

PHARMACOECONOMIC RESEARCH AS AN AREA FOR DRUGS COMPARISON

HTA 280

Krysanov ¹ I., Tsaplina ¹ A., Ermakova ² V., Danshina V.I. ¹, Robertus A.I. ¹, Kurkin ¹ D., Makarova E. ³.

1 - A.I. Yevdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Moscow, Russia.

2 - Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia.

3 - Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain

OBJECTIVE

We performed analysis of the pharmacoeconomic studies published during 5-years period (2017-2022) in Russian databases, considering therapeutic areas and methods of pharmacoeconomic research.

METHODS

Informational search was performed in Russian databases (Cyberleninka, Elibrary and others). Articles dealing with pharmacoeconomic research were selected for this analysis. The following data was analysed : therapeutic areas of research; methods of research (Cost-effectiveness analysis – CEA, budget impact analysis – BIA, cost minimization analysis – CMA, cost-of-illness analysis COI), types of costs (direct medical costs, indirect medical costs or both); the status of studied drug (inclusion or non-inclusion in EDL – the list of vital and essential medicines acting in Russia).

RESULTS

In total 118 articles were selected. TOP-5 therapeutic areas of interests among all studies were: oncology, cardiology, infectious diseases, ophthalmology, and endocrinology. The most in demand methods of pharmacoeconomic studies were BIA, CEA, CMA, COI. CEA method was used in 56% cases and BIA was used in 26% cases, 15% of studies involved CMA and only 3% of works – COI. In 66% the study drug was already included in the “The list of vital and essential medicines”. Researchers always included direct medical costs in the clinical and economic analysis, at the same time, in 39% cases indirect medical costs were also included.

CONCLUSION

Evaluation of both direct and indirect medical costs gives more opportunities for effective clinical and economic comparison of medical agents, using BIA, CEA, CMA, COI. It is important to emphasize the necessity to provide health-economics outcomes for all new drugs submitted for the “The list of vital and essential medicines”.

