



Systematic Literature Review of Artificial Intelligence Based Models Predicting COPD Exacerbations

Tamas Agh^{1,2*}, Job FM van Boven³, Przemyslaw Kardas⁴, Agnes Nagy¹, Judit Tittmann¹, Sandor Kovacs^{1,2}, Akos Jozwiak^{1,2}, Faten Amer¹, Irene Mommers³, **Bertalan Nemeth^{1,2}**, Janos Pitter^{1,2}, Judit Jozwiak-Hagymasy^{1,2}

1 Center for Health Technology Assessment and Pharmacoeconomic Research, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

2 Syreon Research Institute, Budapest, Hungary

3 University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

4 Department of Family Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland

* agh.tamas@pte.hu

Introduction

- **High burden**
 - COPD affects ~9–10% of adults over 40 [1]
 - Chronic respiratory diseases rank as the 3rd leading cause of death worldwide [2]
- **Why it matters**
 - COPD exacerbations worsen quality of life, accelerate lung decline, and increase healthcare utilization [3]
 - Earlier exacerbation detection and management improves outcomes and speeds recovery [3]
- **Opportunity**
 - Artificial intelligence (AI) using real-world data enables the early detection and prevention of exacerbations in COPD, supporting more personalized patient care [4]

Objectives

- To identify existing AI based prediction models for COPD exacerbations and to assess their methodological characteristics, predictive performance and clinical applicability

Methods

- A systematic search was conducted in MEDLINE (via PubMed), Embase, and relevant grey literature sources (ERS and IPCRG congress abstracts)
- Search strings were built by combining several synonyms for COPD, exacerbation, and AI using Boolean operators (OR, AND), and limiting results to English-language publications
 - The date of search was 24th of March 2025
- Title/abstract and full-text screening were performed independently by two reviewers using Covidence
- The included studies from relevant systematic reviews were screened for additional evidence, and backward/forward citation chasing was performed using Citationchaser to identify further eligible articles
- Data extraction covered population characteristics, data sources, AI model types, predictors used, validation methods, performance metrics and clinical implementation
- Extracted data were double-checked by a second reviewer
- Methodological quality of studies was assessed using the TRIPOD+AI checklist

Table 1: PICOS criteria

P (patient/population)	Patients with COPD
I (intervention/indicator)	Any treatment patterns
C (comparison)	Not applicable or different AI models and methodologies used in predicting COPD exacerbations
O (outcomes of interest)	Data related to AI based models that leverage comprehensive real-world data to predict COPD exacerbations, with a focus on their methodologies, predictive performance, and clinical applicability
S (study design/setting)	Primary research studies* reporting on AI based models for COPD exacerbation prediction (+ systematic literature reviews used for identifying additional studies without direct data extraction)

* No restriction on study design was used. Expert opinions, editorials, letters and non-systematic reviews were excluded.

Conclusions

- Performance and predictors of current models varied, and overall generalizability was limited
- Most studies relied on retrospective data, and robust external or prospective validation was often lacking, reducing confidence in their real-world applicability
- Medication adherence, although recognized as a key behavioural determinant, was included in only one model, and the methodology for its incorporation was not clearly described
- Addressing current model gaps is essential to develop reliable and clinically meaningful AI prediction tools
- Incorporating patient and healthcare professional perspectives prior to implementation would be crucial for clinical usability

Funding: COPD-ALERT research project is supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH), Hungary (Grant Agreement No. 2024-1.2.3-HU-RIZONT-2024-00018).

References: 1. <https://goldcopd.org/>; 2. <https://www.thelancet.com/lancet/visualisations/gbd-compare>; 3. <http://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200310-1443OC>; 4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500\(23\)00177-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(23)00177-2); 5. <https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0061-2016>