## Focuses on the Prevalence and Impact of Metabolic Syndrome on the Outcome of Patients With Acute Coronary Syndrome in Iraq and Hungary

Keczeli V<sup>1</sup>, Máté O<sup>1</sup>, Jeges S<sup>1</sup>, Ahmann M<sup>1</sup>, Al-Sadoon AOI<sup>1</sup>, Gubicskóné Kisbenedek A<sup>1</sup>,

<u>Boncz I<sup>1</sup></u>, Verzár Z<sup>1</sup>

1. University of Pécs Faculty of Health Sciences, Pécs, Hungary

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The aim is to ascertain the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) in patients from Hungary and Iraq, suffering from acut coronary syndrome (ACS) and investigate the effects of MetS on hospital outcomes, in particular mortality and differences in patients' baseline characteristics.

#### **METHODS**

A prospective cohort study was conducted in two cardiac centers between 2018. 05-2019. 05. it included 164 consecutive ACS patients; 64 patients from the Heart Institute, Medical School, University of Pécs and 100 patients from Al Nassiryah Heart Center Iraq. Baseline characteristics, clinical management and in-hospital and 30 days post discharge outcomes were recorded.

#### RESULTS

Prevalence of metabolic syndrome **ACS** patients was among not significantly higher to Hungary (25.0 % vs 34.1 %; P=0.306). There were no significant differences in age between those with and without MetS (64.2 vs 63.3 years; P=0.394). MetS associated with a higher median BMI (28.0 vs 23.7 kg/m2; P<0.001),hyperlipidemia (37.8% vs 12.8%; **P<0.001), hypertension (48.8% vs** 27.4%; P=0.024), high cholesterol (5.4) vs 4.1 mmol/L; P < 0.001), high LDL-C (3.5 vs 2.6 mmol/L; P<0.001), and high triglycerides (1.4 vs 1.1 mmol/L; P < 0.001). The MetS was associated with higher risk of out hospital re-infarction (12.8% vs 3.7%; P=0.031) and MACE (17.7% and 6.1%; P=0.027).

#### CONCLUSIONS

Current study did not show any significant difference in the incidence of MetS between ACS patients in the two countries. But patients with MetS were significantly more likely to be associated with MACE (P=0.027).

Variables		All patients	Metabolic syndrome	Without metabolic syndrome	P value			
		(n=164, (n= 97, 100%) 59.1%)		(n= 67, 40.9%)				
Nationality, n (%)								
Hungary		64 (39.0%)	41 (25.0%)	23 (14.0)	0.206			
Iraq		100 (61.0%)	56 (34.1%)	44 (26.8%)	0.306			
Age, mean years	+ SD,	63.8+11. 9	64.2 +11.5	63.3+ 12.6	0.394			
Male gender, n (%)		111 (67.7%)	60 (36.6%)	51 (31.1%)	0.055			
Family history of CAD, n (%)		30 (18.3%)	22 (13.4%)	8 (4.9%)	0.080			
BMI, median (IQR), kg/m²		26.8 (23.0- 31.9)	28.0 (25.8- 32.3)	23.7 (22.0- 29.0)	0.000			
Current smoking,n(%)		48 (29.3%)	21 (12.8%)	27 (16.5%)	0.010			
Hypertension,n( %)		125 (76.2%)	80 (48.8%)	45 (27.4%)	0.024			
Diabetes mellitus,n(%)		71 (43.3%)	48 (29.3%)	23 (14.0%)	0.054			
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)		83 (50.6%)	62 (37.8%)	21 (12.8%)	0.000			
Prior MI, n	ı (%)	87 (53.0%)	56 (34.1%)	31 (18.9%)	0.148			
Prior PCI,	n (%)	82 (50.0%)	54 (32.9%)	28 (17.1%)	0.081			
Prior CABG, n (%)		15 (9.1%)	10 (6.1%)	5 (3.0%)	0.534			
Renal failu (%)	re, n	12 (7.3%)	7 (4.3%)	5 (3.0%)	0.953			
Ejection Fraction ≤ n (%)	30%,	7 (4.3%)	5 (3.0%)	2 (1.2%)	0.499			

# Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the acute coronary syndrome cohort stratified by metabolic syndrome

In Hospital outcomes	Metabolic syndrome (n=97)	Without metabolic syndrome (n= 67)	P value 0.499	
Death	5 (3.0%)	2 (1.2%)		
Re- infraction	8 (4.9%)	5 (3.0%)	0.855	
Cardiogenic shock	7 (4.3%)	3 (1.8%)	0.471	
Stroke	2 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0.237	
MACE (death, re- infraction and stroke)	13 (7.9%)	5 (3.0%)	0.232	
30 Days post- discharge outcomes	Metabolic syndrome	Without metabolic syndrome	P value	
Death	7 (4.3%)	4 (2.4%)	0.754	
Re- infraction	21 (12.8%)	6 (3.7%)	0.031	
Cardiogenic shock	8 (4.9%)	4 (2.4%)	0.582	
Stroke	5 (3.1%)	1 (0.6%)	0.215	
MACE (death, re- infraction and stroke)		10 (6.1%)	0.027	
Note: Data aı	e expressed as	s n (%) percentages and	frequencies.	

Abbreviations: MACE= major adverse cardiovascular events

#### Table 2.

In-hospital and 30 days post-discharge outcomes of the acute coronary syndrome cohort stratified by metabolic syndrome

Type of Metabolic Syndrome Abnormalities, F (%)							
Abdominal obesity	Hypertriglyceridemia	Low HDL-C	High Blood Pressure	High Fasting Glucose 73 (75.3%)			
61 (62.9%)	30 (30.9%)	57 (58.8%)	81 (83.5%)				
34 (35.1%)	12 (12.4%)	27 (27.8%)	38 (39.2%)	29 (29.9%)			
27 (27.8%)	18 (18.6%)	30 (30.0%)	43 (44.3%)	44 (45.4%)			
38 (39.2%)	23 (23.7%)	25 (25.8%)	50 (51.5%)	28 (28.9%)			
23 (23.7%)	7 (7.2%)	32 (33.0%)	31 (32.0%)	45 (46.4%)			
	Abdominal obesity 61 (62.9%) 34 (35.1%) 27 (27.8%) 38 (39.2%)	Abdominal obesity       Hypertriglyceridemia         61 (62.9%)       30 (30.9%)         34 (35.1%)       12 (12.4%)         27 (27.8%)       18 (18.6%)         38 (39.2%)       23 (23.7%)	Abdominal obesity         Hypertriglyceridemia         Low HDL-C           61 (62.9%)         30 (30.9%)         57 (58.8%)           34 (35.1%)         12 (12.4%)         27 (27.8%)           27 (27.8%)         18 (18.6%)         30 (30.0%)           38 (39.2%)         23 (23.7%)         25 (25.8%)	Abdominal obesity         Hypertriglyceridemia         Low HDL-C         High Blood Pressure           61 (62.9%)         30 (30.9%)         57 (58.8%)         81 (83.5%)           34 (35.1%)         12 (12.4%)         27 (27.8%)         38 (39.2%)           27 (27.8%)         18 (18.6%)         30 (30.0%)         43 (44.3%)           38 (39.2%)         23 (23.7%)         25 (25.8%)         50 (51.5%)			

Note: The 5 Metabolic Syndrome abnormalities include increased BMI (higher 25 kg/m²), high triglyceride levels (of >150 mg/dL [1.7 mmol/L] or drug treatment), low HDL-cholesterol levels (of <40 mg/dL (1.0 mmol/L) for men and <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in women or drug treatment), blood pressure (of >130 mm Hg for systolic and/or >85 mm Hg for diastolic or drug treatment), and blood sugar (of >100 mg/dL [> 5.6 mmol/L] or drug treatment)..

Table 3.

Prevalence of the Different Types of Metabolic Syndrome Abnormalities of the Study Cohort Stratified by Nationality and Gender

### ISPOR Europe 2022

**6-9 November 2022** 



CO 132

#### **Corresponding author:**

Dr. Imre BONCZ, MD, MSc, PhD, Habil University of Pécs, Faculty of Health Sciences, Hungary Institute for Health Insurance E-mail: imre.boncz@etk.pte.hu

