# Economic And Humanistic Burden Of Visual Impairment And Blindness In Brazil: A Grey Literature Review

# Forestiero F<sup>1</sup>, O'Brien P<sup>2</sup>, Lavelle P<sup>3</sup>, Perry RM<sup>3</sup>, Banhazi J<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Novartis Biociencias SA, São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Novartis, Ireland Ltd., Dublin, Ireland; <sup>3</sup>Adelphi Values PROVE, Bollington, UK; <sup>4</sup>Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland

# Introduction

- Inherited retinal dystrophies (IRDs) are a group of diseases that lead to visual impairment (VI) or blindness<sup>1</sup>.
- While studies on socio-economic costs of VI or blindness exist, the specific humanistic and economic burden resulting from IRDs has been largely overlooked.
- A grey literature review was conducted to understand and evaluate the humanistic and economic burden associated with VI from IRDs in Brazil that was not captured in peer-reviewed literature.

#### Table 2. Number of people with VI per region in Brazil

People with VI by region	<b>Total (2010)</b>	Population, %
North	574,823	3.6
Northeast	2,192,455	4.1
Southeast	2,508,587	3.1
South	866,086	3.2
Midwest	443,357	3.2
Total	6,585,308	3.4



• The true extent of this burden is not fully quantified, due to the lack of available data from the private health insurance sector.

# Methods

- A review of grey literature published between 2014 and 2019 was conducted by using a series of targeted keywords (IRD, patient, low vision, direct cost, productivity loss, etc.). The relevant data sources were conference abstracts, government publications, dissertations/theses, guidelines, policies and procedures.
- Where available, reference lists and cited articles within publications were also reviewed to identify further sources of interest.
- Since abstracts were often unavailable in grey literature documents, items' title, executive summary, or table of contents (whichever was available) were screened according to predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria by native language reviewers **Table 1**.
- Relevant articles were selected, and the quality of each article was assessed using an evidence-grading system.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Patients or caregiver of a patient with a clinical diagnosis of RP, LCA or other IRD, irrespective of any genetic mutation.	Patients (or caregivers) with diseases other than those described in the inclusion criteria
Economic burden of illness to affected patient OR caregiver including direct costs, indirect costs and intangible costs.	Outcomes other than those described in the inclusion criteria
Humanistic burden of disease to affected patient OR caregiver including the impact on quality of life, the impact on activities of daily living, treatment satisfaction or adherence.	
Published 2014-2019	Published prior to 2014
Brazil and Portuguese or English	Country and languages other than those described in the inclusion criteria
	<ul> <li>Patients or caregiver of a patient with a clinical diagnosis of RP, LCA or other IRD, irrespective of any genetic mutation.</li> <li>Economic burden of illness to affected patient OR caregiver including direct costs, indirect costs and intangible costs.</li> <li>Humanistic burden of disease to affected patient OR caregiver including the impact on quality of life, the impact on activities of daily living, treatment satisfaction or adherence.</li> <li>Published 2014-2019</li> </ul>

# **Results**

#### Healthcare system and VI burden in Brazil

 Despite Brazil's public healthcare service, the Sistema Único de Saude (SUS) being free at the point of use, approximately 22% of residents pay for private health insurance<sup>2-3</sup> Figure 1. VI, visual impairment

#### Table 3. Annual medical resource and assistive device costs

Resource	Cost (BRL, R\$)	Cost (USD, \$)*
Devices	204	47
Visual rehabilitation / occupational therapy	13	3
Outpatient care	48	11
Ophthalmological consultations	10	2
Hospital care	2,786	642

\*Conversion rate of 1 BRL = 0.23043 USD, 2018; BRL, Brazilian Real; USD, United States Dollar; *References:* 10.

#### Table 4. Annual non-medical resource use by age group

Resource	Cost (BRL, R\$)	Cost (USD, \$)*
Loss of caregiver productivity	680	206
Social security	11,976	3620
Lost productivity	31,834	9623

\*Conversion rate of 1 BRL = 0.23043 USD, 2018. BRL, Brazilian Real; USD, United States Dollar; *References:* 10



- The Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) reported that more than 6.5 million people had VI regardless of etiology in 2010<sup>4</sup>.
- Approximately, 3.4% of the local population per region had some form of VI, with the highest percentage in the north and north-eastern regions<sup>4</sup> **Table 2**.
- Approximately, 55% of the population had not undergone genetic testing regarding their IRDs<sup>5</sup>.
- The Brazilian government has several national policies to protect the rights of those with disabilities, including VI<sup>6-9</sup>.

### **Economic burden of IRDs**

- The Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do Sistema de Saude (SIH-SUS) hospital admissions database between 2014 and 2018, showed that the average cost for IRDs per adult patient was R\$ 2,785.73 per year<sup>10</sup> Table 3.
- According to the SIH-SUS medical procedures to manage adverse events or comorbidities (eye inflammation, high intraocular pressure or cataracts), was calculated to cost R\$ 201 per patient<sup>10</sup>.
- However, the burden of VI and blindness to private health insurers and patient out-of-pocket expenditures was not documented in grey literature.
- The requirement for caregiver help increases with decreasing visual acuity, ranging between 18.4-94.1 hours per week<sup>11</sup>.
- Indirect costs due to loss of productivity in adults with VI was estimated at R\$ 31,833.50 for 2017<sup>10</sup>
   Table 4.
- Among the adult population (aged 18 to 65 years), social security (i.e., disability retirement) was estimated to be R\$ 11,976 per annum and loss of caregiver productivity was R\$ 680 per annum<sup>10</sup> Table 4.
- The indirect economic impact on patients and caregivers with RP, LCA or other IRDs, including cessation of work or reduction of productivity caused by morbidity and mortality, was not documented in the grey literature.

### Humanistic burden of IRDs

 The humanistic burden of IRDs has been described in a series of case reports, demonstrating the wide-reaching impact on patient's health-related quality of life (HRQoL), self-esteem and ability to find employment Figure 2. \*Methodology: Patient sentiments were gathered from online media reports. Collecting patient perspectives in this way provides powerful insight into the patient voice; however, insights must be interpreted with caution as they represent a singular view at a cross-section in time.

RP, retinitis pigmentosa; VI, visual impairment. References: 13-14

Figure 2. Patient perspectives on VI\*

### **Study limitations**

- This grey literature review unveils important insights into the burden of VI, although must be interpreted with caution as a singular view is presented at a cross-section in time.
- Due to the lack of available data from the private health insurance sector, it must be acknowledged there is uncertainty surrounding the results and analytical insights obtained, therefore must be interpreted with caution.

# Conclusions

- Approximately 3.4% of the Brazilian population have some form of VI.
- The humanistic burden of RP, LCA and other IRDs was described in a series of case reports demonstrating the wide-reaching impact on patient's quality of life, self-esteem and ability to find employment.
- However, due to the decentralized healthcare system in Brazil, the burden of VI and blindness to private health insurers was not quantified due to limited availability of data in grey literature.
- Few sources were identified describing the economic or humanistic burden explicitly associated with RP, LCA and other IRDs, therefore additional research focusing on these disease areas is warranted.
- Further research is needed to have a holistic view of burden from the health system and societal perspective.

## **Abbreviations**

ANS, Agencia Nacional de Saude Suplementar; BRL, Brazilian Real; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IRDs, inherited retinal dystrophies; LCA, leber congenital amaurosis; PROs, patient-reported outcomes; RP, retinitis pigmentosa; SUS, Sistema Único de Saude; VI, visual impairment; USD, United States Dollar

# References

- Sahel JA, Marazova K, Audo I. Clinical characteristics and current therapies for inherited retinal degenerations. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2014;5(2):a017111.
- One study highlighted the lack of widely accepted and validated patient-reported outcomes (PROs) for measuring the impact of IRDs on HRQoL in Brazil<sup>12</sup>.

# In Brazil, there is a national universal healthcare system provided by the government however, this service is reported to be underfunded



- The Sistema Único de Saude (SUS) is Brazil's publicly funded Unified Health System.
- The SUS is highly decentralized with involvement from federal, state and municipal agencies.
- Free at the point of use, but constantly underfinanced, with regional inequalities in resource allocation.



had private healthcare insurance.
 Private sector healthcare provision is regulated by the National Supplementary Health Agency (Agencia Nacional de Saude Suplementar [ANS]), a regulatory agency linked to the Ministry of Health.

• Private sector healthcare insurance covers approximately 22% of people in Brazil. In 2017, 47.3 million people

Patient

• The public SUS is free at the point of use, however large regional disparities exist in access to the healthcare system and health outcomes, poorer regions and lower socioeconomic groups are disadvantaged the most..

ANS, Agencia Nacional de Saude Suplementar; SUS, Sistema Único de Saude. *References: 2-3* 

Figure 1. Brazil's healthcare system and its key stakeholders

- 2. Viacava F, et.al. SUS: oferta, acesso e utilisação de serviços de saúde nos últimos 30 anos. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva. 2018;23:1751-1762.
- 3. Massuda A et.al. The Brazilian health system at crossroads: progress, crisis and resilience. BMJ Global Health. 2018;3(4):e000829.
- 4. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e estatistica. Population Census https://www.ibge.gov. 2010.
- 5. Motta FL, et.al. Relative frequency of inherited retinal dystrophies in Brazil. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1):15939.
- 6. Bruno MMG. Educação & Realidade. 2019;44.
- 7. MEC/SEESP. http://portal.mec.gov.br/seesp/arquivos/pdf/politica.pdf. 2007.
- 8. Gasparetto MERF. Education of Children with Visual Impairment in Brazil.
- 9. Advogados V. https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=3c7d73f3-49e7-4e36-8cd3-bfabdcd69428. 2019.
- 10. Novartis Brazil. Levantamento de Custos Datasus. 2018.
- 11. Schmier JK, et.al. Impact of visual impairment on use of caregiving by individuals with age-related macular degeneration. Retina. 2006;26(9): 1056-1062.
- 12. US NLM. A first-in-human, proof of concept study of CPK850 in patients with RLBP1 Retinitis Pigmentosa. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03374657. 2019.
- 13. Minolta K. www.radiologytoday.net/news/enews\_0816\_1.shtml. 2019.
- 14. Memorialdainclusao. www.memorialdainclusao.org. 2019.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge Vinay Preet Kaur, Novartis Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad for her support in developing the content of this poster. This study was sponsored by Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland. Poster presented at ISPOR Europe congress, Vienna, Austria, 6–9 November 2022. **Copyright © 2022 Novartis Pharma AG. All rights reserved.** 

#### Visit the web for the abstract at:

#### https://www.ispor.org/heor-resources/presentations-database/presentation/euro2022-3567/120808

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors

Presenter email address: paul-2.obrien@novartis.com