Cost-Effectiveness of Xanomeline and Trospium Chloride for Schizophrenia in the United States

Rachel Kneitel^{1,2}, PharmD; Noemi Kreif¹, PhD 1. University of Washington School of Pharmacy, CHOICE Institute; 2. AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, United States

Background

- Schizophrenia is a chronic psychiatric disorder that affects an estimated 3.9 million people in the US
- Annual economic burden is \$343 billion and is driven mainly by indirect costs¹
- Unmet needs remain in managing schizophrenia as treatment options primarily include antipsychotics, which act on dopamine receptors and have limited effectiveness on negative and cognitive symptoms

Objective

Estimate cost-effectiveness of xanomeline and trospium chloride from a US healthcare system and societal perspective, compared with aripiprazole, a second-generation antipsychotic (SGA) over a lifetime time horizon

Methods

- Three-month cycle length was applied in the Markov model to capture the dynamic aspect of schizophrenia and observe the adverse effects and efficacy of the medication
- Mortality rates derived from National Vital Statistics Reports life tables then converted to three-month probabilities
 - These were adjusted by the excess mortality risk due to schizophrenia and comorbidities

Methods



Each of the alive health states included sub-health states for no metabolic syndrome, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes, and CVD & diabetes. Relapses were also captured as events occurring within each health state.

Population and Assumptions

Pop<u>ulation</u>

- Mean age 44 years old
- \rightarrow 37% of patients are employed^{3,4}

relapse

Assumptions

- diabetes
- > Individuals discontinued and switched to next treatment due to their own volition, inefficacy, or adverse events
- Small part of the population stopped antipsychotic therapy
- > Xanomeline and trospium chloride did not cause increased risk of developing metabolic syndrome
- > Each relapse associated with 65 days of missed work

65% of patients with schizophrenia have a caregiver²

Background Healthcare Costs

Emergency department visit, home care, inpatient, outpatient, medication, additional healthcare resource utilization due to

Starting population did not have metabolic syndrome, CVD, or

Results

		Con	nparati	ve Re	sults	
Tab	le 1. Com	parative	Results)		
Deter	ministic Res	ults Health	care Persp	ective		
		X	anomeline	and Trosp	oium Chloride	Aripiprazole
Costs					\$636,239	\$616,834
QALY			9.18 8.87			
	\$/QALY)					\$62,404
	<u>ministic Res</u>	<u>ults Produc</u>	tivity Loss			
Costs					\$5,324,879	\$5,875,216
	<u>ministic Res</u>	ults Caregiv	<u>er lime</u>		¢1.052.800	¢1.040.250
Costs	ministic Doc	ulte Madifi	ad Sociata	Dorcho	\$1,053,806	\$1,049,259
Costs	<u>ministic Res</u>		eu societa	reispe	\$6,378,685	\$6,924,475
	\$/QALY)				20,070,000	-\$1,755,188
						<i>\</i> \ <i>\\\</i> \ <i>\</i> \\\\\\\\\\\\\
	ne-Way	and Pro	hahilis	tic Se	nsitivity	Analyses
Figu	re 2. One	-Way Sei	nsitivity	Analy		
\$38,425			<u>.</u>	\$86,383	Xanomeline/Trospium 3 month	cost ing metabolic syndrome, Aripiprazole
\$47,876 \$49,849 \$49,287			\$83,150 \$84,930 \$79,365		3 month probability of relapsing, Aripiprazole 3 month probability of relapsing, Xanomeline/Trospium	
	\$51,883 \$59.8	844	\$72,925		Inpatient Relapse cost Metabolic Syndrome with Diabe	
\$56,408 \$54,503 \$66 \$57,751 \$6 \$58,496 \$6 \$58,232 \$6			\$71,485 Stable Schizophrenia Utility \$68,934 3 month probability of developing metabolic syndrome, Treatment Basket 7,380 3 month probability of developing metabolic syndrome, Xanomeline/Trosp 7,284 3 month probability of discontinuing, Aripiprazole 7,284 Metabolic Syndrome without Diabetes/CVD Utility 6,699 3 month probability of developing metabolic syndrome, Treatment Basket 0,56 3 month probability of developing metabolic syndrome, Treatment Basket 38 3 month probability of discontinuing, Xanomeline/Trosping			ing metabolic syndrome,Treatment Basket 3
						ing Diabetes
						nuing, Xanomeline/Trospium
	\$60, \$60,		3 month probability of discontinuing antipsychotics from any treatment ba Metabolic Syndrome with Diabetes/CVD & relapsed Utility			nuing antipsychotics from any treatment basks
		,504 \$64,113 0,682 \$64,227	Schizophrenia & relapse Utility 3 month probability of relapsing, Treatment Basket 2			
		1,283 5 63,877 1,180 5 63,678			Metabolic Syndrome without Di Aripiprazole 3 month cost	iabetes/CVD but relapsed Utility
	Low Input Value I	Result High Input Valu	e Result			
-	3a. Probabilistic		alysis	-		c Sensitivity Analysis
	care Perspective	5			fied Societal Pers)
Xanor \$100,000	meline and Trospium	Chloride v.s. Aripip	orazole	Xano \$200,000	meline and Trospium	Chloride v.s. Aripiprazole
\$90,000			\$150,000/QALY	\$0		\$150,00 \$100,00
\$80,000 \$70,000				0	0.1 0.2 0	0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6
\$60,000 \$60,000 \$50,000 \$40,000			\$100,000/QALY	ental (
		*****		-\$400,000		
\$30,000				-		
\$20,000		Tid in		<u>-</u> \$600,000		
\$20,000 \$10,000 \$0			0.6 0.7	-		

Xanomeline and trospium chloride was 97% costeffective at a willingness-to-pay (WTP) threshold of \$100,000/QALY compared to aripiprazole

0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6

- > 99.9% cost-effective at a WTP threshold of \$150,000/QALY
- Main drivers were the cost of xanomeline and trospium chloride, probabilities of developing metabolic syndrome in aripiprazole, probabilities of relapsing for both medications



\$150,000/QALY \$100,000/QALY

Incremental QALYs Gained



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

THE CHOICE INSTITUTE

School of Pharmacy

Results



The curve shows that xanomeline and trospium chloride is cost-effective compared to aripiprazole at WTP threshold above \$65,000/QALY

Conclusion

- At a WTP of \$100,000/QALY or \$150,000/QALY xanomeline and trospium chloride is cost-effective compared to aripiprazole
 - Primarily driven by model assumptions regarding rates of metabolic syndrome development and relapse

Limitations

- Currently there is limited data on the rates of developing metabolic syndrome or relapse while taking xanomeline and trospium chloride
 - Introduces uncertainty into the health state transitions
- > Does not incorporate increases in CVD medical costs as the population ages
 - Potentially underestimates long-term healthcare expenditures
- Societal perspective included in the model does not capture spillover effects on other sectors, such as criminal justice, or direct medical costs paid by patients

References

- doi:10.4088/JCP.22m14458 McDonell MG. Short RA, Berry CM, Dyck DG, Burden in schizophrenia caregivers: impact of family psychoeducation au *Process*. Spring 2003:42(1):91-103. doi:10.1111/i.1545-5300.2003.00091.x Dewa C, Loong D, Bonato S. Work outcomes of sickness absence related to mental disorders: a systematic literature r doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005533
- 4. Dewa CS, Chau N, Dermer S, Examining the Comparative Incidence and Costs of Physical and Mental Health-Related Disabilities in an Employee of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 2010:52(7