

Cost-minimisation analysis of oral semaglutide versus dulaglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes requiring treatment with a GLP-1 receptor agonist

Garcia Uranga Romano, J¹; Jung, S²; Dahaoui, A²

¹Novo Nordisk Region Asia Pacific, Dubai, United Arab Emirates; ²Novo Nordisk Pharma Korea Ltd., Seoul, Korea

Introduction

- About 13.8% of adults aged >30 years have been diagnosed with diabetes in South Korea [1].
- Treatment of diabetes aims to prevent or delay complications and improve quality of life [2].
- Despite clear guidelines and many available management options, approximately 71.7% of patients in South Korea fail to achieve target levels (<6.5%) of glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) [1].
- Among patients with diabetes, there is also a high prevalence of overweight and obesity, which are risk factors for cardiovascular disease [1, 2].
- Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (RAs) are a class of drugs used in the treatment of type-2 diabetes (T2DM) in adults requiring treatment intensification following insufficient HbA_{1c} control on either metformin and sulfonylurea, or insulin alone or in combination with metformin. GLP-1 RAs offer an effective treatment option not only for the control of glucose levels, but also to support weight loss [3].
- Despite these benefits, GLP-1 RAs are underused because of their unappealing formulation (subcutaneous injection).
- Dulaglutide is currently the only GLP-1 RA reimbursed by Korea's healthcare system.
- An oral formulation of the GLP-1 RA semaglutide has recently become available.
- Clinical studies indicate that oral semaglutide and the injectable GLP-1 RA dulaglutide are equally efficacious and have comparable safety profiles in the management of T2DM [4].
- We evaluated the annual cost of treatment with oral semaglutide compared with dulaglutide in patients with diabetes requiring a GLP-1 receptor agonist in South Korea.

Methods

- A cost-minimisation analysis was performed from the perspective of South Korea's public healthcare system and in accordance with the South Korean Health Insurance & Review Assessment Survey (HIRA) guidelines for pharmacoeconomic analyses.
- A time frame of 1 year was considered.
- Based on local reimbursement criteria, analyses were performed on two target populations:
 - Oral combination group: patients whose diabetes was inadequately controlled on metformin and sulfonylurea
 - Insulin combination group: patients whose diabetes was inadequately controlled on insulin, with or without metformin
- Cost items were identified by reviewing treatment guidelines, clinical trials, and published economic evaluations.
- Costs were calculated using health-insurance-price-related data for Rybelsus® (oral semaglutide) and Trulicity® (dulaglutide), statistical data, and related literature.
- Both administration and dispensing and outpatient consultation fees for oral semaglutide were calculated based on the pattern of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors (DPP4-I), a class of self-administered, oral drugs also used in the treatment of T2DM.
- The analysis included only direct healthcare costs, e.g., outpatient consultation and examination fees, medication costs, and dispensing fees.

Results

- The unit costs for oral semaglutide and injectable dulaglutide are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Table 1: Unit costs for oral semaglutide

Dosage	Price (KRW)	Initiation, 30 days (KRW)	Titration, 30 days (KRW)	Yearly drug cost (KRW)	Patient distribution (%)
3 mg	1,664	49,909	-		
7 mg	3,660	-	109,800	1,276,009	78
14 mg	5,940	-	-	1,971,464	22
Weighted yearly cost per patient				1,429,201	

Table 2: Unit costs for dulaglutide

Dosage	Price (KRW)	Initiation, 4 weeks (KRW)	Titration	Yearly drug cost (KRW)	Patient distribution (%)
0.75 mg	19,796	-	-	1,032,220	33
1.5 mg	32,129	79,184	-	1,616,786	67
Weighted yearly cost per patient				1,424,312	

- Annual total costs, i.e. medication costs and direct healthcare costs for the oral and insulin combination groups, respectively, are shown in Table 3 and Table 4.
- In the oral combination group, oral semaglutide resulted in a weighted average saving of 3,089 KRW per patient compared with dulaglutide.

Table 3: Yearly total weighted average cost per-patient for the oral combination group

Drug	Outpatient consultation fee	Administration & dispensing fee	Examination fee	Medication cost	Concomitant medication cost	Total cost
Oral semaglutide	105,144	119,412	172,174	1,429,201	102,769	1,928,701
Dulaglutide	114,200	118,991	171,518	1,424,312	102,769	1,931,790
Oral semaglutide vs dulaglutide						-3,089

- In the insulin combination group, the expected total weighted average per-patient annual costs in the semaglutide arm were 3,089 KRW lower compared with those in the dulaglutide arm.

Table 4: Yearly total weighted average cost per-patient for the insulin combination group

Drug	Outpatient consultation fee	Administration & dispensing fee	Examination fee	Medication cost	Concomitant medication cost	Total cost
Oral semaglutide	105,144	119,412	172,174	1,429,201	549,862	2,375,793
Dulaglutide	114,200	118,991	171,518	1,424,312	549,862	2,378,882
Oral semaglutide vs dulaglutide						-3,089

Discussion

- The savings in yearly total costs of oral semaglutide compared with dulaglutide are primarily due to lower outpatient consultation fees (Table 3 and Table 4). Based on the pattern for orally administered DPP4-I, a lower rate of consultations from tertiary hospitals was estimated for oral semaglutide (24.6%) compared with dulaglutide (58.9%), leading to a reduction of 9,056 KRW in the yearly average cost of outpatient consultation fees in the oral semaglutide arm.

Conclusion

- Cost-minimisation analysis found that oral semaglutide leads to a small per-patient saving compared with injectable dulaglutide in patients with T2DM in South Korea, regardless of baseline therapy.
- In clinical practice, oral semaglutide is therefore unlikely to be more expensive than dulaglutide in patients with T2DM in South Korea.