

3-year Report Card: RWE Collection in Germany Wake M, Andrews R, Macaulay R; Global Pricing, Market Access and Analytics, PRECISIONadvisors

For further information, contact Mary. Wake @precisionvh.com or visit us on https://www.precisionadvisors.com

Introduction

In 2020, legislation was passed in Germany that allows the G-BA to request realworld evidence (RWE) be collected to support a future renewed benefit assessment.

This is particularly relevant for products that receive expedited EC approval (e.g., orphan medicines, conditional approvals, approval under exceptional circumstances, and advanced therapy medicinal products [ATMPs]), because evidence available for the benefit assessment at launch is immature or insufficient for payers to derive meaningful conclusions around value.



Methods

Publicly available G-BA decisions around application-related data collection were identified from <u>www.g-ba.de</u> and key information was extracted (June 2023).

										Q Seek	
	meinsamer ndesausschuss	About	the G-BA	subjects	Guidelines	decisio	ns Assessme	nt pr	ocedure	Studies	
☆ > Data coll	lection accompanying th	ne applicat	ion								
	ation volati	ما ما م	ta aslla					. .			
	ation-relate	ed da	ta colle	ection a	nd restric	ctions	on the au	tho	ority to		
provid	le care										
In the foll well as as the proce	active ingredient	\$	indicatio	n		¢	Start of the procedure	\$	status		
General in provide ca	Brexucabtagene autoleucel		Relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma			phoma	October 7, 2021		Data collection ongoing		
Detailed i	Brexucabtagene autoleucel		B cell pre	cursor acute	lymphoblastic l	eukemia	November 3rd, 2022		case closec	i	
	Etranacogene dezaparvovec		Hemophil	ia B			August 4, 2022		Application collection	n-accompanying d	lat

This research compares characteristics and outcomes of therapies assessed to date and summarises key learnings for future therapies.

Results

Table 1: Products that have begun the G-BA assessment procedure for application-accompanying data collection, as of June 2023.

Product	ATMP	Pivotal Trial Design	Outcome			
Onasemnogene abeparvovec		Single-arm	Data Collection Ongoing			
Risdiplam	×	Single-arm	Data Collection Required			
Brexucabtagene autoleucel (in MCL)		Single-arm	Data Collection Required			
Valoctocogene roxaparvovec		Single-arm	Data	a Collection Required		
Etranacogene dezaparvovec		Single-arm	Data Collection Required			
Fedratinib	×	Single-arm	Data Collection Required, but Not Carried Out*			
Brexucabtagene autoleucel (in ALL)		Single-arm	N/A			
Exagamglogene autotemcel		Single-arm	N/A			
		Assessment Ongoin	ng	Assessment Complete		

Chart 1: Comparators Requested

Several Named Products, 17% (n=1/6) Single Named Product, 50% Physician (n=3/6)Choice, 33% (n=2/6)

All six data requests specify that a non-randomised comparison with the current standard of care should be carried out; 67% of products require a specific comparator whilst the other 33% use patient-specific comparators physician's (e.g., choice).

Chart 2: Primary Registry Type Requested

Six products have completed the assessment of requirement for data collection, with two more currently being assessed. Data collection itself has begun for just one of the products – Zolgensma (onasemnogene) abeparvovec).

All eight products are EMA approved orphan medicines and six are ATMPs. All products launched with single-arm pivotal trials and so are



National, 83%

The G-BA named their preferred primary data source for each product and requested data to be collected in studya specific register. The majority were German national disease registers.

Chart 3: Duration of Follow-up Requested



The duration of requested data collection ranges from 24 to 60 months and, depending on study duration, two or three

interim analyses were requested.

Conclusions

Manufacturers with orphan medicines eligible for benefit assessment using single-arm pivotal studies are expected to be mandated for further data collection from the G-BA. This will likely include a request for non-randomised comparison to current SoC, with data collection likely via a national disease register and up to 5 years of monitoring required. This potential barrier also presents the opportunity to demonstrate additional benefits through post-launch RWE collection. Further research and monitoring is needed to establish if this data collection can be utilised to secure better HTA outcomes and enable price-renegotiation in Germany.

*The manufacturer of Inrebric (fedratinib) did not fulfil its obligation to create a statistical analysis plan and study protocol before carrying out the data collection during the application, therefore data collection will not be carried out.

Abbreviations: RWE: Real-world evidence; G-BA: Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss (Federal Joint Committee); ALL: Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; MCL: Mantle cell lymphoma; ATMP: Advanced therapeutic medicinal product; EC: European Commission; EMA: European Medicines Agency; SoC: Standard of care; HTA: Health technology assessment, N/A: Not applicable.

PRECISIONACVISORS