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Why the apostrophes??

I’m really talking about “Public Domain” models generally, rather than “Open Source” models specifically released under a license.

Now that that’s cleared up…

bristol.ac.uk
Why am I talking to you?

- My research is primarily in network meta-analysis on limited evidence networks and value of information analysis.
- With Gianluca Baio, I co-chair the R for Health Technology Assessment (HTA) scientific committee (https://r-hta.org/).
- We push for the adoption of “open source” R programming instead of Excel in HTA.
- In 2015 I developed an R Markov model comparing directly acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs) for the prevention of stroke in Atrial Fibrillation (AF).
The AF Model – not the prettiest

S=Ischemic stroke, B=Major extracranial bleed, MI=Myocardial infarction, ICH=Intracranial haemorrhage
TIA and SE are transient events. Patients can switch treatment following events.
Directly Acting Oral Anticoagulants for the Prevention of Stroke in Atrial Fibrillation in England and Wales: Cost-Effectiveness Model and Value of Information Analysis


Abstract

Objectives. Determine the optimal, licensed, first-line anticoagulant non-valvular atrial fibrillation (AF) in England and Wales from and estimate value to decision making of further research. Methods warfarin (international normalized ratio target range 2–3) oral anticoagulants (DOACs) apixaban 5 mg, dabigatran 150 mg years post treatment initiation. In addition to death, the 17-stu

Oral anticoagulants for primary prevention, treatment and secondary prevention of venous thromboembolic disease, and for prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation: systematic review, network meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis


Oral anticoagulants for prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation: systematic review, network meta-analysis, and cost-effectiveness analysis


ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE

To compare the efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness of direct acting oral anticoagulants (DOACs) for patients with atrial fibrillation.

DESIGN

Systematic review, network meta-analysis, and cost-effectiveness analysis.
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rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily (1.35, 1.03 to 1.78) than with dabigatran 150 mg twice daily. The risk of all-cause mortality was lower with all DOACs than with warfarin. Apixaban 5 mg twice daily (0.71, 0.61 to 0.81), dabigatran 110 mg twice daily (0.80, 0.69 to 0.93), edoxaban 30 mg once daily (0.46, 0.40 to 0.54), and edoxaban 60 mg once daily (0.78, 0.69 to 0.90) reduced the risk of major bleeding compared
Importantly – I uploaded it to GitHub

- This was my first upload of a model to GitHub
  https://github.com/Bogdasayen/DOACs-AF-Economic-model

Why did I do it?
- I wanted to be transparent
- No commercial interest in the model
- I wanted to teach and learn from others…
Open Source ≠ Transparency

- First strike: not a project.
  - Programmed in base R and not RStudio
- At least the model is modularized into functions, split across multiple scripts, and uses a consistent style throughout
- And I included lots of comments
- Opening lines explain what changes have been made and thus the "X" after every script.
- Not exhaustive though and fuller use of GitHub commit comments would have been better.
Open Source ≠ Transparency

- Not *tidyverse* style.
- For example, n.cycles instead of n_cycles.
- Tabs are used to indicate function/loop level but there are no aesthetic spaces.
- For example

```r
total.qalys <- matrix(0, nrow = n.samples, ncol = n.treatments - 1))
```
- instead of

```r
total.qalys <- matrix(0, nrow = n.samples, ncol = n.treatments - 1))
```
Open Source ≠ Transparency

Tangle of file names

- NOAC.AF.model.main.3.R: Primary script which runs main and sensitivity analyses
- NOAC.AF.net.benefit.3.R: Core Markov model
- generate.transition.matrix.7.R: Actually, has functions to generate some input parameters (age.independent.generate.probabilities) as well as the transition matrices (generate.probabilities)
- Neither function is actually called generate.transition.matrix() or really describes what the function does, so needlessly confusing
- There are also various utility scripts/functions like next.state.name() and generate.hr.death().
Open Source ≠ Transparency

As an example of my very transparent coding...

# Change the probability.matrix into transition.matrix
# Need rules to assign event probabilities to state probabilities
# These are conditional on i.treatment and i.health.state
transition.matrix[[age]] <- array(0, dim = c(n.samples, n.states, n.states))
for (i.treatment in 1:n.treatments)
{
  for (i.health.state in 1:n.health.states)
  {
    # The old state is this
    old.state.name <- paste(treatment.names[i.treatment], nondeath.health.states[i.health.state])
    i.state <- which(state.names == old.state.name)
    # Need the name and index of the state patients in this state go
    # to if they have each event.state.codes

    # Find the name and index (in the state.names vector) of the new state (a bit messy)
    new.state.indices <- new.state.name <- rep(NA, n.events)
  }
}
I’m not sure I could debug this anymore...

# State following Clinically relevant bleeding (B)
new.state.name[event.state.codes==" B "]<-next.state.name(old.state.name," B ")
new.state.indices[event.state.codes==" B "]<-which(next.state.name(old.state.name," B ")==state.names)

# If no discontinuation/switching
transition.matrix[[age]][,i.state,new.state.indices[event.state.codes==" B "]]<-
transition.matrix[[age]][,i.state,new.state.indices[event.state.codes==" B "]]+probability.matrix[[age]][,i.state,event.state.codes==" B "]*(1-event.switch.probs[,event.state.codes==" B "])

# If discontinuation/switching
transition.matrix[[age]][,i.state,new.state.indices[event.state.codes==" B "]]+treatment.switch.indices[i.treatment]<-
transition.matrix[[age]][,i.state,new.state.indices[event.state.codes==" B "]]+treatment.switch.indices[i.treatment]+probability.matrix[[age]][,i.state,event.state.codes==" B "]*event.switch.probs[,event.state.codes==" B "]
What happened – upsides

- Constructive comments from others on my coding style, which have helped me to improve my skills
- Many invitations to collaborate
- Most importantly, the model was used in the 2021 NICE Clinical Guidelines on DOACs
  - Updated the model to better account for stroke risk and use registry evidence for baseline probabilities
What happened – one big downside

- DOACs are highly commercially sensitive
- Pharma and consultants dissecting the code for errors
- And **yes** there were errors, just none that affected conclusions
  - Probability of switching after stroke should be 30% but coded as 10%
  - Multiple definitions of redundant objects
- These are helpful to know but weren’t identified to be helpful.
- Instead used to feed a narrative that the model was somehow deficient.

- Naïve of me not to anticipate the asymmetric interest in conclusions:
  - Little scientific difference if treatment X or treatment Y is most cost-effective for preventing stroke, so long as it really is most cost-effective
  - But this is a vital difference for commercial stakeholders.
What I’ve learned

▪ Use projects, TidyVerse style, and useful naming of functions and scripts

▪ All code has errors – that’s why you test and validate

▪ The benefits of Open Source modelling outweighed the costs, even in this commercially sensitive case study

▪ A better understanding of the asymmetric interests of academic and commercial modellers
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