

Cost-effectiveness analysis of prevention strategies for pediatric respiratory syncytial virus infection in Japan

Kazumasa Kamei, PhD¹; Yu Funakoshi, MD, PhD, MPH²; Yasuhiro Kobayashi, MS³; Yoko Hirano, PhD¹; Amy W. Law, MS, PharmD⁴ ¹Pfizer Japan Inc., Japan Access & Value, Tokyo, Japan, ²Pfizer Japan Inc., Chief Medical Affairs, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Pfizer Inc., Global Access & Value, New York, NY, USA

BACKGROUND

- Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a common cause of respiratory infections in infants and the leading cause of viral bronchiolitis and pneumonia and that majority of burden occur among healthy term infants¹.
- The standard of care (SoC), a monoclonal antibody (mAb), palivizumab, was licensed for the prevention of RSV infection for infants with risk of RSV infection* and premature infants born ≤ 35 weeks gestational age (wGA)².
- In 2024, the bivalent RSV prefusion F protein-based (RSVpreF) vaccine was approved for the prevention of RSV infection in infants by active immunization of pregnant individuals in Japan³. Furthermore, a second mAb, nirsevimab, was approved for administration to infants to prevent RSV infection was approved in Japan³.

*bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), congenital heart disease (CHD), Down's syndrome, or a compromised immune system

OBJECTIVE

• To assess the cost effectiveness of various prevention strategies including RSVpreF vaccine, palivizumab and nirsevimab for pediatric RSV infection in Japan

METHODS

Overview

- A previously published Markov model⁴ estimated the clinical and economic outcomes due to medically attended RSV infections from birth through 11 months. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) were evaluated from a healthcare payer's perspective over a lifetime horizon at a 2% discount rate⁵.
- Key model inputs were shown in Table 1 and intervention strategies tested in this analysis were shown in Table 2.

Model Outcomes

- Health outcomes: RSV cases, stratified by care setting (hospitalization, emergency department visit, and outpatient visit), and RSV-related death.
- Economic outcomes: Medical care costs, and intervention costs (RSVpreF vaccine, palivizumab, and nirsevimab).

Table 1. Key model inputs

Number of pregnant women							
Number of infants born							
Percentage of infants with risk			r				
	Full te	erm (≥37	wGA)	Preterm (32-36wC			
Distribution of births		94.27%			5.01%		
Percentage of infants stillborn		0.10%			1.54%		
		4 0	0.0	0.4		Infa	
RSV incidence (per 1,000 person years)	<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4-<5	5	
Hospitalization	28.6	50.5	36.2	40.2	.35.1	2	
Emergency department	4.9	1.4	8./	26.8	29.5		
Outpatient	21.9	19.4	10.1	40.0	52.4	C	
Proportion of RSV with LRTI Hospitalization			01	6%			
Emorgonov donartmont			91	.0 % _0%			
Outpatient			65 65	.0 %			
			00	.0 /0		Infa	
	<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4-<5	5	
Baseline mortality (per 1.000 live births)*	0.83	0.18	0.13	0.12	0.12	0	
CFR due to RSV (per 100 cases)*							
Hospitalization							
Emergency department/Outpatient							
Disutility due to RSV							
Hospitalization							
Emergency department/Outpatient							
RSVpreF vaccine uptake rate (once)				1	80%	% (E	
	Full te	erm (≥37	wGA)	Prete	rm (32-3	6wC	
Palivizumab prescription rate (6 times,	0% 90% (32-35 wC					vGA	
once a month)	0% (36wGA					<u>A)</u>	
Nirsevimab prescription rate (once)		0%		90%) (32-35 v	vGA	
					% (36WG	A)	
DSV(proEvencing offectiveness (Inpetient)		1 - 2	2 ~ 2	2 -1	1 -5		
Full term/protorm (32-36 wGA)	82.3%	76.0%	68 5%	50.2%	4-<5 50.2%		
Protorm (<31waA)/High-risk	02.5 %	0.9 % 0%	00.3 %	0%	0%	42	
RSVpreF vaccine effectiveness (Outpatient)	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0		
Full term/preterm (32-36 wGA)	61.3%	57.0%	50.7%	43.9%	37.2%	31	
Preterm (≤31waA)/High-risk	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
					Mo	onth	
	<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4-<5	5	
Palivizumab effectiveness	56.0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Nirsevimab effectiveness	87.6%	83.5%	76.4%	67.6%	58.1%	49	
Duration before getting nirsevimab						-	
effectiveness							
Intervention costs (per shot)							
RSVpreF vaccine	Vaccine price (¥23,94						
Palivizumab	Palivizumab price (¥148						
Nirsevimab	Nirsevimab price (¥52					521	
	A					Age	
Medication cost (per episode)		<1	2	,	1-<2	<u> </u>	
Emorgonov donortmont		±001,980			≠JQ1,UQ5 V16 110	Ď	
Outpatient		τι,υΙΟ ¥7 ΛΛς	,		τι0,449 ¥0 ερτ		
Outpatient	¥7,005 ¥9,527						

*Relative risk of RSV encounters²⁵, infant mortality⁸ and death due to RSV^{26, 27} were applied to adjust RSV incidence, baseline mortality and CFR due to RSV, respectively. CFR; Case-fatality rate, LRTI; lower respiratory tract infection, RSV; respiratory syncytial virus, RSVpreF; RSV prefusion F protein-based, wGA; weeks gestational age

							Ref	
/18,	8,912							
129 25	1,09Z							
2.5	$\frac{100\%}{100}$ $\frac{100\%}{100}$ $\frac{100\%}{100}$ $\frac{100\%}{100}$							
5 A)	Fieler	111 (20-3) Λ / Ω ^{0/}	wGA)	Field	יווו (>∠ <i>1</i> ∩ 250/	wGA)	67	
		0.40% 0.70/			U.20%		0, 1 7 Q	
int an								
-<6	6-<7	/ 7_<8	8_<9	9_<10	10_<11	11_<12		
8 1	23.7	197	18.0	20.7	14.8	14.8		
8.1	38.6	26.5	26.3	26.3	19.1	26.3	10	
7.2	59.9	62.9	62.9	51.4	54.7	58.4		
			91.	.6%			11	
			50.	.0%			12	
			30.	.0%			12	
int ag	e (month)						
-<6	6-<7	7-<8	8-<9	9-<10	10-<11	11-<12		
.12	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	13	
							, <u> </u>	
0.1	141						14	
	U							
0.0	A - 7						15	
0.0	.0157						15	
	.UU61							
quan 2A)	$\frac{\text{any year-round}}{\text{Protorm}(<21 \text{ wGA})} \qquad \qquad \text{Lightrial}$							
	Preterm (≤31 WGA) High risk							
'	90% 95%							
)							assum	
-,		90%			95%		ption	
int ad	e (month)						
-<6	6-<7	7-<8	8-<9	9-<10	10-<11	11-<12		
.1%	34.9%	28.8%	23.7%	19.3%	15.8%	12.8%		
)%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20	
.2%	25.9%	21.3%	17.5%	14.3%	11.7%	9.5%	20	
)%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
after	prescrip	tion						
-<6	6-<7	7-<8	8-<9	9-<10	10-<11	11-<12		
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21	
.0%	40.7%	33.4%	27.2%	21.9%	17.6%	14.1%	20	
1 w	eek						22	
Q\	administr	ation and	+ (¥2 240	1)			1 22	
101 + 2 2661	τ autilities and the cost ($\pm 3, 310$) (6) + administration cost ($\pm 1, 802$)						4, 23 0 22	
,300 <i>)</i> 541)	1) + administration cost (\pm 3.910)						23 24	
<u>ייסדי)</u> מרחיי	$\frac{1}{2} = autilities(autilities(\pm 3, \pm 10))$							
, grou	2-<6 6_<12							
		<u> </u>)		¥358 424		9	
		¥18.404		¥17.676				
	¥10,874 ¥11,274						9	

Table 2. Base-case results from a healthcare payer's perspective

		Intervention strategies			Costs	Incremental	Incremental	ICER*
No.	RSVpreF	palivizumab	nirsevimab	QALYs	(million yen)	QALYs*	costs [*] (million yen)	(yen/QALY)
SoC		Infants with risk ^{**} Premature (≤ 35 wGA)		27,595,659	38,188			
1			Infants with risk ^{**} Premature (≤ 35 wGA)	27,595,709	25,023	50.4	-13,165	dominant
2	Pregnant women (year-round)			27,595,920	20,004	261.0	-18,184	dominant
3	Pregnant women (year-round)		Infants with risk ^{**} Premature (≤ 31 wGA) Unprotected infants (32-35 wGA) ^{***}	27,596,086	32,686	426.9	-5,503	dominant

*Incremental QALYs and cost and ICER were calculated based on the comparison with SoC. **bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), congenital heart disease (CHD), Down's syndrome, or a compromised immune system, ***unprotected infants is infants whose mother was unvaccinated, or infants born within 2 weeks after maternal vaccination. ICER; incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, QALY; quality-adjusted life year, RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; RSV preF; RSV prefusion F protein-based, SoC; standard of care, wGA; weeks gestational age

Table 3. Detailed analysis results

	6.0	Dif			
	500	Strategy 1	Strategy 2	Strategy 3	
Vaccination/Prescription (times)					ទ័ 🚡 -100 _
RSVpreF vaccine	0	0	578,271	578,271	n y
Palivizumab (6 times/year)	211,692	-211,692	-211,692	-211,692	nillic
Nirsevimab	0	35,101	0	25,089	-1 -1
Health outcomes (No. of cases)					-2
Hospitalization due to RSV	17,464	-253	-6,070	-6,944	QALY, quality-adjust
Emergency department visit due to RSV	8,078	-151	-1,210	-1,780	Figure 2. Or
Outpatient visit due to RSV	13,650	-225	-2,560	-3,381	1
Death due to RSV	21.0	-1.2	-3.8	-7.7	
Economic outcomes (million yen)					Inciden
Intervention					Casa
RSVpreF vaccine	0	0	15,581	15,581	Case-
Palivizumab	31,283	-31,283	-31,283	-31,283	Cc
Nirsevimab	0	18,210	0	13,010	
Total	31,283	-13,073	-15,702	-2,692	D Disutility d
Medication costs	6,905	-93	-2,482	-2,811	
Total costs	38,188	-13,165	-18,184	-5,503	
RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; RSVpreF; RSV prefusion age	F protein-base	d, SoC; standard	of care, wGA; wee	eks gestational	Upon changing the vincremental cost-efferences prefusion F protein-l
REFE	RENC	ES			
Meissner HC. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374:62–72. Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. SYNAGIS® Solut https://www.info.pmda.go.jp/go/pack/6250404A1020_3_02/?view Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. New Drugs Appro Ishiwada N et al., Infect Dis Ther. 2024; 13:1665-82. Ikeda S. Guideline for research on cost-effectiveness analysis of 10601000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka-Kouseikagakuka/000018 Vital statistics, Table 14 (Volume 2). URL: https://www.e-stat.go.jp Vital statistics, Table 4.24 (Volume 2). URL: https://www.e-stat.go.jp/ Igarashi A et al. Future Virology. 2023;18:643-57.	tion for Intramuso <u>=frame&style=XI</u> oved in FY 2023. vaccination 2017 <u>84902_1.pdf</u> <u>0/dbview?sid=000</u> <u>0.jp/dbview?sid=000</u> <u>dbview?sid=0000</u>	cular Administration, <u>ML⟨=ja</u> . URL: <u>https://www.pr</u> VURL: <u>https://www.r</u> 03411640 003411613 3411833	Product sheet. URL nda.go.jp/files/0002 nhlw.go.jp/file/05-Sh	<u>69225.pdf</u> ingikai-	 While nirse over currer A strategy complement optimal and

RESULTS

Strategies #1, #2 and #3 were dominant (more effective and less costly) compared with SoC. (Table 2). • With SoC (palivizumab for infants with risk and premature infants born ≤35 wGA), 39,193 medically attended RSV cases (17,464 hospitalizations, 8,078 emergency department visits, and 13,650 outpatient visits) and 21 deaths were estimated, with a corresponding total cost of 38.2 billion yen (Table 3). • Nirsevimab usage restricted to the same population (infants with risk and premature infants born ≤ 35 wGA) was a dominant strategy over SoC, but majority of infants remain unprotected against RSV infection (Table 2, 3).

A year-round RSVpreF vaccination (strategy #2) was the most cost-saving strategy and year-round RSVpreF vaccine with the supplementary administration of nirsevimab (strategy #3) was the most effective strategy (Table 3, Figure 1).

Strategy #3 reduced 12,106 medically attended RSV cases (6,944 hospitalizations, 1,780 emergency department visits, and 3,381 outpatient visits), 8 deaths and 5.5 billion yen over SoC (Table 3).

• In one-way sensitivity analysis for strategy #4, the parameters that had the greatest impact on ICER was the cost of palivizumab (Figure 2).

 Meissner HC. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374:62–72. Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. SYNAGIS® Solution for Intramuscular Administration, Product sheet. URL: https://www.info.pmda.go.jp/go/pack/6250404A1020_3_02/?view=frame&style=XML⟨=ja. Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. New Drugs Approved in FY 2023. URL: https://www.pmda.go.jp/files/000269225.pdf Ishiwada N et al., Infect Dis Ther. 2024; 13:1665-82. Ikeda S. Guideline for research on cost-effectiveness analysis of vaccination 2017 URL: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai- 10601000-Daijinkanboukouseikagakuka-Kouseikagakuka/0000184902_1.pdf Vital statistics, Table 14 (Volume 2). URL: https://www.e-stat.go.jp/dbview?sid=0003411640 Vital statistics, Table 4.24 (Volume 2). URL: https://www.e-stat.go.jp/dbview?sid=0003411613 Vital statistics, Table 5 (Volume 2). URL: https://www.e-stat.go.jp/dbview?sid=0003411633 Jigarashi A et al. Future Virology. 2023;18:643-57. Kobayashi Y et al. Pediatr Int. 2022;64:e14957. Kume X et al. official journal of the Japan Society of Chemotherapy. 2022;28:859-65 	 While r over cu A strat comple optimal
12.Rainisch et al. Vaccine. 2020;10;38:251-257. 13.Vital statistics. Table 6-3 (Volume 1), URL: https://www.e-stat.go.ip/dbview?sid=0003411723	
14. Watabe S et al. Journal of the Japan Pediatric Association, 2020;124;927-36.	• Ellecuv
15.Roy LMC. University of British Columbia; 2013. URL: https://open.library.ubc.ca/soa/clRcle/collections/ubctheses/24/items/1.0074259	
16.Kurasawa K. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2023;49:493-509.	• K2A II
17.Suga S et al. Journal of the Japan Pediatric Association. 2019;72:757-61.	bronch
18.Suzumura H et al. Japanese Journal of Pediatrics. 2013; 66(6):1101-5.	
19.Makiya T et al. Journal of the Japan Pediatric Association.122:1692-9.	asthma
20.Hodgson D et al. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2024;38:100829.	
21.Garegnani L et al. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2021;11:CD013757.	 Advers
22.CDC. Morbidity and Mortality Week Report (MMWR). Early URL: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/73/wr/mm7309a4.htm</u>	
23. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Medical Fee Schedule Drug Price Master. URL:	
<u>nups.//sninryonosnu.mniw.go.jp/sninryonosnu/searcnivienu/</u> . 24. IMDC Inc. IMDC Claime Database URL: https://www.imdc.co.ip/en/	
24.0100 mc. 0100 Claims Database ONL. <u>https://www.jmuc.co.jp/cn/</u> 25 Rha B et al. Pediatrics. 2020:146(1)	
26. Li Y et al. The Lancet. 2022;399:2047-64.	

27. Hansen CL et al. JAMA network open. 2022;5(2):e220527

• This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), Montreal, QC, Canada, 13–16 May 2025

EE159

<u>Correspondence:</u> Kazumasa Kamei, PhD Email: Kazumasa.Kamei@pfizer.com

Figure 1. Cost-effectiveness plane



-adjusted life year, SoC; standard of care

2. One-way sensitivity analysis of strategy #3

	ī	 			1
Cost of palivizumab	_				
Effectiveness of RSVpreF vaccine	dominant				
Effectiveness of nirsevimab	dominant				
Effectiveness of palivizumab	dominant				
Incidence of RSV hospitalization	dominant				
ncidence of RSV emergency depertment	dominant				
Incidence of RSV outpatient	dominant				
General infant mortality	dominant				
Case-fatality due to RSV hospitalization	dominant				
Cost of RSVpreF vaccine	dominant				
Cost of nirsevimab	dominant				
Cost of RSV hospitalization	dominant				
Cost of RSV emergency depertment	dominant				
Cost of RSV outpatient	dominant				
Healthy infant utility	dominant		Lower boun	Id	
Disutility due to RSV hospitalization	dominant				
utility due to RSV emergency depertment	dominant		Upper boun	id	
Disutility due to RSV outpatient	dominant				
			4 000 000	5 000 000	6 000 00

1,000,000 2,000,000 3,000,000 4,000,000 5,000,000 6,000,000 ICER (Japanese yen per QALY gained)

ng the value ranges of the parameter, ± 25% of each parameter was used as upper and lower bounds. ICER: cost-effectiveness ratio; QALY; quality-adjusted life year, RSV: respiratory syncytial virus; RSVpreF; RSV otein-based

CONCLUSIONS

nirsevimab for infants with risk and premature infents was dominant urrent SoC, many infants remained unprotected against RSV.

tegy containing year-round RSVpreF vaccination, either alone or ement with nirsevimab for risk and unprotected infants, was a more and cost-effective prophylaxis strategy for pediatric RSV infection.

LIMITATIONS

veness of RSVpreF vaccine for pregnant women was not considered. nfections are thought to be associated with the development of ial asthma and other conditions; however, reductions of bronchial and other complications were not considered as outcome measures. se events of interventions were not considered.

DISCLOSURES