

BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS INFLUENCING THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPLEX GENERICS: AN EXPLORATORY STUDY

HPR33

A . Sreedevi , V S Ligade

Department of Pharmaceutical Regulatory Affairs and Management , Manipal College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka , India- 576104

INTRODUCTION

Complex generics are those drug products generally, include products with complex active ingredients, complex formulations, complex routes of delivery, complex dosage forms OR complex drug-device combination products OR other products where complexity or uncertainty concerning the approval pathway or possible alternative approach would benefit from early scientific engagement

OBJECTIVE

To explore the challenges from the perspective of industrial professionals to identify critical developmental , analytical and regulatory issues encountered.

METHOD

Qualitative descriptive study

Thematic analysis

Semi structured Open ended

In-depth face-face interview

Non probabilistic purposive

In-depth Interview: 38 participants
Survey : 86 participants

Scoping Review

Challenges Identified

Survey study followed by Delphi study

Bibliographic Analysis

In-depth Interview

Methods to Overcome

RESULTS

Complex Oral

Complexity in coatings
Food effect and multiphasic PK complicate BE study design
Layer/coating uniformity; polymer composition and control release phases; robustness to GI conditions; multiparticulate size distribution

Peptide & Oligonucleotide APIs

Peptide/oligo instability (degradation, aggregation); sensitive to excipients and processing.
Chemical/physical instability (deamidation, aggregation); sensitivity to pH; excipients and effect of temperature

LAI

Batch-to-batch variability driven by polymer source, manufacturing/extrusion conditions, and microstructure.
Polymer variability (MW, chemistry); polymer (PLGA) degradation; residual solvent; porosity and microstructure.
Process parameters (solvent removal, drying, spray-drying/solvent evaporation)

Nanoparticle and liposome

Liposome size distribution; lamellarity; PEGylation density; surface charge; internal loading method and leakage rate
Drug loading and stability
Surface modifications (PEGylation)
Variation in cryoprotectants concentration

Topical / Transdermal Products

Physiochemical parameters: vehicle, rheology, particle/crystal state
Vehicle effects (rheology, thermodynamics, particle/crystal state); suspended particle size ; API recrystallization; selection of penetration enhancers or stabilizers; polymorphic changes.

Complex Oral

Food effects and multiphasic PK complicate BE design; Q3 sameness; changes in in-vivo result.

Topical / Transdermal Products

Evidence of local bioavailability/sameness; demonstrating equivalence across disease states.

Peptide & Oligonucleotide APIs

Demonstrating comparable impurity profile; immunogenicity risk and stability.

FORMULATION BARRIER

OINDPs

High sensitivity to device/formulation/actuation differences (aerosol particle size distribution, plume geometry, spray pattern, emitted dose)
Patient and device factors (inhalation flow, technique, anatomy) ;actuator geometry
Variation CMA (suspending agents, viscosity modifiers)

Drug-Device Combination

Device usability and human factors (patient handling, dose delivery variability)
Device sterility and manufacturing controls.

LAI

Demonstrating BE or "sameness" without long clinical endpoint trials.
Convincing regulators for in-vitro/ modeling evidence
Lack of specification setting for CQAs and manufacturing control that affect the PK/PD.

Nanoparticle and liposome

Deciding which analyte (total, free, encapsulated) are regulatory-relevant for BE. Demonstrating Q3 sameness (size, surface, composition) and clinical equivalence when no PD biomarker exists.

REGULATORY BARRIER

OINDPs

Demonstrating therapeutic equivalence and stability
APSD measurement; emitted dose repeatability; spray pattern and plume geometry characterization; measuring regional deposition; in-vitro release in anatomical area

Drug-Device Combination

Measuring delivered dose; spray/jet performance; extractables/leachables profiling, human factors / usability studies.
Device compatibility with formulation

Peptide & Oligonucleotide APIs

Impurity testing; immunogenicity in-vitro assays.

OINDPs

Demonstrating evidence of local equivalence. Device changes and non-equivalency
Demonstrate device and formulation sameness

Drug-Device Combination

Demonstrating device safety/effectiveness; CMC data and device regulatory pathway; stability of formulation after incorporating to device part

Complex Oral

Q1/Q2/Q3 sameness
Detecting small coating defects; assays for residual solvents and coating impurities; coating uniformity; In-vitro dissolution methods at different media, agitation, and biorelevant media

Nanoparticle and liposome

Separate multi-analyte PK (measure both encapsulated and free drug)
Measuring size; lamellarity; zeta potential; and leakage lipid composition; assays for serum stability/leakage
Batch-to-batch reproducibility

LAI

Demonstrating sameness
MW; residual solvent; API impurities; polymer degradation; local drug concentration; In vitro release and associated microstructural characterization
Establishing IVIVC

Topical / Transdermal Products


Systemic PK/PD endpoints; trace impurities; polymorphs/particle size; ex-vivo permeation tests.
Skin variability (disease state, thickness, psoriasis vs healthy skin)

ANALYTICAL BARRIER

CONCLUSIONS

An interconnection between formulation, analytical, and regulatory challenges in the development of complex generic. Addressing one of these challenges will not be a complete solution to overcome the formulation development barriers. An integrated approach will accelerate the development, regulatory approval and market access of cost effective complex drugs for treating complicated therapies.

REFERENCES



CONTACT INFORMATION

