Problems and solutions for implementation of risk sharing schemes in Central and Eastern European countries

Zoltán Kaló

Professor of Health Economics 1) Center for Health Technology Assessment, Semmelweis University 2) Syreon Research Institute



Today's research for tomorrow's health

Perspective of payers in CEE countries

- Uncertain health outcomes and budget impact of innovative pharmaceutical products
- The price of new technologies is often above what is affordable or what is value based
- Potential solutions for both problems to improve patient access: risk-sharing agreements

Necessities of implementing risk-sharing schemes

- 1. Knowledge: ability to judge the value of new technologies (e.g. HTA body)
- 2. Target: e.g. threshold
- 3. Legal process: willingness and opportunity to negotiate about the price
- 4. Real world data (claims database or patient registry): for the implementation of discount, rebate, or payback

Some of these are missing in several CEE countries

Success criteria to implement MEAs How to select outcome parameters for MEA?

- Objective measure for the cost/outcome parameter (i.e. no room for manipulation)
- Payback can be monitored and audited
- Low cost of implementation (including measurement of the outcome parameter)
- Legal framework to support confidentiality (to avoid externalities)

Today's research for tomorrow's health

Legal framework: ex-ante or ex-post price discount?

- Ex-ante with published discount
 - full transparency with significant market externalities
- Ex-ante: price discount is given in advance
 - fairly easy administration
 - price discount can be calculated by different stakeholders
 - risk for externalities
- Ex-post: price discount is implemented with payback system
 - more difficult implementation
 - price discount cannot be calculated by any other stakeholders
 - limited risk for externalities

Today's research for tomorrow's health

Managed entry agreement

- Managed entry agreements =
 - risk-sharing: to reduce uncertainty of payers
 - confidentiality: to facilitate differential pricing in order to increase patient access

Confidentiality of MEAs

Process

- must be highly transparent
- preferably described in legislation
- Individual managed entry agreements
 - content should remain confidential
 - are described in legally binding documents
 - both stakeholders should remain accountable for the agreement
 - if necessary, agreements and or payback calculations can be reviewed by independent auditors

Today's research for tomorrow's health

Phases of MEA implementation

- Early phase
 - Early implementation of financial MEAs
- Medium term
 - Routine implementation of financial risk-MEAs
 - Pilot outcome based MEAs with minimal extra data collection
 - Solid legal framework
- Long term
 - Financial and outcome based MEAs
 - reconsideration of collecting additional data (i.e. minor but necessary)
 - link between patient registries and claims databases