Modelling the Cost Effectiveness and budget impact of Uterine botulinum toxin injections versus (EE707) conventional treatment in severe dysmenorrhoea: A France Perspective

Jean Martial Kouame¹, Jason Robert Guertin², Éric Bautrant^{3,4}, Christine Levêque^{3,4}, Mélina Santos⁴, Jessica Delorme⁴, **Oona Franké^{3,4}, Christophe Amiel^{3,4}, Thierry Bensousan³, Dominique Thiers-Bautrant^{3,4}, Carole Siani⁵**

¹UMR 1252 SESSTIM (INSERM, IRD, Aix-Marseille Université), ISSPAM, Equipe CAN-BIOS, Faculté de Medecine, Aix-Marseille Université, ²Département de médecine sociale et préventive, Faculté de médecine, Université Laval, Québec, Canada, ³Pelvi-Perineal Surgery and Rehabilitation Department, Medical Center L'Avancée-Clinique Axium, ⁴Women's Health Research Center Medical Center L'Avancée-Clinique Axium, ⁵UMR 1252 SESSTIM (INSERM, IRD, Aix-Marseille Université), ISSPAM, Equipe CAN-BIOS, Faculté de Pharmacie, Aix-Marseille Université,

Background

Dysmenorrhea is all pelvic pain preceding or accompanying menstruation. Primary dysmenorrhea affects 60-91% of women, 16-29% of whom have a very severe form of dysmenorrhea¹. Several longitudinal studies of young dysmenorrheic women have found that absenteeism rates range from 34% to 50% and that 10% to 30% of all working and student dysmenorrheic women lose one to two working days per month². This represents an economic burden for the community³ and an annual loss of 600 million work hours, or approximately \$2 billion per year in the United States⁴. Faced with persistent pelvic pain, which considerably degrades the quality of life of patients. An innovative treatment, botulinum toxin (BoNT), is used in the Women's Health Research Center (CRSF) BoNT in addition to CT in the management of dysmenorrhea of uterine origin related to uterine hypercontractility⁵. Many studies have shown a significant improvement in pelvic pain and quality of life after receiving uterine injections of BoNT⁶. Beyond these promising results observed in patients with CT failure, this innovative treatment (BoNT) is costly, not reimbursed by the French Health Insurance (HI).

Results: Cost Utility

Treatment	Mean	Mean	ICER (cost/
	Cost (€)	(QALYs)	QALY gained
	1 Ye	ear (Base case)	
СТ	3055,20	1,23	
BoNT + CT	1895,65	2,03	
Incremental	-1159,55	0,80	-1651,50
	5 Ye	ears	
СТ	10978,86	4,45	
BoNT + CT	7068,04	7,76	
Incremental	-1159,55	0,80	-1486,63
	10 Y	ears	
СТ	18698,29	7,59	
BoNT + CT	12132,52	13,53	
Incremental	-6565.78	5.94	-1314.46

- Analyse at 1, 5 and 10 years show that the ICER for (BoNT + CT) below the efficiency threshold of €30,000/QALY
- (BoNT + CT) is associated with a reduction in pain and

the consumption of care

(BoNT + CT) is the most effective and economically dominant option whatever the Time Horizon

Study Objective

To assess the efficiency and budgetary impact of the combination of BoNT + CT (hormonal treatments + analgesics) compared with CT alone, in the severe dysmenorrhoea, from the French HI's perspective using a Markov model.

Methods

• We developed a Markov-type analytical decision model (fig 1) built and analysed with Microsoft Excel 2017, to assess efficiency of BoNT

• We defined four health states in the model to represent the evolution of the pathology. when: - VAS score < 30, pain is considered severe (SP); - VAS score between [30-70] pain is considered mild to moderate (MMP); -VAS score > 70 treatment is considered successful (S)- Death



Results: Sensitivity Analyse

Figure 1. Model structure



• Perspective: French HI; The duration of the cycle was set at 6 months Total time Horizon of this study is 10 years

• We estimated transition probabilities between the main health states, costs and QALYs for each health state in the two groups BoNT + CT and CT alone by the data from patients in the cohort.

• Patients accrue costs and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) at each passage in one health state until they die or the end of time horizon



95% of the model iterations favor the BoNT + CT strategy at a WTP of 3000 € /QALY

Results: Budget Impact



Net impact varied from €98,091,098 to 112419, difference of € 14,328,79 over the 5-year for the administration of a 200 U dose of BoNT

- The average costs and efficiencies of the two groups were used to calculate ICER (ratio of the difference in average costs and the difference in average QALYs between the groups)
- budgetary impact analysis results from the difference in expenditure between the different treatment scenarios (with and without BoNT), over a Time Horizon of five consecutive years
- Expenditure in each scenario was equal to the number of patients per year, multiplied by the average cost of each treatment
- Benefice Net was equal to the difference in expenditure per year between the two scenarios.



1 year	2 years	3 years	4 years	5 years	
	■ Without BT ■ W	/ith BT ■Net In	npact		

Conclusions

- We found that a BoNT +CT strategy is costeffective, is less expensive and more efficacy
- These results are explained by the fact that, in patients dysmenorrheic, BoNT can effectively reduce the pain and Improvement of QOL
- These results are consistent with our previous RWE study that used different data sources for capturing Improvement of QOL and reduction of health care consumption.

References

1.Ahangari, A., 2014. Prevalence of chronic pelvic pain among women: an updated review.Pain Physician 17, E141-147. 2 Ayorinde et al Chronic pelvic pain in women: an epidemiological perspective. Womens Health (Lond) 11, 851–864. 3. Mitchell et al. Painful sex (dyspareunia) in women: prevalence and associated factors in a British population probability survey. BJOG 124, 1689–1697

www.PosterPresentations.com