

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVE

- Self-controlled pre-post observational designs assess outcomes within the same individuals across defined pre- and post-treatment periods.
- Each participant serves as their own control, accounting for time-invariant characteristics such as baseline disease severity, comorbidities, and demographics.
- Objective is to identify real-world studies using these designs and describe study structure, observation windows, therapeutic areas, and outcomes.

METHODS & STUDY IDENTIFICATION

- Search concepts: self-controlled, within-patient, pre-post, observational, and real-world evidence terminology.
- Human studies; past-five-year publication restriction.
- Title/abstract screening followed by full-text assessment.
- Eligible: within-patient pre-post or explicitly self-controlled observational approach.

SEARCH FLOW

1,906

Total results

1,559

After exclusions

1,145

Last 5 years

738

Human studies

8

Included

WHY SELF-CONTROLLED DESIGNS?

Time-Invariant Confounding

Within-patient comparisons inherently adjust for stable characteristics.

Real-World Feasibility

Applicable to registries, EHR, claims, and pragmatic protocols.

Longitudinal Evidence

Supports long-term effectiveness, persistence, and treatment burden.

Evidence Beyond RCTs

Captures routine-care populations and outcomes beyond typical trial horizons.

Efficient Evidence Generation

Supports multiple endpoints without a separate comparator cohort.

HOW THE DESIGN WORKS

DESIGN TIMELINE

PRE-TREATMENT PERIOD

Commonly 6 or 12 months

INDEX DATE

Treatment initiation

POST-TREATMENT FOLLOW-UP

12 to 48 months

SAME PATIENT • TWO TIME WINDOWS • ONE INDEX DATE

EVIDENCE LANDSCAPE

8

Included studies

7

Asthma studies

1

Cystic fibrosis

8/8

Observational

All studies used a single-arm cohort with a self-controlled or pre-post framework. Treatment initiation consistently defined the index date. Pre-treatment periods were commonly 6 or 12 months; post-treatment follow-up ranged from 12 to 48 months.

SELECTED STUDY EVIDENCE

Individual-study evidence

REALITI-A | n=822

24-month international prospective observational cohort; 1-year pre-post interim analysis

- mOCS median dose: 10.0 → 2.5 mg/day
- 64% achieved ≥50% reduction; 43% discontinued mOCS
- Exacerbation rate ratio: 0.29 (95% CI 0.26–0.32)

NEST | n=525

12-month pre/post design

- Exacerbations reduced 76%
- OCS use: 52.8% → 16.6%; 36.1% became OCS-free
- Hospitalizations: 22.5% → 6.9%

REDES | n=318

12-month pre/post analysis

- Exacerbations reduced 77.5%; 50.6% exacerbation-free
- FEV₁: +0.21 L; ACT: +6.7 points

AUSTRALIAN MEPOLIZUMAB REGISTRY | n=309

12-month observational registry analysis

- Median maintenance OCS dose: 10 → 2 mg/day at 12 months
- OCS burst use: 96% → 50%
- 55% remained on maintenance OCS at 12 months

COMMONLY ASSESSED OUTCOMES

1

Exacerbations

2

Oral corticosteroids

3

Healthcare resource use

4

Symptom control

5

Lung function

6

Patient-reported outcomes

The same cohort can support several clinically relevant endpoints without a separate control group.

METHODOLOGICAL VISIBILITY GAP

ONLY 2 OF 8

Studies explicitly used “self-controlled” terminology

SELF-CONTROLLED ✓

PRE-POST

BEFORE-AFTER

PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL

LONGITUDINAL COHORT

REAL-WORLD EFFECTIVENESS

Inconsistent labelling may reduce retrieval when searches rely on “self-controlled” terminology alone.

STAKEHOLDER RELEVANCE

H

HTA & Payers

Long-term effectiveness, OCS sparing, persistence, adherence, and healthcare utilization.

G

Guideline Committees

Longitudinal routine-care evidence on treatment effectiveness and patient trajectories.

R

Regulatory Relevance

A pragmatic framework for early real-world evidence where long-term RCT evidence is limited.

C

Clinical Practice

Evidence on effectiveness, treatment burden, monitoring, persistence, and biologic optimization.

IMPLICATIONS & FUTURE RESEARCH

Standardize

Use explicit terms such as “self-controlled pre-post cohort” in titles, abstracts, and protocols.

Broaden

Extend structured pre-post logic beyond asthma to other chronic therapy areas.

Replicate

Promote harmonized protocols across sponsors, geographies, and data sources.

Position

Frame as a complementary RWE pillar alongside active-comparator cohorts and pragmatic trials.

CONCLUSIONS

Consistent Application

Self-controlled pre-post designs are consistently applied in real-world effectiveness studies, particularly severe asthma.

Aligned Structure

Observation windows and clinically relevant endpoints were aligned across the evidence base.

Visibility Challenge

Inconsistent terminology may limit methodological visibility in the literature.

Standardized terminology and transparent reporting can improve identification, replication, and interpretation of these designs.

“Self-controlled pre-post designs provide a structured and feasible approach to longitudinal real-world effectiveness assessment, but inconsistent naming can make this evidence difficult to find.”

ABBREVIATIONS

ACT, Asthma Control Test; CF, cystic fibrosis; EHR, electronic health record; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; HCRU, healthcare resource utilization; HTA, health technology assessment; mOCS, maintenance oral corticosteroid; OCS, oral corticosteroid; PRO, patient-reported outcome; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RWE, real-world evidence; SLR, systematic literature review.

Conflicts of interest: Sandeep J, Manpreet K, Manasa V and Mahendra Kumar R are employees of Trinity Life Sciences