



# **Delphi consultation on medication Real-time** adherence technologies: consistency of views among different stakeholder groups

Urska Nabergoj Makovec, Catherine Goetzinger, Janette Ribaut, Pilar Barnestein-Fonseca, Frederik Haupenthal, Maria Teresa Herdeiro, Sean Patrick Grant, Cristina Jácome, Fátima Roque, Dins Smits, Ivana Tadic, Alexandra Lelia Dima

European Network to Advance Best Practices and Technology on Medication AdherencE (ENABLE), E-mail: urska.nabergoj.makovec@ffa.uni-lj.si, Web: https://enableadherence.eu/

### ISPOR Europe 2022, 6-9 November 2022, Vienna, Austria

## **OBJECTIVE**

The ENABLE COST Action (CA19132) aims to develop an online repository of medication adherence technologies (MATech). We explored stakeholders' level of agreement on the relevance and clarity of the proposed MATech definition and repository structure. We examined the consistency among their views.

### **METHODS**

Stakeholders were invited to respond to an online real-time Delphi **survey** to rate to what extent they perceived definitions and attribute groups are relevant and clear for describing medication adherence technologies in an online repository.

#### MATech definition



MATech are **devices**, procedures or systems developed based on evidence to support patients to take their medications as agreed with the healthcare **providers** (to initiate, implement and persist with the medication regimen).

#### 21 attribute groups + definitions, structured in 3 domains

**D1. Product and provider information D2.** Medication adherence descriptors **D3.** Evaluation and implementation



**39** ENABLE countries, **250** stakeholders **5** stakeholder groups research, practice, policy, patients, eHealth

#### We analysed responses quantitatively to examine:



**RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method** Disagreement index (DI) = IPR/IPRAS; **DI > 1** disagreement exists

**Median = 7-9 + no disagreement**  $\rightarrow$  relevant, mandatory & clear **Median = 4-6 or disagreement**  $\rightarrow$  optional & considering changes **Median = 1-3 + no disagreement**  $\rightarrow$  not relevant, potential exclusion & rephrasing



**Consistency** of results among stakeholder groups

**Intraclass correlation coefficient** (ICC)

#### FINDINGS

#### **Ratings for MATech DEFINITION per stakeholder group**

		RE	RELEVANCE		CLARITY	
RESPONSE RATE		median	30th-70th percentile	median	30th-70th percen	
N <sub>definition</sub> = 117 (46.8%) N <sub>full survey</sub> = <b>83 (33.2%)</b>	RESEARCHERS	7.05	6.10-7.77	7.48	6.91-8.01	
	НСР	7.01	6.11-7.45	7.38	6.51-7.86	
2.5%)	PATIENT REPRESENTATIVES	6.74	5.99-7.18	7.09	6.63-7.74	
34 (41%) participants identified with >1 stakeholder group	POLICY	6.86	6.19-7.40	7.54	7.14-7.97	
	eHEALTH	6.74	6.01-7.41	7.59	7.01-8.01	



#### Median ratings with dispersion for relevance and clarity presented for every attribute group



#### CONCLUSION

Groups rated relevance and clarity for MATech definition and attribute groups as highly relevant, with few exceptions. The high consistency across groups indicates a solid common ground for the development of the MATech repository. Free text comments are currently under analysis for improving the clarity of some attribute labels and definitions.

