Cost-Effectiveness of Tumor-Treating Fields in Combination with Temozolomide for Glioblastoma Patients: **An Italian Healthcare System Perspective**

Nino de Rivera F¹; Wang, B.C.M¹ ¹Novocure GmbH

BACKGROUND

- Glioblastoma (GBM) is an aggressive brain tumor with a poor prognosis despite standard-of-care treatment. Tumor-Treating Fields (TTFields) therapy, when added to temozolomide (TMZ) during the maintenance phase, has shown improved clinical outcomes.
- In the EF-14 trial (Stupp et al., 2017), patients receiving TTFields + TMZ achieved a median overall survival (OS) of 20.9 months compared to 16.0 months with TMZ alone, and a progression-free survival (PFS) of 6.7 vs. 4.0 months. This study assesses the cost-effectiveness of TTFields + TMZ versus TMZ alone in newly diagnosed GBM patients from the perspective of the Italian National Healthcare System.

OBJECTIVE

• To evaluate the cost-effectiveness of adding Tumor-Treating Fields (TTFields) therapy to maintenance temozolomide (TMZ) in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM), using clinical outcomes from the EF-14 trial. The analysis was conducted from the perspective of the Italian National Healthcare System, considering both survival benefits and healthcare costs to determine the value of this treatment combination in routine clinical practice.

METHODS

A partitioned survival model with three health states—progression-free survival (PFS), postprogression survival (PPS), and death—was developed to assess the cost-effectiveness of TTFields + temozolomide (TMZ) versus TMZ alone in newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM) patients.

The model adopted a **30-year time** horizon with monthly cycles, from the perspective of the **Italian** national healthcare payer, in line with local economic guidelines. Costs and outcomes were discounted at 3% annually following the French HAS methodology, which informed this adaptation. The modeled population reflected the intent-to-treat cohort of the EF-14 trial, considered generalizable to Italian patients eligible for TTFields.

Clinical Data and Extrapolation

PFS and OS inputs were derived from EF-14 Kaplan-Meier curves.

- **PFS** was extrapolated independently by treatment arm using a **generalized gamma distribution**, as the proportional hazards assumption was violated.
- **OS** was modeled using a **hybrid approach**: Kaplan-Meier data were used for the first 5 years, followed by extrapolation based on conditional survival probabilities from Porter et al. and general population mortality thereafter. This method avoided implausible long-term projections observed in parametric models and was previously validated by the Swedish TLV.

Utilities

Utility values for PFS and PPS were sourced from Garside et al., a published economic evaluation in high-grade glioma. Utilities were applied by health state and assumed independent of treatment arm (see Table 1):

Costs

- All costs reflect the Italian public payer perspective, expressed in 2023 EUR. Monthly costs included: TTFields therapy, TMZ, monitoring, adverse events, progression, and end-of-life care
- Cost estimates were based on the Lombardy Region inpatient tariff schedule (2015) and inflated as needed (see Table 1).
- Only grade ≥ 3 adverse events and grade 1–2 TTFields-related skin reactions were included. Analyses
- Base case: Estimated incremental cost per QALY and per life-year (LY) gained.
- Deterministic and probabilistic sensitivity analyses explored parameter uncertainty.

Scenario analyses evaluated alternative extrapolation methods, utility sources, time horizons, and TTFields pricing variations $(\pm 10-20\%)$.

Variable	Base Case
General Inputs	
Age	56
Horizon	30
Discount	3%
Utility Inputs	
Utility: PFS	0.85
Utility: Progressed Disease	0.73
Costs	
TTFields + TMZ	
Cost of Optune per month	21,000 €
Time on Treatment - TTFields	8.2 months
TMZ Monotherapy	
Cost, per mg	0.59€
TMZ dose/day per mg/m ²	150 mg
Time on Treatment - TMZ	7.2 months
Adverse Events	
Pulmonary Embolism	4,466 €
Seizure	1,507 €
Infections	3,221 €
Leukopenia or Lymphopenia	2,891 €
General Disorders*	934 €
Thrombocytopenia	2,891€

Table 1: Key Model Inputs







Source

Stupp et al. Stupp et al. Fattore et al. 2009

> Garside et al. Garside et al.

Novocure Stupp et al. 2017

Novocure Novocure Stupp et al. 2017

Inpatient tariffs 2015 (Lombardy) Inpatient tariffs 2015 (Lombardy)

-TTFields Mono: KM EF14 •••TMZ Mono: Fitted Curve **—**TTFields + TMZ: KM EF14 •••TTFields: Fitted Curve

-TTFields Mono: KM EF14 •••TMZ Mono: Fitted Curve **—**TTFields + TMZ: KM EF14 •••TTFields: Fitted Curve

RESULTS

- due to prolonged survival.
- incremental gains of 1.75 LYs and 1.34 QALYs.

	TTFields + TMZ	TMZ Monotherapy	Δ
Total Cost	254,778 €	51,217 €	203,561 €
Drug Costs	196,472 €	6,642€	189,830€
PFS & AE	4,072€	2,871 €	1,202 €
Prog	37,758 €	23,825€	13,933€
EoL	16,475€	17,879€	-1,404 €
Life Years	3.87	2.12	1.75
Cost/LY Gained			116,316 €
QALYs	2.99	1.65	1.34
ICER			152,382 €

 Table 2: Deterministic Results

Incremental CE Ratio (QALYs) High Result Low Result €150000 €9000 €110000

Cost of Optune per month Time on Treatment - TTFields Discount Utility: Progressed Disease Conditional Survival, Years 6-10 Utility: PFS Conditional Survival, Years 11-15 One-off Prog. Cost End-of-Life Cost Prog. Supp. Care Cost/month PFS TMZ - parameters

Graph 3: Tornado Diagram



References

Stupp, R., et al. (2017). Effect of Tumor-Treating Fields plus Maintenance Temozolomide vs Temozolomide Alone on Survival in Patients With Glioblastoma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA, 318(23), 2306–2316. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.18718

Porter, K. R., et al.. (2010). Prevalence estimates for primary brain tumors in the United States by behavior and major histology groups. Neuro-Oncology, 12(6), 520-527

Garside, R., et al. (2007). The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of temozolomide for the treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess, 11(45), iii–iv, ix–221

Fattore, G. (2009). Proposta di linee guida per la valutazione economica degli interventi sanitari in Italia. Pharmacoeconomics - Italian Research Articles, 11, 83-93. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03320660

EE261

• The base-case analysis showed that TTFields + TMZ resulted in a total cost of €254,778, compared to €51,217 for TMZ monotherapy, representing an incremental cost of €203,561 (Table 2). Most of the cost difference was driven by the TTFields therapy, while end-of-life costs were €1,404 lower in the TTFields arm

• In terms of clinical outcomes, patients receiving TTFields + TMZ gained 3.87 life-years (LYs) and 2.99 qualityadjusted life-years (QALYs), compared to 2.12 LYs and 1.65 QALYs with TMZ alone. This corresponds to

• The resulting incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was €116,316 per LY gained and €152,382 per **QALY gained**, indicating improved survival and quality of life at an increased cost.



Sensitivity Analyses:

In the **probabilistic sensitivity** analysis (PSA), TTFields + TMZ costs ranged from **€527,862 to €717,132**, and TMZ monotherapy from €365,725 to €541,239. Incremental QALYs ranged from 1.09 to 1.52, resulting in ICERs between **€116,048 and €148,699**, with a **mean of €127,423/QALY**.

TTFields + TMZ remained cost-effective across a range of inputs, with limited ICER variability.

CONCLUSION

From an Italian healthcare system TTFields to adding perspective, temozolomide improves survival and quality-adjusted life expectancy in newly diagnosed GBM patients. Although associated with higher costs, the clinical benefit supports consideration for reimbursement and adoption.

										 TMZ Monotherap TTFields+TMZ 				/
														-
														-
000	300	,000	400	,000	500	,000	600	,000	700	,000	800,	000	900	,000

Willingness to pay per ly/qaly

ISPOR 2025 – Montreal, Canada