSpA²⁻⁵
- Despite the availability of several biologic therapies for the treatment of active nraxSpA, there is an unmet clinical need in terms of achieving and maintaining treatment goals (remission/inactive disease)⁶⁻⁹
- Upadacitinib represents the first oral advanced therapy (JAK inhibitor) in the therapeutic armamentarium against this inflammatory disease, with a wellestablished clinical profile^{10,11}

Induction phase decision tree







RESULTS

Base case results: primary and secondary comparisons

Lifetime	Lifetime Total	Upadacitinib versus Adalimumab (most utilized biological in Greece)		
Total costs	QALYs	Incremental costs	Incremental QALYs	Cost per QALY gained ^a
€ 141,065	10.690	-	-	-
€ 141,155	10.319	- €89	0.371	- € 241 (Dominant)
Lifetime	Lifetime Total	Upadacitinib versus other key comparators		
Total costs	QALYs	Incremental costs	Incremental QALYs	Cost per QALY gained ^a
€ 141,065	10.690	-	-	-
€ 133,681	10.087	€ 7,384	0.603	€ 12,253
€ 126,187	9.916	€ 14,879	0.774	€ 19,234
€ 162,457	10.449	-€21,391	0.241	Dominant
	Lifetime Total costs € 141,065 € 141,155 Lifetime Total costs € 141,065 € 133,681 € 126,187 € 162,457	Lifetime Total costsLifetime Total QALYs€ 141,06510.690€ 141,15510.319Lifetime Total costsLifetime Total QALYs€ 141,06510.690€ 141,06510.690€ 133,68110.087€ 126,1879.916€ 162,45710.449	Lifetime Total costsLifetime Total QALYsIncremental (most u costs€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,15510.319-€ 89€ 141,15510.319Incremental costsLifetime Total costsLifetime Total QALYsIncremental costs€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.690-€ 141,06510.087€ 7,384€ 126,1879.916€ 14,879€ 162,45710.449-€ 21,391	Lifetime Total costsLifetime Total QALYsIncremental (most utilized biological in costs

• Upadacitinib was found to be a dominant treatment versus adalimumab, the most utilized biological therapy

Abbreviations: ICER, Incremental cost- effectiveness ratio; QALY, Quality Adjusted Life Year.

for the treatment of nr-axSpA in Greece (usual care)

- Extra key comparator analyses (versus etanercept, secukinumab and ixekizumab) also corroborated the cost-effective profile of upadacitinib
- Varying individually several model parameters and assumptions to evaluate the key drivers and robustness of the base case findings, the results were found fairly insensitive (tornado diagram). Under all sensitivity and scenario analyses, upadacitinib preserved its costeffective profile generating ICERs below the defined willingness-to-pay threshold of €42,000 (tornado diagram)
- In the probabilistic sensitivity analysis, upadacitinib therapy was associated with 76% probability of being cost-effective compared to adalimumab at the defined threshold of €42,000 (cost-effectiveness acceptability curve)

Deterministic and probabilistic sensitivity analysis (Upadacitinib versus most utilized biological [Adalimumab] in Greece)





ISPOR ANNUAL EUROPEAN CONGRESS, 12 – 15 NOVEMBER 2023, COPENHAGEN, DENMARK Contact details: c.tzanetakos@hte.gr