

VBA and R to Automate Health Economic Model Programming

Żemojdzin M, Łanecka A, **Pochopień M,** Górecki M, Clay E, Żerda I, Aballea S, Toumi M







Model Development Process in Theory





Model Development Process in Reality

- In practice, model development is often more complex, with the process frequently iterated based on findings at each stage.
- Revisions to the model concept are commonly needed after implementation or quality checks; however, it's not uncommon to revisit the original decision problem after reviewing the results of an early model.
- In an era of increasing automation, the question arises: can the model development process be accelerated?





Model Development Process Facilitated with New Tools

- We aimed to explore the potential of a Microsoft (MS) Excel-based tool for automation of cost-effectiveness models programming.
- New tools, often powered by AI, are emerging to support model development, though their usefulness varies by development stage and remains under evaluation.
- Taking a pragmatic approach, we focused on creating a practical tool – the Model Generator.
- We used VBA and R, well-established languages in model development, to produce models in MS Excel, which remains the standard format for country submissions.

Model implementation







Workflow of Model Generator



The tool is based on a fully deterministic algorithm, meaning it always produces the same results for a fixed input!



Key Assumptions

MARKOV MODEL

- 1. Each cycle, patients may stay in their health state or transition to another health state.
- 2. Patients can experience health events within each health state.

HEALTH STATES

- 1. To model main events having an impact on survival and risk of subsequent events.
- 2. Mutually exclusive, i.e. patient can be in only one health state at a time.
- 3. Defines patient's pathway.

HEALTH EVENTS

- 1. To consider events having no impact on survival and risk of subsequent events.
- 2. Have impact only on costs and quality of life for a certain duration.
- 3. Multiple events can occur in the same cycle.



Model Inputs

 The input file is an MS Excel file with macros – it looks and works like data collection sheet, with some userfriendly features.



> Methods

Stand-alone Excel Model

 The file with a generated model contains the same worksheets as input file together with Markov traces and results.

 The file is a stand-alone model – it does not need R to work, it contains all the necessary formulas that are fully adjustable by hand.

| cycle | Mortality (probability) | Mortality (rate) | Mortality (rate), with SMR | Probat |
|-------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | 0.00099 | 0.00099049 | 0.00099049 | |
| 2 | 0.00099 | 0.00099049 | 0.00099049 | |
| 3 | 0.00099 | 0.00099049 | 0.00099049 | |
| 4 | 0.00099 | 0.00099049 | 0.00099049 | |
| 5 | 0.00099 | 0.00099049 | 0.00099049 | |
| 6 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 7 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 8 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 9 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 10 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 11 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.002603386 | |
| 12 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.0 | |
| 13 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.0(| |
| 14 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.0(| Cost-effe |
| 15 | 0.0026 | 0.002603386 | 0.00 | |

| 1R | Probability from Successful Prim Prob | ability from Successful Re | |
|----|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 49 | riobability nonrodecessiat rini riot | 0.00099 | |
| 49 | 0.000949573 | 0.00099 | |
| 49 | 0.00165078 | 0.00099 | |
| 49 | 0.00208719 | 0.00099 | |
| 49 | 0.002432976 | 0.00099 | |
| 86 | 0.002727319 | 0.0026 | |
| 86 | 0.002987384 | 0.0026 | |
| 86 | 0.003222528 | 0.0026 | |
| 86 | 0.003438518 | 0.0026 | |
| 86 | 0.003639202 | 0.0026 | |
| 86 | 0.003827298 | 0.0026 | |
| | | | |

Cost-effectiveness analysis results

Cohort without half cycle correction

| | | Treatment Standard | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Primary THR | Successful Primary | Revision THR | Successful Revision | |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.98 | 0 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.977412036 | 0.001617764 | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.974404354 | 0.002040044 | 0.001583807 | |
| 0 | 0.971068991 | 0.002434055 | 0.003516111 | |
| 0 | 0.965895796 | 0.002789059 | 0.00574537 | |
| 0 | 0.960498966 | 0.003115316 | 0.008226644 | |
| 0 | 0.954906434 | 0.0034243 | 0.010921099 | |
| 0 | 0.949140214 | 0.003720307 | 0.013802771 | |
| 0 | 0.943218337 | 0.004006223 | 0.016851001 | |
| 0 | 0.937155992 | 0.004284018 | 0.020048831 | |
| 0 | 0.930966253 | 0.004555086 | 0.02338195 | |
| 0 | 0.924660569 | 0.00482045 | 0.02683802 | |
| 0 | 0.9182491 | 0.005080873 | 0.030406228 | |
| 0 | 0.911740962 | 0.005336939 | 0.034076968 | |
| | | | | |

Undiscounted

| | Standard | NP1 | NP2 |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Costs | 1982 | 735 | 719 |
| QALYs | 32.066 | 32.946 | 32.987 |
| LYs | 38.269 | 38.823 | 38.83 |
| Incremental Costs | N/A | -1247 | -1264 |
| Incremental QALYs | N/A | 0.881 | 0.922 |
| Incremental LYs | N/A | 0.554 | 0.562 |
| ICER | N/A | Dominant | Dominant |
| evLYs | 32.066 | 33.03 | 33.072 |
| evLYGs | 0 | 0.964 | 1.006 |

| | Discounted | | |
|-------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Standard | NP1 | NP2 |
| Costs | 530 | 354 | 421 |
| QALYs | 11.39 | 11.463 | 11.47 |
| LYs | 27.826 | 28.14 | 28.145 |
| Incremental Costs | N/A | -175 | -109 |
| Incremental QALYs | N/A | 0.073 | 0.08 |
| Incremental LYs | N/A | 0.315 | 0.319 |
| ICER | N/A | Dominant | Dominant |
| evLYs | 11.39 | 11.649 | 11.659 |
| evLYGs | 0 | 0.259 | 0.269 |





Performance assessment

- Do the results of the replicated models align with the original outcomes?
 - Total and incremental costs
 - Total and incremental QALYs and LYs



• How **useful and reliable** the generated model is?

• How much time did it take to generate the model?





Successful replication

- The model generator successfully replicated both models on the first attempt.
- The results of the replicated models matched perfectly those of the manually programmed models.

Saved time

 The automated programming process for these models took 90 minutes in the first example and 28 minutes in the second example.



Error-free

- The replicated models were error-free and fully functional.
- Both models included predefined, well-structured, and properly formatted inputs, results, and parameter settings.
- They were capable of performing both probabilistic and deterministic sensitivity analyses.



Conclusions & Next Steps

- Utilizing the generator was relatively fast, straightforward, and intuitive.
- Decreases risk of a human error while being fully deterministic.
- Increases confidence in the produced results without the need for repeated testing.
- While AI may surpass this approach in the coming years, for now the generator can serve as a viable compromise between manual model programming and its automation.



Use in Practice & Improve One practical use of the Model Generator is for QC of models, a practice that is becoming increasingly common.

EE491: Automating Health Economic Model Quality Check With a Model Generator Poster Session: Poster Session 5 Session Date/Time: Friday, May 16, 9:00 -11:30 AM Discussion Period: 9:00 - 10:00 AM Session Location: Poster & Exhibit Hall 220B-E



User Friendly Interface

Our ambition is to make the Model Generator widely accessible for non-programmers.



9-12 NOVEMBER 2025 | GLASGOW | SCOTLAND To be ready for ISPOR EU!

Thank you!

Michal Pochopien

Co-founder, Senior Principal Consultant Co-lead of Economic Modelling Department <u>Michal.Pochopien@clever-access.com</u>



