











by Daniel Berger

Leveraging Real-World Radiation Medicine Health Data to Drive Evidence-Based Cancer Care Policies: Insights from the IAEA-SUNRISE Project

Background

SUNRISE (Sustainable Unified Network for Radiation Medicine Innovation and Scientific Excellence) project, under the IAEA's Rays of Hope initiative, is creating a global-scalable data-collection framework to strengthen access, quality, and equity in cancer care. It will accelerate the digital transformation of health systems in Member States under the Rays of Hope Innovation pillar.

Objective

Assess feasibility and perceived importance of core radiation-medicine data domains across diverse settings to inform policy and investment.

Methods

Global survey combined 5-point Likert scores and open responses spanning patient care, treatments, outcomes, infrastructure, and workforce. Countries were grouped by the World Bank 2025 income classification. Descriptive statistics of mean (± Standard Error) Likert scores and thematic synthesis of open-ended feedback identifying barriers and priorities.

Enable data-driven evidence-based decisions at patient, department, and health system levels

Optimize cancer treatment outcomes, ensure cost-effectiveness, and support equitable access to care

Strengthen the IAEA Rays of Hope initiative by providing **measurable impact**, transparency, and accountability

Advance research, innovation, and education in radiation medicine globally

Harmonized IAEA radiation medicine
Data Collection standard

Initiate and Support **Digital Transformation**

Patient-Level Data

Objective: Identify demographics, disease profiles, treatment pathways, outcomes.

Elements: Age, sex, diagnosis date, cancer site, chemotherapy/radiation details, survival rates, treatment-related complications, nutritional/performance indicators, Quality

Department-Level Data

Objective: Assess resources, equipment use, staffing efficiency, cost-effectiveness. Elements: Machine availability, patient throughput, downtime, staff-to-patient ratio, staff qualifications, cost and maintenance data

Health System-Level (Governance)

Objective: Understand broader system impacts and equity of access.

Elements: Geographic distribution of centres, time to treatment, disparities in access, cost-effectiveness of modalities, direct/indirect costs, adherence, impact of

delays

Key Results and Findings

Survey Reach:

49 Countries, 75 institutes, 82 Health Professionals 32% Medical Physicists 28% Radiation Oncologists 9% RTTs9% Radiology / Nuclear Medicine MDs 22% Other (administrators, researchers, dietitians...)

Data gaps between importance vs feasibility:

Survival outcomes \rightarrow 4.2±0.09 vs 3.3±0.20 Nutrition \rightarrow 3.8±0.15 vs 3.3±0.20 Economic data \rightarrow 3.7±0.11 vs 3.1±0.21

Barriers:

Limited infrastructure and digital platforms Manual workflows and fragmented systems Lack of interoperability across institution

Income group mix:

12% LIC, 43% LMIC, 24% UMIC and 20% HIC

Geographic distribution:

42% Asia & Pacific, 30% Africa, 18% Latin America & Caribbean, and 10% Europe

Data collection practices:

~90% collect basic demographics/treatment data ~60% record staging & comorbidities

Equipment & Hospital Information Systems:

Uptime tracked ~40% (yet 80% rate it essential) ~90% value data-sharing,~50% have interoperable systems

Priorities Identified

Workflow integration, Investment in personnel, training, and workforce Development of digital platforms & interoperable data systems

Conclusions:

Survival outcomes and patient-reported/socioeconomic data are highly valued but difficult to capture. SUNRISE addresses these gaps with a pragmatic, tiered framework that strengthens infrastructure, workforce, and systems - enabling equitable, evidence-based cancer care. Next project phases will embed patient input to align data elements with lived experience

