

Multivariate Associations between Health Outcomes and Health System Performance Indicators: An Integrated Factor Analysis with Canonical Correlations Karatas YE.<sup>1</sup> and Cinaroglu S.<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Department of Health Care Management, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey emre.karatas12@hacettepe.edu.tr
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Health Care Management, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey cinaroglus@hacettepe.edu.tr



# **OBJECTIVES**

>The health outcomes of countries are dependent upon more than one variable, which is produced by a complex structural framework (1).

>Health system performance indicators such as the number of health human resources, number of beds, and health services capacity and volume of health services used to play a decisive role in the health outcomes of any society (2).

>Therefore, this study is designed to explore interrelationships between health system performance indicators and health outcome indicators.

>In this study, multivariate statistical analysis techniques were used to determine the relationships between multidimensional health system performance indicators.

#### **Canonical Correlation Analysis Results**

>Based on the two-factor structure obtained in the independent variables sets, a canonical correlation analysis was performed to identify the interrelationships between two independent variable sets and health outcome indicators.

>The Shapiro-Wilks (SW) multivariate normality test indicates multivariate normality for the set of health outcome indicators (group of dependent variables) (W=0.97, p>0.05).

>In this study, Spearman correlation coefficients ( $r_s$ ) were used to explore similarities between study variables in three groups. Figure 1 presents a correlogram of independent variable groups. All correlations are under 0.80 and this figure shows the histograms and scatter plots between independent study variables, simultaneously.

# METHODS

>A combinative strategy of explanatory factor analysis and the canonical correlation coefficient is used to define linear structural relations between study variables.

>Province-based data set was obtained from the official statistics of the Ministry of Health (MoH) and Turkish Statistical Institute (TUIK) for 2019 (3,4).

> Life expectancy at birth, infant mortality rate, and crude death rate were accepted as health outcome indicators and all other variables are presented in Table 1.

 Table 1. Study Variables

1

Variable Group	Variable definition	Variable labels		
	Life expectancy at birth	life_exp		
lth ome itors	Infant mortality rate (‰)	inf_mortality		
Hea Outco Indica	Crude death rate (‰)	death_rate		
	Number of total physicians per			
	100.000 population	physician		
e	Number of total dentists			
an	per 100.000 population	dentist		
E	Number of total pharmacists per			
s.	100.000 population	pharmacist		
Pel	Number of total nurses and			
n ica	midwife per 100.000 population	nurse_and_midwife		
ndi	Number of hospital beds per			
Sys=	100.000 population	hospital_bed		
L L	Number of intensive care unit			
salt	beds per 100.000 population	int_care_bed		
He	Per capita dentist visits	dent_visits		
	Bed occupancy rate (%)	bed_occupancy		

	hospital_bed	int_care_bed	dent_visits	bed_occupancy	bed_turnover	physician	dentist	nurse_and_midwife	pharmacist
hospital_bed		*** 0.66	*** 0.41	0.088	-0.45	*** 0.66	*** 0.62	*** 0.73	*** 0.50
int_care_bed			0.16	*** 0.47	0.013	0.48	0.48	0.33	*** 0.41
dent_visits				0.13	-0.35	*** 0.52	*** 0.54	0.62	0.24
bed_occupancy					*** 0.40	0.17	0.18	-0.039	0.30
bed_turnover		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				-0.30	-0.25	-0.51	-0.037
physician							*** 0.78	*** 0.67	*** 0.57
dentist								*** 0.55	*** 0.68
nurse_and_midwife		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		*** 0.47
pharmacist									

\*\*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level, \*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

Fig. 1. Correlogram of independent variable groups

>Table 4 presents performance scores of canonical correlations and indicates the statistical significance of the two canonical correlation coefficients (p<0.001). The first canonical correlation obtained from a set of health human resources & health service capacity indicators and health outcome indicators indicates a strong interrelationship ( $r_{c1}$ =0.83). For the first and second sets of canonical roots, Figure 2A shows canonical score plots. There is a high statistical significance to the first set of roots regarding understanding the relationship between health human resources & capacity and health outcomes.

Bed turnover rate

bea\_turnover

### Methodology Steps

2

First descriptive statistics such as median, minimum, and maximum values and Spearman rank correlations were used to explore baseline characteristics of study variables.

 $(\mathbf{3})$ 

Secondly, Explanatory Factor Analysis (EFA) is performed to explore the latent structure of health system performance indicators (5).

Finally, a multivariate statistical analysis method called canonical correlation analysis (CCA) was used to examine the interrelationship between the indicators representing health outcomes and health system performance indicators (6).

#### RESULTS

> Baseline characteristics of health system performance indicators obtained from 81 provinces of Turkey are presented in Table 2. Minimum, maximum, and median values are presented due to the not-normal distribution of study variables.

> Varimax Rotated Principal Components Analysis is performed, and factors loadings are presented in Table 3.

>EFA results defined two variable sets which are named: (i) health human resources & health services capacity indicators, and (ii) health services utilization.

**Table 2.** Baseline characteristics of health

 system performance indicators

 Table 3. Explanatory factor analysis to define

**Table 4.** Health human resources and health servicescapacity & health outcome indicators canonicalcorrelation results

	Canonical Correlation	R-Squared	DF	Probability	Wilk's Lambda (λ)
1	0.83	0.69	28	0.000	0.12
2	0.69	0.48	18	0.000	0.39



Fig. 2A. Canonical Scores Plots

**MSR116** 

>Table 5 presents performance scores of canonical correlations and indicates the statistical significance of the two canonical correlation coefficients (p<0.001). The first canonical correlation obtained from a set of health services utilization indicators and health outcome indicators indicates a moderate interrelationship ( $r_{c1}$ =0.59). For the first and second sets of canonical roots, Figure 2B shows canonical score plots. There is a high statistical significance to the first set of roots regarding understanding the relationship between healthcare utilization and health outcomes.

**Table 5.** Health services utilization & healthoutcome indicators canonical correlation results

	<b>Canonical</b> <b>Correlation</b>	<b>R-Squared</b>	DF	Probability	Wilk's Lambda (٨)
1	0.59	0.34	8	0.000	0.54
2	0.41	0.19	3	0.003	0.83



Fig. 2B. Canonical Scores Plots



>In this study, we reveal the relationship between health outcomes and health system performance indicators. EFA showed that health systems performance indicators are in two groups: (i) health human resources & health services capacity and (ii) healthcare utilization. There exist strong positive correlations between health outcomes and health human resources & health cutcomes capacity indicators (r = 0.83) and

system performance i	nuicators	variable sets in independent variables				
Variable	Median (min; max)	Factors and items	Ranking of	Factor loadings		
life_exp	78.1 (76.1; 80,7)		factor loadings			
inf_mortality	8.5 (3; 16.2)	Health human resources & health services capacity				
death_rate	6.1 (2.4; 9.9)					
	156 93 (104 25:310 78)	hospital_bed	1	0.877		
physician	100.00 (104.20,010.70)	nurse_and_midwife	2	0.877		
dentist	30.53 (10.44;58.21)	physician	3	0.865		
	38.23 (17.44:54.86)	dentist	4	0.842		
pharmacist		dent_visits	5	0.698		
	318.18 (173.33;500.55)	pharmacist	6	0.657		
nurse_and_midwife		int_care_bed	7	0.596		
	264 (119;506)	Health s	ion			
hospital_bed		(Cronbach's alpha=0.63)				
	40 (13;97)	bed_occupancy	1	0.881		
int_care_bed		_				
dent_visits	0.69 (0.39; 1.39)	bed_turnover	2	0.772		
bed_occupancy	66.2 (42.3; 88.7)	<ul> <li>Kaiser Mayer Olkin (0.70)</li> <li>Bartlett's test of sphericity (X<sup>2</sup>=491.833, p&lt;0.01)</li> </ul>				
bed_turnover	57.9 (35.9; 97.5)					

between health outcomes and health human resources & health services capacity indicators ( $r_c$ = 0.83) and health services utilization indicators ( $r_c$ =0.59), respectively.

>Multivariate statistical methods give robust and reliable results in determining the interrelationship between health system performance variables and health outcomes. Consequently, multivariate statistical techniques will be able to provide comprehensive information about health-related traits.

> Empirical findings, especially presented using indicators of a developing country, will lead the policies that they will create in a broad perspective for the states to raise the health system performance indicators with which health outcomes are interrelated with health system performance indicators. Moreover, we believe that this study will guide policymakers in addition to providing suggestions for future studies.



- 1. Nguyen MP, Mirzoev T, Le TM. Contribution of health workforce to health outcomes: empirical evidence from Vietnam. *Hum Resour Health*. 2016; 14: 11.
- 2. Jebeli SSH, Hadian M, Souresrafil A. Study of health resource and health outcomes: Organization of economic corporation and development panel data analysis. *J Educ Health Promot*. 2019;8(1):5.

3. Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health (MoH). https://sbsgm.saglik.gov.tr/Eklenti/40566/0/health-statistics-yearbook-2019pdf.pdf

- 4. Turkish Statistical Institute (TurkStat). https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=saglik-ve-sosyal-koruma-101&dil=2
- 5. Ferrando PJ, Hernandez-Dorado A, Lorenzo-Seva U. Detecting Correlated Residuals in Exploratory Factor Analysis: New Proposals and a Comparison of Procedures. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 2022;29(4):630-8.

6. Makino N. Rotation in Correspondence Analysis from the Canonical Correlation Perspective. Psychometrika. 2022;87(3):1045-

63.