



A 2013-2019 Comparative Study of Patient Safety Culture at 16 Hospitals in Shimane, Japan

Endo S¹, Hirose M²

¹Shimane University Hospital, Izumo, Japan, ²Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine, Kobe, Japan

Objective: This study aims to examine the difference of patient safety culture between 2013 and 2019 at 16 hospitals in a rural prefecture of Japan.

Methods: Questionnaire surveys were conducted at 16 hospitals (average number of beds:238 (50 to 600); average number of staff:377 (49 to 1,700) in 2013 and 2019 with using a Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) sheet developed by the United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). It consists of 12 factors (42 items) based on four categories: Communication, Organizational activity and understanding, Education/learning and Number of reports.

Results:

■The numbers of valid respondents were 2977 (doctors: 215, nurses: 1638, clinical staff: 493, office workers: 364) in 2013 and 3451 (doctors: 235, nurses: 1797, clinical staff: 618, office workers:492) in 2019, respectively (**Table 1**)

■The average positive response rates (PRRs) of 12 dimensions in 2019 was 53.1 and increased by 0.3%, compared with that in 2013. (**Table 2**)

■Out of 12 dimensions, PRRs of 8 dimensions increased and three of them including D1: Communication openness, D8: Overall of perceptions for patient safety, and D10: Supervisor/manager expectations and actions promoting safety extremely changed. On the contrary, D3: Frequency of events reported, D4: Hands-off and transitions, and D12: Teamwork within units declined. (**Table 3**)

■The 12-dimension average PRR by occupation increased by 4.3% and PRRs for physicians and clinical staff increased by 2.4%, respectively. The PRRs for nurses and clerical staff were unchanged. (**Table 4**)

Table 1. Number and percentage of staff

profession	2013		2019	
	number	%	number	%
doctor	215	7.2%	235	6.8%
nurse	1,638	55.0%	1,797	52.1%
clinical staff	493	16.6%	618	17.9%
office worker	364	12.2%	492	14.3%
other	267	9.0%	309	9.0%
total	2,977	100.0%	3,451	100.0%

Table 2. Composite-level Average PRRs btw 2013 & 2019

Patient Safety Culture Composite	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference %
f1:Communication openness	44.6	46.0	1.4
f2:Feedback & communication about error	62.5	62.5	0.0
f3:Frequency of events reported	66.2	64.9	-1.3
f4:Handsoff & transitions	42.2	41.8	-0.5
f5:Management support for patient safety	47.6	47.9	0.3
f6:Nonpunitive response to error	49.8	50.7	1.0
f7:Organizational learning-continuous improvement	54.4	54.5	0.1
f8:Overall of perceptions for patient safety	46.2	47.9	1.7
f9:Staffing	30.1	30.5	0.4
f10:Supervisor/manager expectations & actions promoting safety	71.1	72.8	1.8
f11:Teamwork across units	44.8	45.4	0.5
f12:Teamwork within units	74.1	72.5	-1.6
average	52.8	53.1	0.3

Table 3. Dimensions increased & contrary Composite-level Average PRRs btw 2013 & 2019

Patient Safety Culture Composite	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference %
f1:Communication openness	44.6	46.0	1.4
f2:Feedback & communication about error	62.5	62.5	0.0
f3:Frequency of events reported	66.2	64.9	-1.3
f4:Handsoff & transitions	42.2	41.8	-0.5
f5:Management support for patient safety	47.6	47.9	0.3
f6:Nonpunitive response to error	49.8	50.7	1.0
f7:Organizational learning-continuous improvement	54.4	54.5	0.1
f8:Overall of perceptions for patient safety	46.2	47.9	1.7
f9:Staffing	30.1	30.5	0.4
f10:Supervisor/manager expectations & actions promoting safety	71.1	72.8	1.8
f11:Teamwork across units	44.8	45.4	0.5
f12:Teamwork within units	74.1	72.5	-1.6
average	52.8	53.1	0.3

Table 4. Composite-level Average PRRs by profession btw 2013 & 2019

Patient Safety Culture Composite	doctor			nurse			clinical staff			office worker		
	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference%	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference%	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference%	PRR% (2013)	PRR% (2019)	difference%
f1:Communication openness	53.4	55.7	2.2	44.7	46.6	1.8	42.5	44.5	2.0	44.6	45.7	1.1
f2:Feedback & communication about error	52.8	57.7	4.9	67.9	67.8	-0.1	56.7	61.2	4.5	53.1	50.3	-2.8
f3:Frequency of events reported	53.2	51.9	-1.3	70.8	69.2	-1.6	58.7	60.0	1.3	62.3	58.5	-3.8
f4:Handsoff & transitions	37.8	40.9	3.1	44.3	43.5	-0.9	35.8	37.4	1.5	40.6	42.3	1.7
f5:Management support for patient safety	43.6	50.5	6.9	47.7	46.5	-1.2	43.4	46.0	2.6	52.0	53.7	1.7
f6:Nonpunitive response to error	49.9	54.8	4.9	48.6	49.9	1.3	56.7	55.5	-1.2	49.4	50.2	0.9
f7:Organizational learning-continuous improvement	50.6	55.7	5.2	58.1	57.9	-0.2	48.2	52.7	4.5	46.0	44.6	-1.4
f8:Overall of perceptions for patient safety	41.9	46.7	4.8	44.9	45.9	1.0	46.5	50.8	4.3	52.4	52.2	-0.3
f9:Staffing	27.0	33.8	6.9	27.6	25.7	-1.9	37.3	40.2	2.9	33.1	35.7	2.6
f10:Supervisor/manager expectations & actions promoting	69.3	72.2	2.9	73.2	75.4	2.2	67.2	71.8	4.6	66.9	68.6	1.8
f11:Teamwork across units	49.8	57.2	7.4	45.3	44.9	-0.4	41.5	43.6	2.1	45.2	47.7	2.5
f12:Teamwork within units	73.4	77.1	3.6	77.5	75.3	-2.3	68.8	68.2	-0.6	70.6	68.1	-2.5
average	50.2	54.5	4.3	54.2	54.0	-0.2	50.3	52.7	2.4	51.4	51.5	0.1

Conclusions: Compared PRRs between 2013 and 2019, the average PRRs of dimensions related to 'Organization' increased, meanwhile the PRRs of dimensions related to 'Communication' decreased. Improving the working environment is therefore likely to create patient safety culture.